Asia Pacific Science Press

Asia Pacific Economic and Management Review
ISSN(O):3005-9275
ISSN(P):3005-9267
Vol. 2 No.5 (2025)

The Impact of Corporate Governance and Internal Control on Accounting Information Disclosure: Evidence from the Textile Industry

Chenxi Cao*

College of Management, Xi'an Polytechnic University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, 710000, China

Corresponding author: Chenxi Cao

Abstract: Against the backdrop of global economic transformation, the textile industry, a traditional pillar sector in many economies, faces immense pressure to upgrade. High-quality accounting information disclosure is fundamental for efficient capital allocation and investor protection. This paper investigates the joint impact of corporate governance and internal control mechanisms on the quality of accounting information disclosure, with a specific focus on listed companies in the textile industry. Grounded in Agency Theory, Information Asymmetry Theory, and Signalling Theory, this study constructs an analytical framework to dissect the interplay between corporate governance structures (ownership concentration, board characteristics, executive incentives) and internal control effectiveness. Through a critical analysis of the textile industry's unique characteristics—such as overcapacity, intense competition, high inventory risk, and environmental pressures—the paper identifies inherent vulnerabilities that may lead to earnings management and selective disclosure. The findings reveal that robust corporate governance, characterized by effective board oversight and appropriate incentive alignment, coupled with a well-designed and implemented internal control system, serves as a dual defense line for enhancing information transparency. The study concludes with targeted recommendations for textile firms, including optimizing ownership structures, strengthening board independence, refining internal control over key business cycles (e.g., inventory and revenue recognition), and adapting external regulatory frameworks to industry specifics. This research provides valuable theoretical insights and practical guidance for regulators and corporate managers aiming to foster transparency and sustainable development within the textile sector.

Keywords: Corporate Governance; Internal Control; Accounting Information Disclosure; Information Quality; Textile Industry; Listed Companies

Published: Oct 26, 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62177/apemr.v2i5.813

1.Introduction

Accounting information disclosure is a cornerstone of modern capital markets, serving as the primary channel through which firms communicate their financial performance and operational status to external stakeholders (Healy & Palepu, 2001). The quality of this disclosure directly influences market efficiency, investment decisions, and corporate valuation. However, recurring financial scandals worldwide underscore persistent deficiencies in internal governance and control mechanisms, eroding market confidence.

The textile industry represents a critical sector in the global manufacturing landscape, renowned for its significant contributions to employment, export revenues, and economic development, particularly in emerging economies. Despite its importance, the industry is fraught with challenges, including fierce price competition, overcapacity, thin profit margins, and increasing environmental and social governance (ESG) scrutiny. This operating environment creates substantial performance pressure on listed textile companies, potentially incentivizing managerial opportunism, such as earnings manipulation and the withholding of unfavorable information.

The intrinsic characteristics of the textile industry—such as high inventory volatility, complex global supply chains, and substantial investment in sustainability and technological upgrades—add layers of complexity to financial reporting. These factors make the industry a compelling context for examining the determinants of disclosure quality. While extensive literature has explored the relationship between corporate governance, internal control, and information disclosure in a general context, industry-specific studies, particularly on traditional manufacturing sectors like textiles, remain relatively scarce.

This paper aims to fill this gap by conducting a focused analysis of how corporate governance and internal control jointly shape the accounting information disclosure practices of textile listed companies. By integrating established theoretical frameworks with the industry's practical realities, this study seeks to provide a nuanced understanding of the mechanisms at play. The insights generated are expected to assist textile firms in strengthening their internal governance, enhance regulatory effectiveness, and ultimately, contribute to building a more transparent and resilient industry.

2.Theoretical Framework and Literature Review

2.1 Theoretical Foundation

Agency Theory: This theory posits a conflict of interest between managers (agents) and shareholders (principals) due to the separation of ownership and control (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). Managers may prioritize personal gains (e.g., bonuses, job security) over shareholder wealth maximization, leading to actions that distort accounting information. Effective corporate governance and internal controls are mechanisms designed to monitor and align managerial behavior with owner interests, thereby promoting transparent disclosure.

Information Asymmetry Theory: Managers inherently possess superior information about the company's prospects compared to outside investors. This imbalance can lead to adverse selection and moral hazard. High-quality disclosure is the primary tool for mitigating this asymmetry, and robust internal systems are the guarantors of the information's reliability.

Signalling Theory: In an environment of information asymmetry, high-quality firms have an incentive to signal their superior status to the market through more transparent and timely disclosure (Spence, 1973). Consequently, strong corporate governance and effective internal controls can themselves be powerful signals of firm quality and integrity.

2.2 Literature Review

2.2.1 Corporate Governance and Disclosure Quality

A substantial body of research confirms the pivotal role of corporate governance in ensuring high-quality information disclosure.

Ownership Structure: Moderate ownership concentration, particularly the presence of institutional investors or blockholders, can provide effective monitoring of management, thereby improving disclosure quality. However, excessive concentration may lead to "tunneling" and the expropriation of minority shareholders, resulting in opaque information practices.

Board of Directors: The independence and expertise of the board are critical. A higher proportion of independent directors and a competent audit committee are consistently associated with stronger oversight of the financial reporting process and a reduction in financial misstatement (Beasley, 1996). Board financial expertise and meeting frequency are also positively correlated with disclosure quality.

Executive Incentives: Compensation structures that tie executive wealth to long-term firm value (e.g., through stock options) can reduce the incentive for short-term earnings management and encourage more truthful disclosure.

2.2.2 Internal Control and Disclosure Quality

Internal control is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting. The

Sarbanes-Oxley Act (2002) significantly elevated the importance of internal controls worldwide.

Empirical studies demonstrate that material weaknesses in internal control are strongly associated with lower earnings quality and a higher incidence of accounting restatements (Doyle et al., 2007). An effective internal control system directly enhances the accuracy and completeness of financial data at its source, throughout the generation, processing, and reporting cycles.

2.2.3 The Interplay of Governance and Control

Corporate governance and internal control are not independent; they are deeply intertwined. The board of directors, especially the audit committee, sets the "tone at the top" and is responsible for the oversight of the internal control system. In turn, a robust internal control system provides the board with reliable information to fulfill its monitoring duties. They form a synergistic "Dual Defense Line" that safeguards the quality of accounting information.

3.The Textile Industry Context and Its Implications for Disclosure

The specific attributes of the textile industry present unique risks for accounting information disclosure:

Performance Pressure and Earnings Management: Low entry barriers and product homogenization lead to brutal price competition and slim profit margins. This intense pressure increases the temptation for management to engage in earnings management to meet market expectations, for instance, through aggressive revenue recognition or manipulation of provision estimates.

Inventory and Asset Impairment Risks: The seasonality and fast-changing trends in fashion result in high risks of inventory obsolescence and price declines. The valuation of inventory and the timing of impairment losses are areas susceptible to managerial judgment and potential manipulation.

Environmental and Social Disclosure: The dyeing and finishing segments face stringent environmental regulations. Disclosure of environmental penalties, investments, and compliance is highly sensitive. Companies may have incentives to conceal or downplay negative environmental information ("greenwashing").

Supply Chain Complexity and Related-Party Transactions: The long and fragmented supply chain creates numerous opportunities for related-party transactions. Ensuring the fairness of these transactions and their transparent disclosure is a significant challenge.

Accounting for Transformation Costs: Investments in automation, R&D for new materials, and brand building involve significant costs. The accounting treatment of these items—particularly the capitalization vs. expensing of R&D costs—requires complex judgments, increasing the scope for subjective and potentially misleading reporting.

4.Case Analysis: A Representative Scenario

Consider a hypothetical, yet representative, listed textile company, "TextileCo." Facing declining profits due to market oversupply, TextileCo is under pressure to secure a bank loan.

Weak Governance/Control Scenario: TextileCo has a dominant controlling shareholder who also serves as the CEO. The board is passive, with a weak audit committee. Internal controls over inventory management are lax. To inflate profits and secure the loan, management might: 1) Overstate inventory value by delaying write-downs for obsolete fabrics. 2) Accelerate revenue recognition by recording sales upon shipment to distributors, even if terms include a right of return. The weak board fails to challenge these practices, and the internal control system does not flag the deviations from accounting standards. The resulting financial statements present a misleadingly healthy picture.

Strong Governance/Control Scenario: TextileCo has a diversified ownership structure with an active institutional investor. Its board has a majority of independent directors, and the audit committee includes a financial expert. The company has a robust ERP system and clear policies for inventory valuation and revenue recognition. When management faces the same performance pressure, the strong control environment prevents the initiation of fraudulent reporting. The audit committee would scrutinize any proposed aggressive accounting treatments. The internal control system would require rigorous inventory aging reports and enforce strict revenue recognition criteria based on actual transfer of control. Consequently, the disclosed financial information, while perhaps reflecting poor short-term performance, would be accurate and reliable, building long-term credibility.

5.Conclusion and Implications

This study establishes that the quality of accounting information disclosure in the textile industry is not an isolated outcome but the result of a synergistic relationship between sound corporate governance and effective internal control. The industry's specific challenges amplify the need for these robust internal mechanisms.

5.1 Theoretical and Practical Implications

Theoretically, this paper enriches the existing literature by applying and contextualizing agency and signalling theories within the distinct operational reality of the textile sector. Practically, it offers a clear roadmap for practitioners:

For Companies: Textile firms should prioritize board independence and expertise, particularly in the audit committee. Executive compensation should be linked to long-term, sustainable performance metrics. Internally, controls must be strengthened over high-risk areas like inventory management, revenue cycles, and impairment testing.

For Regulators and Standard-Setters: Regulators should consider issuing industry-specific disclosure guidance for textiles, mandating clearer reporting on inventory risk, environmental compliance, and supply chain concentration. This would enhance comparability and transparency across the sector.

5.2 Limitations and Future Research

This study is primarily conceptual and based on a hypothetical analysis. Future research could employ large-scale empirical methods to quantitatively test the relationships proposed here across a global sample of textile firms. Furthermore, as ESG factors become increasingly critical, a promising avenue for future research would be to explore the interplay between corporate governance, internal control, and the quality of non-financial (ESG) disclosure within the textile industry.

In conclusion, for listed textile companies navigating a complex and competitive landscape, investing in superior corporate governance and internal controls is not merely a regulatory compliance issue but a strategic imperative for building trust, ensuring sustainable access to capital, and achieving long-term competitiveness.

Funding

No

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Reference

[1] Beasley, M. S. (1996). An empirical analysis of the relation between the board of director composition and financial statement fraud. The Accounting Review, 71(4), 443-465.

[2] Doyle, J., Ge, W., & McVay, S. (2007). Determinants of weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 44(1-2), 193-223.

[3] Healy, P. M., & Palepu, K. G. (2001). Information asymmetry, corporate disclosure, and the capital markets: A review of the empirical disclosure literature. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 31(1-3), 405-440.

[4] Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. Journal of Financial Economics, 3(4), 305-360.

[5] Spence, M. (1973). Job market signaling. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 87(3), 355-374.