Asia Pacific Science Press

Critical Humanistic Social Theory
ISSN(O):3005-9291
ISSN(P):3005-9283
Vol. 3 No.2 (2026)

The Pineal Gland and Hyperdimensional Wisdom: A Critical Desk-Based Review of Neuroendocrinology, Consciousness Studies, and Spiritual-Cultural Narratives

Hongyu Cao[1], Weixiang Gan[2]*

1. Zhenguigu Technology Co., Ltd., Guangyang District, Langfang, Hebei, 065000, China
2. Lincoln University College, Petaling Jaya, Selangor Darul Ehsan-47301, Malaysia

Corresponding author: Weixiang Gan, Gabriel1995@qq.com

Abstract: This paper provides a critical desk-based review of the relationship between the pineal gland and "hyperdimensional wisdom" by drawing on neuroendocrinology, consciousness studies, religious and cultural history, and public science narratives. It does not define hyperdimensional wisdom as objective knowledge from higher-dimensional space, nor does it treat the pineal gland as an empirically established spiritual organ. Instead, the concept is approached as a structure of subjective experience, symbolic cognition, and cultural interpretation, encompassing mystical experience, altered states of consciousness, symbolic intuition, self-transcendence, and the perceived apprehension of a higher-order reality. Existing neuroendocrinological evidence indicates that the pineal gland's most stable and verifiable role lies in transmitting light-dark information through nocturnal melatonin secretion and in regulating sleep-wake and circadian rhythms. Pineal calcification is common in human populations, yet its causal relationship with sleep, cognition, ageing, and reduced melatonin remains heterogeneous and interpretively bounded. By contrast, popular claims connecting the pineal gland with DMT, dreaming, near-death experience, or the "opening of the third eye" have strong cultural circulation but do not yet amount to sufficient evidence in mainstream neuroscience. The paper therefore argues that the pineal gland may be studied both as a neuroendocrine organ and as a cultural symbol, while the claim that it directly generates hyperdimensional wisdom lacks a reliable empirical basis. A more defensible research direction is to translate hyperdimensional wisdom into investigable phenomena of consciousness, processes of meaning-making, and spiritual-cultural narratives.

Keywords: Pineal Gland; Melatonin; Circadian Rhythm; DMT; Mystical Experience; Third Eye; Hyperdimensional Wisdom; Consciousness Studies

Published: May 24, 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62177/chst.v3i2.1389

1. Introduction

The pineal gland has long attracted the joint attention of science, philosophy, and spiritual discourse not because it is anatomically large, but because it lies at the intersection of physiological rhythm, imaginings of consciousness, and cultural symbolism. As a small endocrine structure located near the centre of the brain, its most clearly established function is the nocturnal secretion of melatonin, through which external light-dark cycles are converted into internal temporal information via endocrine signalling (Arendt & Aulinas, 2022; Borjigin et al., 2012; Cipolla-Neto & Amaral, 2018). From the standpoint

of classical physiology, the pineal gland is neither a visual organ nor an autonomous generator of consciousness. It is part of a biological timing network that includes the retina, the suprachiasmatic nucleus, sympathetic neural pathways, and peripheral rhythmic systems (Saper et al., 2005; Moore & Eichler, 1972; Illnerová, 2024). This physiological positioning provides the evidential boundary for any serious discussion: interpretations of "hyperdimensional wisdom", the "third eye", or the "seat of the soul" must be developed without exceeding what the evidence can support.

The difficulty is that public communication and spiritual-cultural texts often assign meanings to the pineal gland that extend far beyond its demonstrated functions. It is described as the "third eye", the "seat of the soul", a "gateway to higher-dimensional wisdom", or a "centre of inner vision", and is presented as a key organ for extrasensory perception, mystical experience, spiritual insight, or contact with non-ordinary reality (Lokhorst, 2005; López-Muñoz et al., 2012; Shoja et al., 2016). These claims are not historically baseless. Descartes placed the pineal gland at the centre of his account of mind-body interaction and associated it with thought formation and the activity of the soul; likewise, the ajna chakra and third-eye imagery in Hindu and yogic traditions have often been linked, in later popular writings, to the pineal gland (Paditz & Shevchenko, 2025; Gallagher, 2020; Bastos Jr. et al., 2020). Historical influence, religious symbolism, and subjective experience, however, do not automatically become neuroscientific fact. To translate symbolic narratives directly into biological mechanisms would weaken the credibility of the inquiry and obscure the more substantial question: why has this small endocrine organ repeatedly become a cultural carrier for human imaginings of higher cognition?

In this paper, "hyperdimensional wisdom" is not defined as objectively existing, empirically verified knowledge from higher dimensions. It is defined instead as a family of experiential knowledge-claims reported by individuals as exceeding ordinary sensory, rational, or ego-bound cognition. Such experiences include mystical-type experience, altered states of consciousness, symbolic intuition, transpersonal insight, a sense of unity, sudden meaning emergence, and perceived access to a "higher-order reality" (Yaden & Newberg, 2022; Marshall, 2022; Villiger, 2025). This conceptual treatment has two advantages. First, it allows researchers to analyse these experiences and their cultural consequences without presupposing their metaphysical truth. Second, it relocates pineal mystification within a cross-disciplinary framework that can be examined, compared, and criticised. In other words, this paper does not attempt to prove that the pineal gland opens access to hyperdimensional wisdom. It examines how such a claim is constructed across neuroendocrine facts, consciousness experience, DMT hypotheses, and spiritual-cultural symbolism.

The purpose of this paper is to distinguish, on the basis of existing research and reports, four categories of evidence: relatively stable biological evidence, such as melatonin secretion and circadian regulation; emerging but still unstable correlational evidence, such as the relationship between pineal calcification and sleep, ageing, or cognitive function; neurochemical hypotheses that remain scientifically interesting but limited in explanatory power, such as endogenous DMT and altered states of consciousness; and symbolic narratives that belong primarily to historical, religious, philosophical, and cultural interpretation, such as the third eye and the seat of the soul (Nichols, 2018; Dean et al., 2019; Belay & Worku, 2023; Wright et al., 2024). Three questions follow from this purpose. What functions of the pineal gland have been established in contemporary neuroendocrinology? How have claims about the pineal gland, altered consciousness, and higher wisdom been formed across scientific, speculative, and cultural discourses? Can hyperdimensional wisdom be reframed as a phenomenological and semiotic concept rather than as a direct biological function of the pineal gland?

2. Methodological Approach: A Critical Desk-Based Scoping Review

This paper adopts a critical scoping review approach rather than an experimental, survey-based, or clinical intervention design. Its evidence base consists of peer-reviewed journal articles, systematic reviews, neuroendocrinology chapters, consciousness studies literature, religious and philosophical historical sources, and a small number of public science reports with interpretive relevance. The value of a scoping review lies in its suitability for a theme with broad boundaries, disciplinary dispersion, and conceptual hybridity: the pineal gland belongs to endocrinology and neuroscience, yet it has repeatedly been rewritten by religion, mysticism, philosophy, and popular media (Arksey & O'Malley, 2005; Levac et al., 2010; Tricco et al., 2018). This paper therefore does not seek to statistically aggregate the effect of a single intervention. It uses evidence classification, conceptual clarification, and narrative critique to determine which claims can be supported by

existing evidence and which should remain as cultural interpretations or scientific hypotheses.

Search and source selection were organised around four groups of keywords. The first group concerned the pineal gland and neuroendocrinology, including “pineal gland”, “melatonin”, “circadian rhythm”, “pineal calcification”, and “sleep-wake cycle”. The second group concerned DMT and altered states of consciousness, including “endogenous DMT”, “DMT and pineal gland”, “near-death experience”, and “altered states of consciousness”. The third group concerned meditation, mystical experience, and consciousness studies, including “mystical experience”, “meditation and consciousness”, “advanced meditation”, “ego dissolution”, and “self-transcendence”. The fourth group concerned cultural and philosophical narratives, including “third eye”, “Descartes and the pineal gland”, “seat of the soul”, and “spiritual experience”. Priority was given to verifiable sources from PubMed, NCBI Bookshelf, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, Oxford University Press, and the Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Purely commercial “pineal activation” texts, unattributed online claims, conspiracy-style narratives, and materials offering practical induction or use instructions were excluded.

The analysis used a four-tiered evidence framework. The first level was the established biological evidence such as the pineal gland’s role in nocturnal melatonin secretion, light-dark signal transduction, and circadian regulation (Arendt & Aulinas, 2022; Reiter et al., 2024). Evidence at the second level was moderate but cautiously interpreted, especially correlations between pineal calcification and age, sleep quality, neurodegenerative change, or reduced melatonin. Such studies indicate possible relationships, but their measurement methods, sample structures, and causal direction are not yet unified (Tan et al., 2018; Jalali et al., 2023; Belay & Worku, 2023). The third level consisted in speculative neurochemical hypotheses, mostly concerning endogenous DMT and its possible physiological or consciousness significance . Such research can not be directly translated into the conclusion that the pineal gland generates mystical experience (Nichols, 2018; Barker, 2018; Dean et al., 2019). The fourth level was the cultural-phenomenological interpretations including the third-eye, seat-of-the-soul, spiritual awareness and hyperdimensional wisdom narratives. These materials may elucidate the meaning, belief, and experiential structure, but they cannot directly act as neurobiological evidence (Lokhorst, 2005; Shoja et al., 2016; Yaden & Newberg, 2022).

3. The Pineal Gland as a Neuroendocrine Organ: Melatonin, Rhythm, and Calcification

The pineal gland is first of all an endocrine structure which is involved in temporal biology as seen from the standpoint of contemporary neuroendocrinology. It converts information on light-dark cycles in the environment into internal rhythmic signals via the secretion of melatonin at night. These signals modulate not only sleep-wake cycles but also body temperature, hormone secretion, seasonal physiological change, and metabolic rhythmicity (Arendt & Aulinas, 2022; Cipolla-Neto & Amaral, 2018; Challet, 2024). Melatonin was first isolated from the pineal gland of cattle in the mid twentieth century by Lerner and colleagues and was slowly recognised as an endocrine signalling molecule associated with circadian rhythm and photoperiodic regulation (Lerner et al., 1958; Pandi-Perumal et al., 2008). In mammals, changes in retinal light detection regulate pineal melatonin synthesis via the suprachiasmatic nucleus and sympathetic neural pathways. Light during the day inhibits melatonin secretion, and darkness stimulates its rhythmic release during the night (Moore & Eichler, 1972; Borjigin et al., 2012; Savage et al., 2024).

This physiological mechanism explains why the pineal gland is often misread as a “light-sensing organ”. In lower vertebrates, the pineal gland or related structures may have certain direct photoreceptive properties, but in humans and most higher mammals the pineal gland does not receive external light in a visual manner. It receives light-dark information indirectly through neural pathways (Arendt & Aulinas, 2022; Illnerová, 2024). Describing the pineal gland as the “third eye” may therefore be intelligible as a cultural metaphor, but it cannot be equated with a real visual organ in strict physiological terms. A more accurate statement is that the pineal gland participates in the endocrine response to light-dark cycles; it does not directly see the external world or any alleged higher-dimensional space. This distinction is crucial for the later discussion, because many popular accounts replace “photoperiodic regulation” with “extrasensory vision”, thereby confusing levels of evidence.

The function of melatonin should also not be reduced to sleep pharmacology. Endogenous melatonin serves as a signal of darkness and a marker of biological night; through receptors such as MT1 and MT2, it participates in the regulation of sleep

propensity, circadian phase, and peripheral clock synchronisation (Brzezinski, 1997; Pandi-Perumal et al., 2008; Pfeffer et al., 2022). At the same time, a growing literature discusses melatonin's roles in antioxidation, inflammatory regulation, mitochondrial function, and local tissue homeostasis. These functions do not imply that the pineal gland has a mystical cognitive capacity. They indicate instead that the melatonergic system has complex physiological regulatory significance (Cipolla-Neto & Amaral, 2018; Ahmad et al., 2023; Reiter et al., 2024). It is particularly important that peripheral tissues may also synthesise melatonin, and that these local melatonin signals are not identical to circadian melatonin of pineal origin (Reiter et al., 2024). Any claim that simply turns melatonin into a "pineal spiritual substance" is therefore conceptually crude.

Calcifications of the pineal gland are often mystified in this field, but are better discussed in terms of medical imaging and epidemiology. Calcification is generally characterized by the deposit of calcium salts in the pineal gland and is often called acervuli or "brain sand". In a systematic review and meta-analysis, Belay and Worku (2023) estimated the pooled prevalence of pineal gland calcification to be approximately 61.65% and reported significant heterogeneity between studies. Regional differences, age distribution, imaging method, and sample source may lead to different prevalence estimates. Some studies show that the extent of calcification may be related to ageing, decreased melatonin synthesis, sleep disturbance or neurodegenerative risk. Others show that the calcification is not always directly linked to decreased melatonin secretion or disease status (Tan et al., 2018; Jalali et al., 2023; Belay & Worku, 2023). This lack of consistency requires that writers do not make unsupported claims such as "pineal calcification shuts down spirituality" or "decalcification opens the third eye."

A more rigorous interpretation is that pineal calcification may indicate individual differences in ageing, mineral deposition, local blood flow, endocrine output, and rhythmic function, but it is not a medical indicator of "wisdom level" or "spiritual ability". To discuss the relationship between calcification and sleep, cognition, or mood, future studies would need to measure imaging-based calcification, nocturnal melatonin profiles, sleep architecture, cognitive task performance, and potential confounders simultaneously, rather than inferring conscious capacities from a single imaging phenomenon (Tan et al., 2018; Jalali et al., 2023; Begemann et al., 2025). This further confirms that the pineal gland's most reliable scientific status remains that of a neuroendocrine rhythm organ, not an empirically demonstrated generator of hyperdimensional wisdom.

4. The Pineal Gland, DMT, and Altered States of Consciousness: Scientific Interest and Evidential Boundaries

In the mystification of the pineal gland, DMT is often placed at the centre. Popular claims usually assert that the pineal gland releases large amounts of DMT during dreaming, birth, death, or near-death states, thereby producing out-of-body experiences, mystical visions, or contact with higher-dimensional realities. Such claims circulate widely because they connect an endogenous molecule with a real research background in intense consciousness effects to the pineal gland's third-eye symbolism, generating a spiritual narrative with a scientific appearance (Nichols, 2018; Barker, 2018). Academic writing must separate three levels: whether DMT can be detected in biological organisms; whether the brain may synthesise or release DMT; and whether the pineal gland releases enough DMT during specific life events to explain mystical experience. The third level is precisely where the evidence is weakest.

Nichols (2018) directly criticised the central inference behind the "pineal DMT myth". He argued that claims about the pineal gland releasing DMT during birth, dreaming, or near-death states and thereby generating out-of-body experience lack sufficient scientific evidence; existing data are also insufficient to show that DMT can accumulate in the brain at physiologically relevant concentrations capable of explaining such experiences. The importance of this article lies not in dismissing DMT research itself, but in blocking a logical leap: the move from "DMT is associated with intense subjective experience" to "the pineal gland secretes DMT and produces higher-dimensional wisdom". This leap is common in popular communication, but it does not meet the basic requirements of neurochemical reasoning.

At the same time, the study of endogenous DMT is not a scientific null exercise. Barker (2018) reviewed the detection, metabolism, possible receptor actions and potential physiological functions of DMT in animal and human samples. The question of whether endogenous DMT has any form of neuromodulatory significance is thus a valid area for further research (Dean et al., 2019) with animal evidence of expression and release related to DMT biosynthesis in the mammalian brain having been reported. Recent reviews have also discussed DMT in relation to neuroplasticity, cellular stress, immune

regulation and potential clinical research (Carbonaro & Gatch, 2016; Schimmelpfennig et al., 2025). But none of these studies demonstrates that the pineal gland is the main intracerebral source of DMT. None of these studies demonstrates that DMT is the neurochemical basis of the so-called hyperdimensional wisdom.

Descriptively, there are indeed some similarities between the DMT experiences, psychedelic experiences, meditative states, and near-death experiences from the point of view of the consciousness studies, such as the vivid visual phenomena, loosening of the self-boundaries, intensified meaning, altered time perception, and a sense of unity (Ko et al., 2022; Yaden & Newberg, 2022; Villiger, 2025). But phenomenological similarity is not mechanical identity. Unusual experiences may arise in dreams, epileptic auras, hypoxic states, meditative absorption, religious rituals, pharmacologically altered consciousness, and psychological crisis. Such experiences cannot be attributed to the pineal gland or a single molecule without concurrent neuroimaging, endocrine, pharmacokinetic, and subjective-report data (Greyson, 1983; Wright et al., 2024; Jedlicka et al., 2025). The DMT-pineal hypothesis can thus at best be discussed as a controversial neurochemical story within the field of altered consciousness; it cannot be the explanatory basis for hyperdimensional wisdom.

The writing boundary adopted here is explicit. It is acceptable to discuss the scientific value of endogenous DMT as a research question. It is acceptable to examine how DMT narratives intensify the pineal gland's mystique in popular culture. It is also acceptable to discuss how mystical experience may be interpreted subjectively as exceeding ordinary cognition. It is not acceptable, however, to claim that "the pineal gland releases DMT and enables connection with higher-dimensional space", nor to package an unverified hypothesis as a neuroscientific conclusion. For an academically responsible review, the most valuable task is not to reproduce mystified narratives, but to explain why they are attractive and how far they stand from verifiable physiological facts.

5. Hyperdimensional Wisdom as a Cultural-Phenomenological Concept

To avoid the risk of pseudoscientific interpretation, this paper defines hyperdimensional wisdom as a cultural-phenomenological concept rather than as an objective capacity directly produced by the pineal gland. The term refers to a family of cognitive-meaning experiences reported by individuals during particular states of consciousness, religious practice, meditative absorption, intense affect, or life crisis: self-boundaries temporarily loosen; ordinary sensory experience is reorganised; and the individual develops a strong sense of unity, insight, symbolic understanding, or contact with a "higher reality" (Yaden & Newberg, 2022; Marshall, 2022; Villiger, 2025). This definition does not presuppose the actual existence of higher-dimensional space, nor does it deny that such experiences may carry deep personal meaning. It shifts the research object from "proving that the pineal gland opens higher dimensions" to "analysing how human beings experience, interpret, and narrate feelings that exceed ordinary cognition".

The "third eye" is a prime example of such a cultural-phenomenological structure. In many religious and spiritual traditions, the third eye is not a normal visual organ, but a symbol of inner insight, awareness, seeing beyond appearances, self-mastery, and spiritual discernment (Gallagher, 2020; López-Muñoz et al., 2012). Contemporary writings connecting the third eye with the pineal gland regularly mention the gland's central location in the brain, its covert morphology, its association with light-dark cycles, and its identification as the seat of the soul in the past (Shoja et al., 2016; Bastos Jr. et al., 2020). This connection is culturally intelligible: an organ located deep in the brain and associated with 'signals in darkness' can easily be symbolised as a source of inner vision. But cultural intelligibility is not biological truth. The third eye can be examined as a symbol, but not as an actual anatomical function of the pineal gland.

Descartes' account of the pineal gland has had a distinctive influence on this process of symbolisation. The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy notes that Descartes regarded the pineal gland as the principal seat of the soul and the site at which thoughts were formed (Lokhorst, 2005). Later work in medical history has shown that this view was criticised both by Descartes' contemporaries and by subsequent thinkers, yet its cultural influence persisted and was repeatedly reactivated in modern mysticism, mind-body dualism, mechanistic accounts of the soul, and popular psychological writing (Shoja et al., 2016; Paditz & Shevchenko, 2025). This indicates that the pineal gland is not merely a medical object. It is also a symbolic node repeatedly invoked when human beings try to understand the relation among mind, body, and the unknown.

Theoretical, the symbolic value of the pineal gland is the consequence of three tensions. It is a genuine physiological organ,

first of all, but it is situated deep in the brain, beyond the reach of ordinary perception. Secondly, it is in fact connected to light-dark cycles, but this is endocrinal, not visual. Third, historically, philosophy, religion, and medicine have interpreted it as a meeting point between soul, light, rhythm, sleep, dreaming, and spiritual experience (López-Muñoz et al., 2012; Shoja et al., 2016; Illnerová, 2024). With these tensions, it is easy to imagine the pineal gland as a bridge between body and mind, science and spirituality, the visible and the invisible. This paper therefore proposes that the pineal gland is better understood as a symbolic mediator between body, consciousness and culture than as an empirically demonstrated producer of hyperdimensional wisdom.

This interpretation does not diminish the significance of spiritual experience. On the contrary, it allows researchers to take seriously the question of why certain experiences are interpreted as "wisdom from a higher level" without sacrificing scientific rigour. In the psychology of religion, mystical experience studies, and philosophy of consciousness, many experiences are indeed reported as having a cognitive or revelatory character: experiencers feel that they have gained profound understanding of life, the cosmos, the self, or the meaning of existence (Yaden & Newberg, 2022; Marshall, 2022; Chen, 2026). Yet this "knowledge-like" character is first of all a phenomenological noetic quality, meaning that the experiencer subjectively feels it to carry truth. It does not automatically become externally verifiable scientific knowledge. Locating hyperdimensional wisdom at the intersection of subjective truth-feeling and cultural interpretation helps the article avoid two extremes: reducing all spiritual experience to error, or translating all experience into neuroscientific fact.

6. Meditation, Mystical Experience, and Consciousness Studies

This is more academically achievable than stating directly that the pineal gland produces hyperdimensional wisdom, but it can be achieved through meditation, mystical experience and altered states of consciousness. Typical attributes of mystical experience include decreased self-boundaries, a feeling of unity, distorted sense of time and space, intensified meaning, increased affective intensity, and a hard-to-express subjective certainty (Yaden & Newberg, 2022; Ko et al., 2022; Villiger, 2025). Such experiences may happen in religious ritual, in training in meditation, in encounters with awe in nature, in artistic absorption, in major life events, or in clinical settings. They are not necessarily dependent on a single organ or molecule. In other words, a better point of entry for studying the experiential basis of hyperdimensional wisdom is not to assume some mysterious pineal function, but to consider the states of consciousness in which people generate meanings that exceed ordinary cognition.

Meditation research offers a comparatively operational empirical paradigm for this question. Long-term meditators and advanced meditative states can be studied through subjective reports, behavioural measures, electroencephalography, functional magnetic resonance imaging, and physiological indicators (Lutz et al., 2008; Tang et al., 2015; Fox et al., 2016). Recent work on advanced meditation further suggests that intense attentional absorption, thinning of conscious content, cessation-like states, or minimal phenomenal consciousness may provide distinctive windows into consciousness science (Lieberman & Sacchet, 2026; Sparby & Sacchet, 2025). The value of this line of research is that it does not need to attribute experience to the pineal gland. It treats conscious structure itself as the object of inquiry: how attention stabilises, how the self-model loosens, how phenomenal qualities change, and how meaning suddenly condenses.

The study of brain networks also suggests meditation and self-transcendent experience may be associated with coordinated changes across multiple regions and networks including the default mode network, salience network, central executive network, thalamus, anterior cingulate cortex and insula, rather than a single point effect of an isolated gland (Brewer et al., 2011; Bremer et al., 2022; Prakash et al., 2024). The default mode network is typically associated with self-referential processing, inner narrative and mind-wandering. Meditation training may alter the person's relationship to self-narrative and thereby lead some practitioners to experience a loosening of self-boundaries or an enhanced sense of connection (Brewer et al., 2011; Tang et al., 2015). This does not imply that all mystical experience can be reduced to diminished default mode activity. Instead, it implies that conscious experience is more likely to arise from the interplay of distributed brain networks, bodily states, attentional training, cultural expectation, and interpretive frameworks.

Research on altered states of consciousness also cautions that intense experiences are not always integrated in a positive or safe manner. In their survey of emergent phenomena, Wright et al. (2024) reported that people who engage in meditation,

yoga, mindfulness, and other practices that have the ability to alter consciousness, may describe sudden unusual psychological or bodily experiences and interpret them as spiritual, mystical, energetic, or magical phenomena. Such experiences can facilitate positive transformation, but can also cause distress, confusion or need for clinical support. Academic writing should therefore not romanticise the "opening of the third eye" or the "activation of the pineal gland". A more responsible formulation would be that altered experiences of consciousness may become objects of the science of consciousness and of phenomenological research, but their psychological impact, contextual dependence and individual variability must be treated with care.

Meditation and mystical experience research thus provide a more robust explanatory pathway for hyperdimensional wisdom than claims about a mysterious pineal function. The pineal gland can appear in this discussion, but it is better treated as a symbolic node or as one measurable physiological variable among others, such as sleep, melatonin rhythm, chronotype, and endocrine background. It should not be written as the sole or primary mechanism of mystical cognition (Arendt & Aulinas, 2022; Wright et al., 2024; Jedlicka et al., 2025). If future research seeks to analyse hyperdimensional wisdom seriously, it can combine subjective experiential interviews, neuroimaging, physiological rhythm measurement, sleep indicators, and cultural narrative analysis, rather than beginning from the over-simplified question of whether the pineal gland has been "activated".

7. Critical Synthesis: What Can and Cannot Be Claimed

The evidence reviewed above supports a clear judgement: the pineal gland is a neuroendocrine organ of considerable physiological importance, but a direct biological relationship between the pineal gland and hyperdimensional wisdom has not been established. There is strong evidence for melatonin secretion, circadian regulation, and the conversion of light-dark information. There is moderate but unstable evidence of links between calcification, sleep, ageing, cognition and endocrine output. There is speculative evidence regarding possible connections between endogenous DMT and changes in states of consciousness. Cultural-phenomenological evidence accounts for the symbolism of the pineal gland as the third eye, the seat of the soul, or spiritual awareness (Arendt & Aulinas, 2022; Nichols, 2018; Belay & Worku, 2023; Lokhorst, 2005; Yaden & Newberg, 2022). These levels are related but not interchangeable.

The most common error is to elevate a symbol or hypothesis from a lower evidential level into a biological conclusion at a higher evidential level. For example, the fact that the pineal gland participates in light-dark regulation does not imply that it possesses extrasensory vision. The fact that DMT is associated with intense subjective experience does not imply that the pineal gland releases large amounts of DMT during near-death states. The cultural influence of third-eye traditions does not make the third eye a fact of modern neuroscience. Nor do meditators' reports of profound insight prove that the pineal gland generated those insights (Nichols, 2018; Wright et al., 2024; Paditz & Shevchenko, 2025). The task of rigorous writing is precisely to prevent these logical jumps.

At the same time, transcendent experiences should not simply be dismissed as superstition or cognitive error. The psychology of religion and consciousness studies indicate that many people do experience powerful self-transcendence, unity, meaning, and noetic quality; these experiences may reshape their worldview, values, and behavioural orientation (Yaden & Newberg, 2022; Ko et al., 2022; Marshall, 2022). The position of this paper is therefore not reductive dismissal but evidential stratification: at the physiological level, the pineal gland is an endocrine organ; at the experiential level, hyperdimensional wisdom can be studied as subjective meaning and a state of consciousness; at the cultural level, the third eye and the seat of the soul are important symbols; and at the theoretical level, any cross-level explanation must acknowledge its evidential limits.

This synthesis leads to the central proposition of the paper: the pineal gland can be understood doubly as a biological organ and a cultural sign, but it cannot be written as an empirically demonstrated "generator of hyperdimensional wisdom". As a biological organ, its core function is melatonin-related temporal regulation. As a cultural sign, it carries long-standing human imaginings of inner vision, the location of the soul, transcendent cognition, and spiritual awakening (Arendt & Aulinas, 2022; Lokhorst, 2005; Shoja et al., 2016). This distinction allows the report to preserve scientific credibility while retaining interpretive power in relation to spiritual-cultural narratives.

From the standpoint of research innovation, this paper does not recommend continuing to centre the question of whether

the pineal gland genuinely connects with higher-dimensional space, because this question is difficult to operationalise under current evidential conditions and easily falls into unfalsifiable claims. A more viable conceptual innovation is the notion of the "hyperdimensional wisdom narrative". This refers to high-certainty meaning experiences generated in altered states of consciousness and to the ways cultural systems attach such experiences to a bodily organ, a neurochemical hypothesis, or a religious symbol. This concept can connect neuroendocrinology, phenomenology of consciousness, psychology of religion, and media narrative analysis, offering greater academic explanatory value than the simple question of whether the third eye exists.

8. Conclusion and Future Research Directions

The conclusion of this paper can be summarised in three points. First, the most stable position of the pineal gland in contemporary science is that of a neuroendocrine organ that participates, primarily through melatonin, in sleep-wake regulation, the conversion of light-dark information, and circadian rhythm (Arendt & Aulinas, 2022; Cipolla-Neto & Amaral, 2018). Second, pineal calcification, DMT, and altered states of consciousness each have research value, but they cannot be used directly to prove that the pineal gland opens hyperdimensional wisdom. The DMT-pineal narrative in particular is influential in popular culture but remains insufficiently supported empirically (Nichols, 2018; Belay & Worku, 2023; Dean et al., 2019). Third, the "third eye", the "seat of the soul", and "hyperdimensional wisdom" are better understood as historical, cultural, religious, and phenomenological structures than as demonstrated biological mechanisms (Lokhorst, 2005; Paditz & Shevchenko, 2025; Yaden & Newberg, 2022).

Future research can proceed along three pathways. The first is phenomenological: in-depth interviews with meditators, religious practitioners, near-death experiencers, or individuals reporting intense spiritual experiences could analyse how they describe "higher-dimensional wisdom", "inner vision", "revelatory insight", and "self-transcendence". The second is neuroscientific: electroencephalography, functional magnetic resonance imaging, sleep monitoring, melatonin profiles, and circadian indicators could be combined to examine whether subjective transcendent experience has reproducible associations with physiological states. The third is cultural-discursive: social media, spiritual books, public reports, and the wellness industry could be analysed to understand how the pineal gland is constructed as a third eye, energy centre, or wisdom gateway. Only when these pathways are clearly distinguished and placed in dialogue can the pineal gland and hyperdimensional wisdom be transformed from a mystified expression into a genuinely valuable interdisciplinary problem.

Funding

No

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Reference

[1] Ahmad, S. B., Ali, A., Bilal, M., Rashid, S. M., Wani, A. B., Bhat, R. R., & Rehman, M. U. (2023). Melatonin and health: Insights of melatonin action, biological functions, and associated disorders. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 80, 1–31.

[2] Arendt, J., & Aulinas, A. (2022). Physiology of the pineal gland and melatonin. In K. R. Feingold et al. (Eds.), Endotext. MDText.com, Inc.

[3] Arksey, H., & O'Malley, L. (2005). Scoping studies: Towards a methodological framework. International Journal of Social Research Methodology, 8(1), 19–32. https://doi.org/10.1080/1364557032000119616

[4] Barker, S. A. (2018). N,N-Dimethyltryptamine (DMT), an endogenous hallucinogen: Past, present, and future research to determine its role and function. Frontiers in Neuroscience, 12, 536.

[5] Bastos Jr., M. A. V., Bastos, P. R. H. O., Osório, F. L., & Lucchetti, G. (2020). "Seat of the soul"? The structure and function of the pineal gland in women with alleged spirit possession: Results of two experimental studies. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 119, 104945. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2020.104945

[6] Begemann, K., Brown, S. A., & Kramer, A. (2025). Endocrine regulation of circadian rhythms. npj Biological Timing and Sleep, 2, Article 24. https://doi.org/10.1038/s44323-025-00024-6

[7] Belay, D. G., & Worku, M. G. (2023). Prevalence of pineal gland calcification: Systematic review and meta-analysis.

Systematic Reviews, 12, 32. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-023-02205-5

[8] Borjigin, J., Li, X., & Snyder, S. H. (1999). The pineal gland and melatonin: Molecular and pharmacologic regulation. Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology, 39, 53–65. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.39.1.53

[9] Borjigin, J., Zhang, L. S., & Calinescu, A. A. (2012). Circadian regulation of pineal gland rhythmicity. Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology, 349(1), 13–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2011.07.009

[10] Bremer, B., Wu, Q., Mora Álvarez, M. G., Hölzel, B. K., Wilhelm, M., Hell, E., Wilhelm, F. H., & Blechert, J. (2022). Mindfulness meditation increases default mode, salience, and central executive network connectivity. Scientific Reports, 12, 13219. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-17325-6

[11] Brewer, J. A., Worhunsky, P. D., Gray, J. R., Tang, Y. Y., Weber, J., & Kober, H. (2011). Meditation experience is associated with differences in default mode network activity and connectivity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108(50), 20254–20259. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1112029108

[12] Brzezinski, A. (1997). Melatonin in humans. The New England Journal of Medicine, 336(3), 186–195. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199701163360306

[13] Carbonaro, T. M., & Gatch, M. B. (2016). Neuropharmacology of N,N-dimethyltryptamine. Brain Research Bulletin, 126, 74–88.

[14] Challet, E. (2024). Melatonin in energy control: Circadian time-giver and more. Journal of Pineal Research, 76(1), e12961. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpi.12961

[15] Chen, Z. J. (2026). The psychology of mysticism. Cambridge University Press.

[16] Cipolla-Neto, J., & Amaral, F. G. (2018). Melatonin as a hormone: New physiological and clinical insights. Endocrine Reviews, 39(6), 990–1028. https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2018-00084

[17] Dean, J. G., Liu, T., Huff, S., Sheler, B., Barker, S. A., Strassman, R. J., Wang, M. M., & Borjigin, J. (2019). Biosynthesis and extracellular concentrations of N,N-dimethyltryptamine (DMT) in mammalian brain. Scientific Reports, 9, 9333.

[18] Fox, K. C. R., Dixon, M. L., Nijeboer, S., Girn, M., Floman, J. L., Lifshitz, M., Ellamil, M., Sedlmeier, P., & Christoff, K. (2016). Functional neuroanatomy of meditation: A review and meta-analysis of 78 functional neuroimaging investigations. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 65, 208–228. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.03.021

[19] Gallagher, R. M. (2020). Third-eye rivalry. Neurology, 95(4), 176–177. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000009849

[20] Greyson, B. (1983). The near-death experience scale: Construction, reliability, and validity. The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 171(6), 369–375.

[21] Illnerová, H. (2024). From the pineal gland to the central clock in the brain. Journal of Biological Rhythms, 39(4), 327–338. https://doi.org/10.1177/07487304241256385

[22] Jalali, N., Hedayat, A., Saki, F., & Yazdani, R. (2023). Cross-sectional analysis of potential risk factors of the pineal gland calcification. BMC Endocrine Disorders, 23, Article 73. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12902-023-01301-w

[23] Jedlicka, P., Hettich, F., & Heinzel, S. (2025). Religious and spiritual experiences from a neuroscientific perspective. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 174, 106132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2025.106132

[24] Ko, K., Knight, G., Rucker, J. J., & Cleare, A. J. (2022). Psychedelics, mystical experience, and therapeutic efficacy: A systematic review. Frontiers in Psychiatry, 13, 917199.

[25] Lerner, A. B., Case, J. D., Takahashi, Y., Lee, T. H., & Mori, W. (1958). Isolation of melatonin, the pineal gland factor that lightens melanocytes. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 80(10), 2587. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01543a060

[26] Levac, D., Colquhoun, H., & O'Brien, K. K. (2010). Scoping studies: Advancing the methodology. Implementation Science, 5, 69. https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-5-69

[27] Lieberman, J. M., & Sacchet, M. D. (2026). Toward a neuroscience of consciousness using advanced meditation. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 181, 106520. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2025.106520

[28] Lokhorst, G. J. (2005). Descartes and the pineal gland. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Stanford University.

[29] López-Muñoz, F., Marín, F., & Álamo, C. (2012). An historical view of the pineal gland and mental disorders. Journal of

Clinical Neuroscience, 19(7), 1028–1037. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2011.07.037

[30] Lutz, A., Slagter, H. A., Dunne, J. D., & Davidson, R. J. (2008). Attention regulation and monitoring in meditation. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 12(4), 163–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2008.01.005

[31] Marshall, P. (2022). Does mystical experience give access to reality? Religions, 13(10), 983. https://doi.org/10.3390/rel13100983

[32] Moore, R. Y., & Eichler, V. B. (1972). Loss of a circadian adrenal corticosterone rhythm following suprachiasmatic lesions in the rat. Brain Research, 42(1), 201–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(72)90054-6

[33] Nichols, D. E. (2018). N,N-dimethyltryptamine and the pineal gland: Separating fact from fiction. Journal of Psychopharmacology, 32(1), 30–36.

[34] Paditz, E., & Shevchenko, O. (2025). The pineal gland as the seat of the soul (René Descartes): History of reception, enlightenment, and consequences of a famous error. Journal of the History of the Neurosciences. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1080/0964704X.2025.2568245

[35] Pandi-Perumal, S. R., Srinivasan, V., Maestroni, G. J. M., Cardinali, D. P., Poeggeler, B., & Hardeland, R. (2008). Melatonin: Nature's most versatile biological signal? FEBS Journal, 273(13), 2813–2838. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2006.05322.x

[36] Pfeffer, M., Korf, H. W., & Wicht, H. (2022). The role of the melatoninergic system in circadian and seasonal rhythms. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 23(7), 3747. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23073747

[37] Prakash, R. S., De Leon, A. A., Patterson, B., Schirda, B., & Janssen, A. L. (2024). Mindfulness meditation and network neuroscience. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 163, 105750. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2024.105750

[38] Reiter, R. J., Sharma, R., Rosales-Corral, S., de Almeida Chuffa, L. G., & Zuccari, D. A. P. C. (2024). Dual sources of melatonin and evidence for different primary functions. Frontiers in Endocrinology, 15, 1414463. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2024.1414463

[39] Saper, C. B., Scammell, T. E., & Lu, J. (2005). Hypothalamic regulation of sleep and circadian rhythms. Nature, 437, 1257–1263. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04284

[40] Savage, R. A., Zafar, N., Yohannan, S., & Miller, J. M. (2024). Melatonin. In StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing.

[41] Schimmelpfennig, J., Kirlic, N., Doss, M. K., & Muthukumaraswamy, S. D. (2025). Exploring DMT: Endogenous role and therapeutic potential. Neuropharmacology, 269, 110292.

[42] Shoja, M. M., Hoepfner, L. D., Agutter, P. S., Singh, R., & Tubbs, R. S. (2016). History of the pineal gland. Child's Nervous System, 32(4), 583–586. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00381-015-2636-3

[43] Sparby, T., & Sacchet, M. D. (2025). Toward a unified model of advanced meditation, human development, meditation maps, and transtradition metaphors: Facing impermanence, suffering, and death. Mindfulness, 16, 2472–2482.

[44] Tan, D. X., Xu, B., Zhou, X., & Reiter, R. J. (2018). Pineal calcification, melatonin production, aging, associated health consequences and rejuvenation of the pineal gland. Molecules, 23(2), 301. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23020301

[45] Tang, Y. Y., Hölzel, B. K., & Posner, M. I. (2015). The neuroscience of mindfulness meditation. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 16, 213–225. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3916

[46] Tricco, A. C., Lillie, E., Zarin, W., O'Brien, K. K., Colquhoun, H., Levac, D., Moher, P., Peters, M. D. J., Horsley, T., Weeks, L., et al. (2018). PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and explanation. Annals of Internal Medicine, 169(7), 467–473. https://doi.org/10.7326/M18-0850

[47] Villiger, D. (2025). Mystical experience in the Bayesian brain. Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11097-025-10107-1

[48] Wright, M. J., Galante, J., Corneille, J. S., Grabovac, A. D., & Sacchet, M. D. (2024). Altered states of consciousness are prevalent and insufficiently supported clinically: A population survey. Mindfulness, 15, 1251–1264. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-024-02356-z

[49] Yaden, D. B., & Newberg, A. B. (2022). The varieties of spiritual experience: 21st century research and perspectives. Oxford University Press.