

# The Role of Digital Innovation in Shaping Cultural Narratives: Analysing the Ethical Implications of Algorithm-Driven Media Platforms for Online Communities

Kangjun Li\*

Shenzhen Tiancha Industry Co., Shenzhen, China

\*Corresponding author : Kangjun Li

**Copyright:** 2024 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY-NC 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited, and explicitly prohibiting its use for commercial purposes.

**Abstract:** This study critically analyzes the dual impact of digital innovation on cultural and creative industries, emphasizing both opportunities and challenges. It investigates how digital media platforms, such as TikTok and the Palace Museum, drive market expansion, enhance cultural sustainability, and democratize information sharing. These innovations foster public engagement and cultural tourism, thereby contributing to economic growth and cultural development. Nevertheless, the study highlights ethical challenges such as misinformation, algorithmic bias, gender inequality, and racial discrimination, which hinder the potential benefits of digital platforms. Using a qualitative methodology that integrates case studies and secondary data analysis, this research identifies mechanisms driving these dual effects and evaluates strategies to mitigate negative consequences. The findings underscore the need for ethical frameworks and governance mechanisms to guide digital innovation toward sustainable development, ensuring alignment with inclusivity and social responsibility.

**Keywords:** Media Platforms; Traffic; Algorithms; Inequality; Online Communities; Digital Innovation

**Published:** Dec 06, 2024

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.62177/chst.v1i3.97>

## 1. Introduction

Globally, digital innovation and technology are integral to business development, social progress, and the growth of creative industries (Ciriello et al., 2018). According to Bruno and Canina (2019), advancements in digital technology facilitate democratic information sharing, foster employment and enterprise growth, and support the sustainable development of cultural and creative industries. For instance, Spotify, a Swedish music technology company, leveraged “Web 2.0” to position itself as a leading streaming platform, achieving \$13 billion in revenue and market capitalization by 2017 (Vonderau, 2019). Such companies exemplify how digital innovation provides a competitive edge in the creative economy.

However, the widespread use of digital media platforms introduces significant ethical risks. According to Weimann and Masri (2023), algorithmic technologies employed by media platforms often propagate content that fosters immorality, environmental issues, and inequality. TikTok, for example, has been criticized for hosting videos that infringe on minors’ privacy and disseminate extremist hate speech, leading to its temporary ban in Indonesia in 2018 (Weimann & Masri, 2023). These examples highlight the complex interplay between digital innovation’s positive contributions and its potential ethical challenges.

This paper examines the dual impact of digital innovation on cultural and creative industries. It explores the benefits of

digital transformation, including enhanced information exchange, creative cultural production, and market development, while addressing adverse effects such as environmental harm, immorality, and inequality (Ciriello et al., 2018). The subsequent sections critically analyze the positive and negative effects of digital technological innovations on cultural industries, culminating in an evaluation of the role of digital media platforms in promoting sustainable cultural creativity. This study relies on secondary data analysis, combining research reports and relevant literature to identify the challenges and opportunities that digital innovation presents for the sustainable development of cultural and creative industries.

## **2. Literature Review**

### **2.1 Introduction to Digital Innovation in Cultural Industries**

The advent of digital innovation has significantly transformed cultural and creative industries, offering unprecedented opportunities for market expansion, cultural preservation, and democratized access to cultural content. Platforms such as TikTok, Instagram, and the Palace Museum's digital initiatives have reshaped the production, sharing, and consumption of cultural material through the use of advanced algorithms that engage global audiences. These platforms amplify cultural visibility and foster innovative cultural products. For instance, TikTok's algorithm tailors content recommendations based on user preferences, geography, and behavior, allowing local cultural expressions to gain international traction and promote cultural tourism (He, 2023). Similarly, the Palace Museum in China has digitized its collections and marketed cultural derivatives via platforms like TikTok and Taobao, transforming its historical artifacts into marketable products for modern audiences (Tu et al., 2019). These examples underscore the potential of digital innovation to sustain cultural heritage while fostering new revenue streams.

### **2.2 Democratization of Cultural Participation**

Digital platforms also play a vital role in democratizing cultural participation. Ciriello et al. (2018) argue that these platforms empower individuals to create and share cultural content, fostering inclusivity and granting marginalized groups a voice on a global stage. By lowering barriers to entry, platforms like TikTok enable grassroots cultural expressions to flourish, disrupting traditional hierarchies that often marginalize lesser-known cultural forms. This accessibility promotes cultural diversity and facilitates intercultural dialogue, which is essential for the global exchange of ideas and creative expressions. As user-generated content continues to thrive, digital platforms are emerging as critical spaces for inclusive cultural innovation.

### **2.3 Ethical Challenges of Digital Innovation**

Despite their contributions, digital platforms also pose significant ethical challenges. A growing body of literature highlights the unintended negative consequences of algorithmic decision-making and the spread of misinformation. Forti et al. (2023) illustrate how social media platforms can become vehicles for disinformation, particularly concerning environmental issues. They cite cases where platforms like Facebook were used to disseminate misleading claims about deforestation and biodiversity, skewing public understanding and policy discussions. These examples reveal the darker side of digital platforms, where profit-driven algorithms prioritize engagement over accuracy.

Algorithmic bias further exacerbates these challenges. Ozduzen et al. (2023) explore how platforms like TikTok amplify racial and cultural biases through engagement-driven algorithms. Content that stirs controversy or emotional responses is often promoted, even if it perpetuates stereotypes or divisive cultural debates. Moreover, the lack of transparency in algorithmic processes makes it difficult to hold platforms accountable for the content they prioritize. Thus, while algorithms democratize cultural production, they also risk entrenching inequalities and reinforcing harmful societal norms.

### **2.4 Gender Inequality in Digital Spaces**

Gender inequality is another critical concern in digital spaces. Sobieraj (2018) highlights how digital platforms often replicate and amplify patriarchal structures, particularly in the representation of women. Online spaces such as Twitter and Facebook frequently host misogynistic discourse, resulting in the marginalization and harassment of women, particularly those in creative or public roles. Female creators and activists often face disproportionate levels of online abuse compared to their male counterparts, which limits their participation and ability to influence cultural narratives. These dynamics highlight the need for systemic changes to ensure digital platforms support an inclusive and equitable cultural ecosystem.

## 2.5 Research Gaps and Future Directions

While the existing literature thoroughly examines the benefits of digital platforms and the ethical challenges they pose, it often fails to integrate these dual forces within a single analytical framework. For instance, Peukert (2019) emphasizes the importance of sustainability in platform management but neglects to address how ethical challenges such as misinformation and gender inequality intersect with these efforts. Similarly, Karizat et al. (2021) advocate for algorithmic transparency and ethical oversight but do not propose a comprehensive framework for integrating these solutions into platforms that already contribute to harmful practices.

This research gap underscores the need for a holistic approach to understanding how digital platforms can reconcile their innovative potential with ethical governance. Future studies should explore how platforms like TikTok can balance the promotion of cultural innovation with accountability for their algorithmic practices and content moderation. By addressing these dual forces, this study aims to contribute to a nuanced understanding of how digital innovation can drive sustainable and equitable development in cultural and creative industries.

## 3. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research approach to examine the dual impact of digital innovation on cultural and creative industries, exploring both its transformative potential and ethical challenges. A qualitative approach is particularly suited to investigating complex, context-dependent phenomena, offering a deep understanding of how digital platforms intersect with cultural practices and ethical concerns (Creswell, 2014). The research utilizes secondary data analysis, drawing on diverse sources such as academic literature, government reports, media articles, and case studies like TikTok and the Palace Museum, which serve as key examples of platforms influencing global cultural engagement. Two analytical methods are employed: thematic analysis, which identifies recurring themes related to cultural engagement, market expansion, and ethical issues such as misinformation and algorithmic bias (Braun & Clarke, 2006), and Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA), which explores how digital platforms shape cultural narratives and societal values through user-generated content and algorithm-driven recommendations (Fairclough, 1995). By employing triangulation, which integrates multiple data sources and analytical methods, the study strengthens the validity of its findings, ensuring a comprehensive and credible examination of digital innovation's role in cultural industries (Denzin, 1978). The ultimate objective of this methodology is to critically evaluate how digital innovation can foster sustainable cultural development while addressing the ethical implications of these technological advancements, offering a broader understanding of the potential and challenges posed by digital platforms in the cultural sector.

## 4. The Impact of Digital Media Platforms on Cultural and Creative Innovation: Advancements, Business Models, and Sustainable Development

Media platforms have played a pivotal role in expanding commercial markets and diversifying product lines through innovations in technology and business models (Ciriello, 2018). For instance, TikTok has revolutionized traditional media by introducing a short-video model capped at 60 seconds and leveraging advanced algorithms to recommend personalized content based on users' geographical locations and interests (He, 2023). The platform's design allows users to swipe effortlessly for new content, filling fragmented periods of leisure and facilitating easy access to information anytime and anywhere (Ma and Hu, 2021). These innovations have attracted over one billion downloads and more than 600 million active users globally (Talarico, 2021). By 2019, TikTok's market value had reached USD 75 billion, with applications accessible in over 150 countries (Ma and Hu, 2021). Such developments underscore the significance of integrating artificial intelligence and algorithmic systems in boosting user engagement and expanding market size.

Digital innovation also drives the sustainability of cultural and creative activities by leveraging media platforms to promote local cultural heritage (Peukert, 2019). In China's Yunnan Province, iconic cultural elements such as jadeite, the ancient city of Lijiang, and colorful porcelain have gained widespread recognition through targeted promotion on TikTok. By showcasing ethnic dances and music, the Yunnan Culture and Tourism Department attracted millions of views, spurred imitation videos, and boosted sales of ethnic costumes and tourism activities (He, 2023). These initiatives have significantly advanced the local

culture and tourism industries. Thus, while providing entertainment and leisure, innovative media platforms also serve as vehicles for promoting cultural tourism and fostering sustainable cultural and creative development (Zhou, 2023).

The integration of innovative business models and cultural creativity has further amplified the commercial value of media platforms. TikTok, for instance, utilizes its vast influencer-driven traffic and integrates shopping features to enable live commerce targeting younger audiences (Yue et al., 2023). A notable example is the Palace Museum in Beijing, which collaborates with platforms like Taobao and TikTok to conduct live events showcasing its cultural heritage. By reimagining traditional artifacts into functional and artistic derivatives, the museum has democratized access to exclusive cultural treasures. By 2018, the museum's cultural and creative products numbered over 10,000 items, generating approximately 150 million RMB in revenue (Tu et al., 2019). Live-streaming commerce enriches the shopping experience by allowing real-time interaction between consumers and products, leading to increased engagement and revenue (Gu et al., 2023). Such initiatives not only enhance public knowledge but also ensure the commercial sustainability of cultural institutions, preserving cultural continuity through innovative design (Tu et al., 2019).

Moreover, social media platforms maximize the potential of cultural and creative activities by accurately targeting specific audiences and fostering collaborations with commercial brands (Haenlein et al., 2020). In 2019, Gucci partnered with over 20 Instagram influencers to promote its new perfume, Gucci Bloom, through creative marketing campaigns. This collaboration resulted in 750,000 new followers on Gucci's platform, significantly enhancing brand awareness and audience reach. By 2020, Gucci's media operations and promotions industry were valued at nearly USD 10 billion (Haenlein et al., 2020). Such campaigns highlight the synergy between digital platforms, cultural content, and commercial brands, leveraging high user traffic and interactive features to integrate culture, entertainment, and commerce effectively (Talarico, 2021).

Finally, digital innovation on media platforms contributes to more transparent and diverse information production while democratizing information delivery through multi-party participation (Zhu and Skoric, 2023). For example, platforms like Facebook and Instagram allow users to share news links and engage in discussions on policies and politics (Beam et al., 2016). During the 2020 U.S. presidential election, analyses of immigrant groups' discussions on these platforms enabled policymakers to understand the unique concerns of these communities, contributing to more informed decision-making (Trauthig and Woolley, 2023). However, some populations prefer private channels for sensitive discussions. For instance, approximately 50% of Hispanic Americans use WhatsApp to share political opinions due to its privacy features, compared to only 20% of white Americans (Trauthig and Woolley, 2023). This trend demonstrates that both public and private platforms play distinct roles in fostering cultural discourse, advancing public opinion, and enhancing democratic engagement (Beam et al., 2016).

## **5. The Spread of False Environmental Claims on Media Platforms and Its Consequences**

Digital innovation technologies have undeniably revolutionized the cultural, business, and democratic spheres, fostering the growth of new media platforms that democratize information sharing and creative expression. However, alongside these advancements, negative phenomena have emerged, particularly in the realm of disinformation, environmental misrepresentation, gender inequality, and racial bias, which have significant consequences for both individual and societal levels.

One prominent negative phenomenon in the digital media landscape is the dissemination of misinformation, especially regarding environmental issues. For instance, in Brazil, the livestock industry, driven by economic interests, has utilized social media platforms to spread false claims about the environmental benefits of expanding livestock production. This false narrative, suggesting that grazing reduces fires by drying grass-drying organisms, has gained significant traction on platforms such as Facebook and Google News. According to Forti et al. (2023), these misleading posts were widely shared and discussed, triggering public engagement that focused on moral sentiments surrounding environmental protection. However, the reality is that livestock activities, particularly intensive grazing, contribute significantly to climate change, as they produce methane and degrade natural resources (Berndt & Tomkins, 2013; Garcia et al., 2021). Despite the scientific evidence, the widespread belief in these false claims has influenced public opinion and even policy decisions, which have supported the livestock industry's expansion and reduced government funding for firefighting, exacerbating the severity of fires and climate

change in Brazil (Forti et al., 2023). The role of algorithm-driven platforms in amplifying this disinformation underscores the ethical challenges posed by digital media, where harmful content can spread rapidly and shape public opinion with little regard for accuracy or environmental consequences.

Moreover, digital innovation platforms have become breeding grounds for ethical issues that transcend the physical public sphere, including gender inequality. The development of these platforms has lowered the barrier to entry for public discourse, allowing individuals to express opinions freely and engage in discussions that were previously constrained by traditional media outlets. However, as Sobieraj (2018) highlights, this democratization of information exchange has also facilitated the spread of unethical and harmful perspectives. In particular, women are often targeted with misogynistic attacks aimed at discrediting their views, especially when they challenge the male-dominated status quo. On platforms like Facebook, YouTube, and X (formerly Twitter), female users who share their experiences, work methods, or theoretical ideas are frequently subjected to cyberattacks, with male users dismissing their contributions as less valuable or credible (Sobieraj, 2018). These attacks perpetuate the idea that women lack the capacity to create meaningful content, thereby silencing their voices and hindering their participation in the creative media space.

The gendered nature of online harassment contributes to the exclusion of women from the development of a vibrant creative media culture, as many women are discouraged from participating in online discussions due to fear of abuse or marginalization (Sobieraj, 2018; Valentine, 1989). This phenomenon mirrors the broader societal issue of gender inequality, where women are often denied equal recognition and opportunities in both physical and digital public spheres. The proliferation of toxic, gendered discourse on digital platforms thus not only undermines women's agency but also perpetuates systemic sexism in the media and creative industries.

Similarly, the use of digital platforms to perpetuate racial and ethnic discrimination is a growing concern. Platforms like TikTok have been identified as venues where racist content and discriminatory narratives are easily disseminated, often under the guise of political commentary or nationalistic pride. For example, a study by Ozduzen et al. (2023) found that creators on TikTok frequently use racially charged hashtags, such as those promoting anti-immigrant sentiments, to attract viewers and gain viral traction. These videos often blend controversial political statements with culturally significant content, using background music, visuals, and captions to create a compelling narrative that resonates with a broad audience. In one instance, a video that portrayed Muslims as a threat to British society received widespread support, with nearly 4,000 likes and over 400 comments, further fueling racial tensions and promoting a harmful, exclusionary narrative (Ozduzen et al., 2023).

The rapid spread of racist content on platforms like TikTok is facilitated by the platform's algorithm, which prioritizes engagement and user interaction over content quality or ethical considerations. As Ozduzen et al. (2023) explain, the platform's algorithmic design rewards content that generates high levels of user interaction, irrespective of its moral or factual accuracy. This creates an environment where harmful content is amplified and shared widely, with little regard for the potential societal consequences. By embedding such content within popular trends and viral challenges, platforms like TikTok contribute to the normalization of racist and discriminatory ideas, which can have far-reaching effects on public opinion and social cohesion.

In addition to these issues, the spread of disinformation, gender inequality, and racial bias on digital platforms has broader implications for societal well-being and democratic governance. The ability of digital technologies to manipulate public opinion through targeted algorithms and misinformation campaigns poses a significant challenge to the integrity of democratic processes. As seen in the Brazilian case, the manipulation of environmental discourse through false narratives can influence public policy, which, in turn, affects global environmental outcomes. Similarly, the spread of sexist and racist content undermines social progress and perpetuates existing inequalities, limiting the potential for marginalized groups, particularly women and people of color, to fully participate in the digital and creative economy.

In conclusion, while digital innovation technologies have provided unprecedented opportunities for creativity, democratic participation, and information sharing, they have also given rise to negative phenomena that undermine ethical standards and social cohesion. The rapid spread of misinformation, gendered harassment, and racial bias on digital media platforms

highlights the need for greater accountability and regulation in the digital space. It is essential for policymakers, platform developers, and users to work together to address these issues and ensure that digital technologies serve to promote, rather than hinder, the creation of a fair, inclusive, and sustainable media landscape.

## 6. Evaluation and Conclusion

This paper explores the expansion of markets, the transformation of democratic modes of information sharing, and the promotion of sustainable development in the cultural industries. It also critically examines the environmental issues, gender inequalities, and racial bias caused by the digital innovations embedded in digital media platforms, highlighting both their positive and negative impacts.

As noted by Ciriello et al. (2018), innovations in digital technology have enabled media platforms such as TikTok to combine the strengths of traditional media with the features of digital products. This integration facilitates the scaling of companies and contributes to the innovation of cultural and creative industries by encouraging public participation (Ciriello et al., 2018). However, the ethical challenges and inequalities that arise on media platforms due to these digital innovations cannot be overlooked. According to Karizat et al. (2021), the use of algorithmic technology on online media platforms has amplified inequality and unethical discourse that was previously confined to physical public spaces. This has marginalized specific user groups, impeding their active participation in the creative industry and making the sustainable development of creative culture more difficult (Karizat et al., 2021). As such, platform companies must take steps to mitigate the negative impacts of digital media through technological interventions. For instance, TikTok uses algorithms to detect and prohibit racially discriminatory and other unethical remarks (Ozduzen et al., 2023).

In conclusion, this paper critically analyzes how, in today's globalized world, digital media platforms provide the public with new ways of interacting with digital innovations. These advancements in digital technology significantly influence the cultural and creative industries, shaping public participation in a democratic age (Bruno & Canina, 2019). The analysis of this paper contributes to a deeper understanding of the role digital technological innovations play in transforming business models, fostering sustainable development in cultural creativity, and driving social change (Lerro et al., 2022).

## References

- [1] Ahmed, S. and Madrid-Morales, D. 2021. Is it still a man's world? Social media news use and gender inequality in online political engagement. *Information, communication & society*.24(3), pp.381–399.
- [2] Bruno, C. and Canina, M. 2019. Creativity 4.0. Empowering creative process for digitally enhanced people. *The Design journal*. 22(S1), pp.2119–2131.
- [3] Berndt, A. and Tomkins, N.W. 2013. Measurement and mitigation of methane emissions from beef cattle in tropical grazing systems: a perspective from Australia and Brazil. *Animal (Cambridge, England)*. 7(s2), pp.363–372.
- [4] Beam, M.A., Hutchens, M.J. and Hmielowski, J.D. 2016. Clicking vs. sharing: The relationship between online news behaviors and political knowledge. *Computers in human behavior*. 59, pp.215–220.
- [5] Ciriello, R.F., Richter, A. and Schwabe, G. 2018. Digital Innovation. *Business & information systems engineering*. 60(6), pp.563–569.
- [6] Campos-Castillo, C. and Laestadius, L.I. 2020. Racial and ethnic digital divides in posting COVID-19 content on social media among US adults: Secondary survey analysis. *Journal of medical Internet research*. 22(7), pp.1–3.
- [7] Forti, L.R., Travassos, M.L. de O., Coronel-Bejarano, D., Miranda, D.F., Souza, D., Sabino, J. and Szabo, J.K. 2023. Posts Supporting Anti-Environmental Policy in Brazil are Shared More on Social Media. *Environmental management (New York)*. 71(6), pp.1188–1198.
- [8] Gu, Y., Cheng, X. and Shen, J. 2023. Design shopping as an experience: Exploring the effect of the live-streaming shopping characteristics on consumers' participation intention and memorable experience. *Information & management*. 60(5), pp.1-14.
- [9] Garcia, L.C., Szabo, J.K., de Oliveira Roque, F., de Matos Martins Pereira, A., Nunes da Cunha, C., Damasceno-Júnior, G.A., Morato, R.G., Tomas, W.M., Libonati, R. and Ribeiro, D.B. 2021. Record-breaking wildfires in the world's largest

- continuous tropical wetland: Integrative fire management is urgently needed for both biodiversity and humans. *Journal of environmental management*. 293, pp.112870–112870.
- [10] Haenlein, M., Anadol, E., Farnsworth, T., Hugo, H., Hunichen, J. and Welte, D. 2020. Navigating the New Era of Influencer Marketing: How to be Successful on Instagram, TikTok, & Co. *California management review*. 63(1), pp.5–25.
- [11] Hase, V., Boczek, K. and Scharkow, M. 2023. Adapting to Affordances and Audiences? A Cross-Platform, Multi-Modal Analysis of the Platformization of News on Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, and Twitter. *Digital journalism*. 11(8), pp.1499–1520.
- [12] He, H. 2023. Analysis of Cultural and Tourism Promotion in Chinese Small and Medium-Sized Cities on New Media Platforms. *SHS web of conferences*. 179, pp.1-5.
- [13] Herrick, S.S.C., Hallward, L. and Duncan, L.R. 2021. “This is just how I cope”: An inductive thematic analysis of eating disorder recovery content created and shared on TikTok using #EDrecovery. *The International journal of eating disorders*. 54(4), pp.516–526.
- [14] Kelly, L.T., Giljohann, K.M., Duane, A., Aquilué, N., Archibald, S., Batllori, E., Bennett, A.F., Buckland, S.T., Canelles, Q., Clarke, M.F., Fortin, M.-J., Hermoso, V., Herrando, S., Keane, R.E., Lake, F.K., McCarthy, M.A., Morán-Ordóñez, A., Parr, C.L., Pausas, J.G., Penman, T.D., Regos, A., Rumpff, L., Santos, J.L., Smith, A.L., Syphard, A.D., Tingley, M.W. and Brotons, L. 2020. Fire and biodiversity in the Anthropocene. *Science (American Association for the Advancement of Science)*. 370(6519), pp.1-10.
- [15] Karizat, N., Delmonaco, D., Eslami, M. and Andalibi, N. 2021. Algorithmic Folk Theories and Identity: How TikTok Users Co-Produce Knowledge of Identity and Engage in Algorithmic Resistance. *Proceedings of the ACM on human-computer interaction*. 5(CSCW2), pp.1–44.
- [16] Lerro, A., Schiuma, G. and Manfredi, F. 2022. Editorial: Entrepreneurial development and digital transformation in creative and cultural industries: trends, opportunities and challenges. *International journal of entrepreneurial behaviour & research*. 28(8), pp.1929–1939.
- [17] Ma, Y. and Hu, Y. 2021. Business Model Innovation and Experimentation in Transforming Economies: ByteDance and TikTok. *Management and organization review*. 17(2), pp.382–388.
- [18] Ozduzen, O., Ferenczi, N. and Holmes, I. 2023. “Let us teach our children”: Online racism and everyday far-right ideologies on TikTok. *Visual studies (Abingdon, England)*. 38(5), pp.834–850.
- [19] Peukert, C. 2019. The next wave of digital technological change and the cultural industries. *Journal of cultural economics*. 43(2), pp.189–210.
- [20] Sobieraj, S. 2018. Bitch, slut, skank, cunt: patterned resistance to women’s visibility in digital publics. *Information, communication & society*. 21(11), pp.1700–1714.
- [21] Schradie, J. 2011. The digital production gap: The digital divide and Web 2.0 collide. *Poetics (Amsterdam)*. 39(2), pp.145–168.
- [22] Talarico, D. 2021. To TikTok or not? Recruiting & retaining adult learners. 24(2), pp.3–5.
- [23] Trauthig, I.K. and Woolley, S.C. 2023. “On WhatsApp I say what I want”: Messaging apps, diaspora communities, and networked counterpublics in the United States. *New media & society*. OnlineFirst, pp.1–18.
- [24] Trenberth, K.E., Dai, A., Van Der Schrier, G., Jones, P.D., Barichivich, J., Briffa, K.R. and Sheffield, J. 2014. Global warming and changes in drought. *Nature climate change*. 4(1), pp.17–22.
- [25] Tu, J.-C., Liu, L.-X. and Cui, Y. 2019. A Study on Consumers’ Preferences for the Palace Museum’s Cultural and Creative Products from the Perspective of Cultural Sustainability. *Sustainability (Basel, Switzerland)*. 11(13), pp.1-23.
- [26] Vonderau, P. 2019. The Spotify Effect: Digital Distribution and Financial Growth. *Television & new media*. 20(1), pp.3–19.
- [27] Valentine, G. 1989. *The Geography of Women’s Fear*. Area (London 1969). 21(4), pp.385–390.
- [28] Weimann, G. and Masri, N. 2023. Research Note: Spreading Hate on TikTok. *Studies in conflict and terrorism*. 46(5),

pp.752–765.

- [29] Wahid, R., Karjaluoto, H., Taiminen, K. and Asiati, D.I. 2023. Becoming TikTok Famous: Strategies for Global Brands to Engage Consumers in an Emerging Market. *Journal of international marketing (East Lansing, Mich.)*. 31(1), pp.106–123.
- [30] Yue, X., Yang, Y., Gu, X., Wang, Q. and Chen, S. 2023. Research on the Impact of Perceived Interaction on User Stickiness in the Context of Tiktok E-commerce Live Broadcast -- The Mediating Role Based on Emotional Attachment. *SHS Web of Conferences*. 165, pp.1-7.
- [31] Zhu, Q. and Skoric, M.M. 2023. When politics is personal: Curating safe spaces through disconnection on instant messaging platforms. *Journal of information technology & politics*. ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print), pp.1–15.
- [32] Zhou, Q., Sotiriadis, M. and Shen, S. 2023. Using TikTok in tourism destination choice: A young Chinese tourists' perspective. *Tourism management perspectives*. 46, pp.1-14.