Asia Pacific Science Press

Journal of Educational Theory and Practice
ISSN(O):3005-9313
ISSN(P):3005-9305
Vol. 3 No.4 (2026)

Research on Theoretical Framework and Practical Pathways for Leveraging the Integration of Science and Education to Drive High-Quality Development of Vocational Education

Hu Sun*, Jia He, Zhuyao Du

School of Architecture and Thermal Engineering, Shaanxi Institute of Technology, Xian, Shaanxi, 710300, China

Corresponding author: Hu Sun, 632193711@qq.com

Copyright: 2026 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY-NC 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited, and explicitly prohibiting its use for commercial purposes.

Abstract: From a multidisciplinary interdisciplinary perspective, this study systematically constructs a four-dimensional theoretical framework for the integration of science and education—comprising the objective layer, element layer, process layer, and evaluation layer. It proposes a three-pronged practical pathway: leveraging the educational transformation of scientific and technological resources as the foundation, implementing science-education integrated teaching as the core, and employing performance evaluation and institutional safeguards as the support. Furthermore, the study establishes a three-dimensional evaluation system—centered on "talent quality, industrial contribution, and innovation efficacy" —thereby creating a complete operational closed-loop mechanism. These research findings provide theoretical guidance and replicable practical solutions for vocational colleges to deepen their reforms in science-education integration, thereby significantly enhancing the capacity of vocational education to serve industrial upgrading and national strategic objectives.

Keywords: Integration of Science and Education; Vocational Education; Practical Pathways

Published: Nov 1, 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62177/jetp.v3i4.1793

1. Introduction

As a vital component of both the national education system and human resource development, vocational education serves as a critical link that bridges education with industry, and connects talent supply with market demand. Its high-quality development is directly linked to enhancing a nation's innovative capacity, optimizing and upgrading its industrial structure, and fostering sustainable economic and social development[1]. With the accelerated progression of the new round of scientific and technological revolution and industrial transformation, the continuous emergence of new technologies[2], new business models[3], and new operational paradigms[4] has imposed higher demands on the comprehensive competencies and innovative capabilities of technical and skilled professionals. Traditional vocational education models, however, struggle to meet contemporary developmental needs: their teaching content lags behind the pace of technological iteration; practical teaching remains disconnected from real-world industrial scenarios[5,6]; and their talent cultivation objectives are misaligned with the requirements of innovation-driven development. These issues significantly hinder the full realization of vocational education's potential.

The integration of science and education, as a novel educational development concept and practical model, has broken down the barriers between scientific research and education, achieved deep integration of scientific research innovation

and talent cultivation, and injected strong momentum into the high-quality development of vocational education [7]. This integration leverages scientific research innovation to drive educational transformation by converting cutting-edge scientific and technological achievements, as well as industrial technology demands, into teaching resources. Through the bidirectional integration of research and teaching processes, it fosters learners' technical application capabilities and innovative thinking. Conversely, it utilizes educational practice to support scientific and technological applications—leveraging the practical advantages of vocational education to facilitate the commercialization and industrialization of research outcomes—thereby establishing a virtuous cycle wherein "research enhances teaching, teaching fuels research, and industry-academia collaboration is achieved."

2. Review of Current Research Status at Home and Abroad

In the field of international vocational education, practical explorations integrating science and education began relatively early, giving rise to diverse development models with distinct regional characteristics, thereby providing valuable references for integrating science and education in China's vocational education system. The German dual vocational education model, through prolonged development, has established a collaborative talent cultivation framework characterized by enterprise-based practical training as the core component, supported by school-based education. Leading enterprises in the industry actively participate in the formulation of vocational education curriculum standards, the design of teaching content, and the implementation of practical training components. They transform advanced industrial technologies and production processes into modular training modules, enabling learners to engage in practical activities—such as equipment commissioning, process optimization, and problem-solving—within authentic production environments. This approach ensures a real-time alignment between skill development and industry demands.

Domestic research on the integration of science and education has achieved leapfrog development alongside the deepening implementation of national strategies such as "Revitalizing the Country Through Science and Education" and "Innovation-Driven Development." Since the 20th National Congress of the Communist Party of China first explicitly proposed the concept of "integration of science and education," both theoretical and practical research have demonstrated promising progress across multiple dimensions. In constructing a conceptual framework, scholars have progressively clarified the core essence of this integration by comparing the connotative differences between related concepts—including "combination of science and education," "integration of science and education," and "integration of industry and education." Research indicates that the integration of science and education differs from traditional approaches of "combination of science and education" or "integration of industry and education"; its core lies in achieving bidirectional penetration between scientific research processes and teaching processes, as well as deep coupling between technological innovation and talent cultivation. It emphasizes a dynamic equilibrium mechanism— "using research to enhance teaching, and using teaching to foster research" —thereby embodying the organic unification of science, education, and industry.

However, domestic research and practice regarding the integration of science and education in vocational education still face numerous systemic bottlenecks. At the theoretical research level, existing studies predominantly focus on interpreting the policy implications and macro-level significance of such integration; there remains a lack of systematic theoretical frameworks built from a multidisciplinary perspective. Furthermore, research on core issues—such as the intrinsic mechanisms, constituent elements, and implementation pathways of this integration—remains insufficiently in-depth, and a comprehensive theoretical system or scientific analytical framework has yet to be established. At the regional development level, a pronounced imbalance is evident: vocational colleges in eastern regions, leveraging abundant industrial resources, substantial research investment, and well-established collaborative mechanisms, have made rapid progress in integrating science and education. In contrast, vocational colleges in central and western regions—constrained by inadequate investment in research equipment, limited access to corporate partnerships, and insufficient policy support—have experienced slower progress in areas such as translating technological research into teaching practices, building research teams, and facilitating the commercialization of research outcomes; consequently, the gap between these regions has continued to widen. Regarding evaluation frameworks, existing assessment methods primarily emphasize explicit indicators—such as the number of courses offered, the number of collaborating enterprises, and the number of competition awards—while overlooking the implicit value

of such integration in enhancing talent innovation capabilities, contributing to industrial technical services, and fostering the intrinsic development of vocational institutions. The absence of scientifically sound quantitative evaluation metrics and a robust evaluation mechanism makes it difficult to conduct comprehensive and objective assessments of integration outcomes, nor to provide effective feedback and guidance for ongoing optimization efforts.

3. Theoretical Framework for Guiding the High-Quality Development of Vocational Education Through the Integration of Science and Education

The theoretical framework for the integration of science and education in vocational education is built upon interdisciplinary integration, incorporating the core concepts and research methodologies of multiple disciplines—including pedagogy, economics, and management—thereby establishing a solid theoretical foundation. Building on this multidisciplinary theoretical base, and in alignment with the practical development of vocational education and national strategic priorities, this study constructs a theoretical system for science-education integration comprising four dimensions: the objective layer, the factor layer, the process layer, and the evaluation layer. These dimensions are interrelated and mutually reinforcing, collectively forming a logically rigorous, hierarchically structured, and cohesive integrated framework.

The objective layer focuses on serving the construction of an education powerhouse, clearly defining the strategic positioning of integrating science and education. The fundamental goal of this integration is to promote the high-quality development of vocational education, cultivating high-caliber technical and skilled talents who possess strong professional ethics, proficient technical skills, and robust innovative capabilities, thereby providing solid talent support for industrial upgrading, scientific and technological innovation, and overall economic and social development. Simultaneously, through such integration, the goal is to facilitate the commercialization and practical application of scientific research achievements, enhance the societal service capacity of vocational education, foster synergistic development among vocational education, science and technology, and industry, and ultimately contribute to building a nation that excels in education, science and technology, and talent development.

The elemental layer comprises scientific and technological resources, educational resources, and industrial resources, thereby delineating the core components of integration. Scientific and technological resources serve as the primary driving force for the integration of science and education; they include cutting-edge scientific and technological achievements, research instruments and equipment, research teams, and research platforms, providing vocational education with abundant teaching content and innovative materials. Educational resources constitute the foundational guarantee for this integration, encompassing teaching faculty, instructional facilities, curriculum systems, and teaching methodologies, which offer critical platforms for the pedagogical translation of scientific and technological resources. Industrial resources represent the practical orientation of the integration, encompassing industrial technological demands, production practice scenarios, corporate management experience, and industry standards and regulations, thereby providing clear objectives and practical platforms for the integration. These three elements are interdependent and mutually convertible, collectively forming the elemental foundation of the integration of science and education.

The process layer encompasses resource transformation, instructional implementation, and collaborative innovation, thereby elucidating the key stages for achieving integration between science and education. Resource transformation serves as the prerequisite for such integration; it involves converting scientific and technological resources, as well as industrial resources, into teaching resources suitable for vocational education—including course content, practical training projects, and teaching cases—thereby facilitating the educational transformation of scientific and technological achievements to meet industrial demands. Instructional implementation constitutes the core component of this integration; it entails integrating the transformed teaching resources throughout the entire teaching process, employing innovative teaching models, pedagogical approaches, and assessment methods to achieve an organic synthesis of knowledge delivery, skill cultivation, and innovation capability development. Collaborative innovation provides the critical safeguard for this integration; it involves multi-stakeholder participation—including government agencies, educational institutions, enterprises, and research organizations—in engaging in in-depth cooperation across areas such as resource sharing, talent cultivation, scientific research breakthroughs, and technology commercialization, thereby forging a powerful synergistic force for collaborative innovation.

The evaluation framework comprises talent quality, industrial contribution, and innovation efficacy, establishing integrated measurement dimensions. Talent quality serves as the core evaluation indicator for the integration of science and education; it primarily assesses the improvement achieved by learners in technical skill proficiency, innovative capabilities, and professional competence, thereby reflecting the role of this integration in fostering talent development. Industrial contribution constitutes a key evaluation metric, focusing on the extent to which vocational education, through the integration of science and education, provides talent support, technical services, and technology commercialization for industrial development, thus demonstrating its role in driving industrial upgrading. Innovation efficacy acts as a comprehensive evaluation indicator, measuring the effectiveness of such integration in areas such as innovative teaching models, institutional and systemic reforms, and technological advancements, thereby highlighting its role in advancing the development of vocational education and the construction of an innovative ecosystem.

This theoretical framework provides a profound deconstruction of the essential connotation of the integration of science and education: the term “integration” emphasizes the permeation and symbiotic coexistence of scientific/technological and educational elements, aiming to dismantle the barriers between science/technology and education, thereby achieving an organic combination of research processes and teaching processes; the term “synthesis” highlights the aggregation and efficiency enhancement of resources from multiple stakeholders—including governments, institutions, enterprises, and research organizations—thereby forging a powerful synergistic force to drive the high-quality development of vocational education. Its core characteristics are manifested in: leveraging scientific research and innovation to lead educational transformation, utilizing educational practice to inform scientific and technological applications, and adopting a value orientation focused on serving industrial upgrading and cultivating innovative talent. These four dimensions—objective layer (setting direction), factor layer (establishing foundations), process layer (constructing pathways), and evaluation layer (providing feedback for optimization)—form a closed-loop linkage. This framework not only addresses the core requirements of vocational education's institutional positioning but also aligns with the contemporary demands of scientific/technological innovation and industrial development. Simultaneously, this theoretical framework compensates for the fragmentation of existing research regarding the dimensions of science-education integration, offering systematic theoretical guidance for vocational institutions to implement such integration practices and for governments to formulate relevant policies. Furthermore, it enriches the theoretical foundation of high-quality vocational education development, propelling this integration from practical exploration toward theoretical deepening and institutional refinement.

4. Practical Pathways for Integrating Science and Education to Drive High-Quality Development of Vocational Education

Building upon the four-dimensional theoretical framework of science-education integration—comprising the objective layer, element layer, process layer, and evaluation layer—this section, grounded in the cognitive principles of vocational education, systematically proposes practical pathways for leveraging science-education integration to drive high-quality development in vocational education. This approach establishes a solid foundation through the educational transformation of scientific and technological resources, employs science-education integrated teaching as its core mechanism, and secures long-term support via performance evaluation and institutional safeguards. These three components are interlinked and synergistically coordinated, thereby providing vocational colleges with actionable, replicable, and systematic implementation plans to deepen their science-education integration reforms.

4.1 Pathways for the Educational Transformation of Scientific and Technological Resources

The educational transformation of scientific and technological resources constitutes the fundamental prerequisite for the integration of science and education, and serves as the primary lever for bridging the “elementary layer” and the “process layer” of the theoretical framework. At its core, this approach entails fulfilling the requirements for the synergistic integration of scientific and technological resources, educational resources, and industrial resources within the elementary layer—specifically, converting cutting-edge scientific and technological achievements and core industrial technologies into pedagogical elements tailored to vocational education, thereby establishing a robust resource foundation for the effective implementation of science-education integration. Building upon the aforementioned four-dimensional theoretical framework,

this pathway must strictly align with the objective of the target layer— "cultivating talents who possess professional competence, technical skills, and innovative capabilities" —while taking into account the cognitive characteristics of vocational education learners. By achieving the educational decomposition of scientific and technological resources and the pedagogical adaptation of industrial technologies, this approach will propel science-education integration from mere "resource alignment" toward "substantive integration."

Based on specific work arrangements, a tripartite collaborative task force—comprising researchers from scientific research institutions, technical experts from enterprises, and instructors from vocational colleges—shall be established, with clearly defined roles and responsibilities. The researchers will be tasked with deconstructing core technologies within scientific and technological resources and interpreting their underlying principles; the technical experts from enterprises will supplement these efforts with process-specific details and practical operational insights tailored to their respective roles; and the instructors from vocational colleges will adapt these findings to align with the pedagogical principles of vocational education, translating them into teachable language. This approach establishes a synergistic mechanism encompassing "technological deconstruction → role alignment → instructional translation." Subsequently, the task force will conduct targeted research and technical analyses, visiting laboratories within research institutions and production workshops within enterprises. By integrating these insights with the talent cultivation programs of the respective vocational colleges, the team will systematically deconstruct key technologies from research projects and core industrial processes from frontline operations. This process will identify fundamental instructional elements—including core principles, procedural steps, equipment parameters, safety regulations, and role-specific alignment points—thereby creating a comprehensive and systematic technical element map. Each element will be concurrently annotated with its corresponding sources of scientific and technological resources, relevant industrial application scenarios, and appropriate educational adaptation directions, ensuring that the essential substance of these technological resources is preserved. Finally, the task force will optimize the translation and adaptation workflow. Leveraging existing educational resources within vocational colleges—such as curriculum frameworks and practical training bases—the team will filter out overly abstract theoretical knowledge and complex technical details from the technological resources. By integrating industrial resources—such as specific job skill requirements from enterprises and industry talent benchmark standards—they will transform the deconstructed technical elements into actionable instructional content: converting core industrial production processes into practical training modules, transforming technical patents into case study materials, and incorporating common technological breakthroughs from the industry into key and challenging components of the curriculum. This strategy emphasizes the practicality and operability of the technologies, thereby preventing "technological disconnection" or "instructional disconnection." To advance the practical implementation of modularization, technical elements are divided into modular components based on the teaching objectives and practical training requirements of different majors and courses. Each module is associated with clearly defined teaching class hours, practical training scenarios, and assessment criteria. This approach ensures precise alignment between the curriculum systems of vocational colleges and practical training base resources, as well as between the skill requirements of corporate positions and core production processes. Specifically, for mechanical engineering programs, intelligent manufacturing technologies can be broken down into modules such as programming and operation, equipment commissioning, and fault diagnosis. These modules are then tailored to the operational needs of corporate production lines, complemented by dedicated practical training manuals and assessment question banks. This strategy facilitates seamless integration of teaching practices and effective deployment of training resources, thereby solidifying the practical foundation for the integration of science and education at the operational level.

4.2 Implementation Pathways for the Integration of Science and Education in Teaching

The implementation of science-education integration teaching constitutes the pivotal operational phase in realizing science-education convergence. By systematically integrating transformed scientific and technological teaching elements throughout the entire process of vocational education, this approach fosters deep integration among scientific/technological, educational, and industrial resources, thereby achieving the objective of cultivating versatile technical and skilled professionals. The implementation pathway adheres to the cognitive principle of "learning by doing and doing while learning," thereby

advancing science-education convergence from resource transformation toward concrete instructional implementation.

4.3 Full-Chain Instructional Implementation

We have established a dual-integration framework combining "research projects + teaching practice" and "industrial scenarios + classroom teaching," transforming modular technical elements into three core resources: a case library, practical training kits, and virtual simulation projects. Instruction is then organized according to a progressive workflow: "theoretical introduction → case analysis → hands-on training → simulation-based innovation → comprehensive assessment."

The implementation of classroom teaching leverages a case repository and adopts a "problem-oriented approach combined with joint teaching" model. For each core professional course, 2–5 real-world scientific research and industrial cases are integrated into each semester, implementing a "1+1+1" joint teaching framework: one class period is dedicated to university faculty explaining fundamental technical theories; another class period is allocated to researchers detailing the technological R&D background, key research challenges, and innovative approaches; and the final class period is reserved for industry technical experts to illustrate practical application scenarios and manufacturing processes of the technologies in production settings. In the course "Reinforcement Technology for Building Steel Structures," the scientific research case titled "Fatigue Reinforcement of Large-Span Bridge Steel Structures on the Meida Expressway" was introduced. First, researchers presented bridge deterioration detection data and the design process of the reinforcement scheme; next, university faculty elucidated the underlying principles of the reinforcement techniques; and finally, industry engineers outlined critical on-site construction considerations and quality control standards.

Our innovative initiatives leverage virtual simulation projects and extracurricular teaching programs to address high-risk, high-cost, and high-difficulty aspects of construction—such as safety monitoring in deep foundation pits and operation of chemical reaction vessels—by developing immersive virtual simulation projects. Within these virtual environments, students can repeatedly engage in operational practice, parameter tuning, and emergency response drills. Utilizing the "Deep Foundation Pit Construction Safety Monitoring Virtual Simulation System" developed by industry partners, students can simulate the deployment of monitoring points, data acquisition, and emergency response procedures under diverse geological conditions; the system then automatically provides scoring and generates operational reports. Simultaneously, through the establishment of "Science and Technology Innovation Interest Groups" within extracurricular frameworks—led by research personnel and industry experts as instructors—students regularly participate in technical lectures, innovation competitions, and corporate field visits, thereby fostering their passion for innovation.

4.4 Collaborative Innovation Mechanism among Universities, Enterprises, and Research Institutions

Establish a collaborative innovation closed-loop featuring bidirectional empowerment among "teaching, research, and industry," thereby achieving deep integration of the teaching process, research process, and production process.

A regular joint teaching team has been established, led by professional discipline heads from vocational colleges, project leaders from scientific research institutions, and technical directors from enterprises, serving as the team heads. The team has formulated its charter and work plan, regularly convenes teaching seminars, and collaboratively revises talent cultivation programs, develops teaching resources, and designs teaching projects. The joint team in the Building Construction Technology program has successfully integrated the latest technologies in "Prefabricated Building Intelligent Construction" into the curriculum system, and has developed supporting textbooks and practical training guides for core courses, including "Prefabricated Building Construction Technology."

We have established a collaborative platform for the commercialization of research outcomes among universities, enterprises, and research institutions, thereby refining mechanisms for technology transfer and its reciprocal support. Outstanding achievements generated by students through teaching and innovative practices can be directly transformed into technical improvement solutions for enterprises; for successfully commercialized achievements, both students and their supervising instructors will receive appropriate rewards and credit recognition. Simultaneously, we integrate technical challenges faced by enterprises and research directions from scientific research institutions into teaching activities, utilizing these insights as subject matter for students' graduation projects and innovation competitions. This approach fosters a virtuous cycle: "teaching yields innovative outcomes → these outcomes drive industrial upgrading → industrial demands inform teaching reforms."

For instance, an optimization proposal for steel structure reinforcement techniques, developed by a student in their graduation project, was applied in a real-world engineering project by a partner enterprise, resulting in a 15% increase in construction efficiency. Consequently, the enterprise signed a new round of technical cooperation agreements with the university.

5. Conclusion

This paper focuses on the theme of integrating science and education to drive the high-quality development of vocational education, conducting both theoretical framework development and practical pathway research. The main findings are as follows.

A multidisciplinary theoretical framework for the integration of science and education has been established. Building upon relevant theories from pedagogy, economics, and management science, this framework constructs a four-dimensional theoretical structure comprising the objective layer, element layer, process layer, and evaluation layer. It clarifies the essential connotations of "integration" and "synthesis" in science-education integration, elucidates its core operational mechanisms, and addresses the systemic limitations of existing research. Furthermore, a three-pronged practical pathway for science-education integration has been proposed: the educational transformation of scientific and technological resources as the foundation, the implementation of science-education integrated teaching as the core, and performance evaluation coupled with institutional safeguards as the support. These three pathways are interrelated and mutually reinforcing, providing vocational colleges with actionable and replicable implementation strategies to deepen science-education integration. This approach effectively addresses critical challenges, including the misalignment between talent cultivation and industrial demands, inadequate resource integration, and weak connotative development.

Funding

Supported by the 2025 annual project of the "14th Five-Year Plan" Educational Science Planning of Shaanxi Province: Research on Theoretical Construction and Practical Pathways for High-Quality Development of Vocational Education Through Science-Education Integration(SGH25Y3866)

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Reference

[1] Wang, Y. D., Zhu, Y., & Li, P. (2025). Research and practice on the cultivation of applied welding technology and engineering talents based on the integration of industry-education, science-education, and professional-entrepreneurial collaboration. Electric Welding Machines, 55(11), 120–125.

[2] Huang, J. (2025). Research on pathways for vocational college students to achieve employment and entrepreneurship through digital trade exhibition activities under the context of integrating science and education. In Proceedings of the Teaching Reform Sub-forum of the 2025 Higher Education Development Forum (Volume I) (pp. 170–172). Zhejiang Institute of Commerce and Economics.

[3] Chen, Y. F., Feng, Q. W., & Zhu, P. W. (2024). Research on the reform of mechanical engineering talent training models from the perspective of integrating science and education. Times Automotive, 24, 47–49.

[4] Tang, J. (2024). Research on pathways for cultivating top-tier innovative talents through the integration of science and education from the perspective of new quality productive forces development. Modern Vocational Education, 35, 45–48.

[5] Zhao, C., Li, Y., & Liang, H. L. (2024). The context, value implications, and practical pathways of integrating science and education to empower high-quality development of vocational education. China Vocational and Technical Education, 34, 34–42.

[6] Zhang, X. Y., Nie, Z. Y., & Zhang, L. J. (2024). The ontology, value theory, and methodology of integrating science and education in vocational education. Modern Educational Management, 11, 116–128.

[7] An, P., Sun, B., & Liu, C. Q. (2024). The essential principles, implementation foundations, and action strategies for integrating science and education in vocational education in the current era. Vocational and Technical Education, 45(31), 62–67.