Asia Pacific Science Press

Asia Pacific Economic and Management Review
ISSN(O):3005-9275
ISSN(P):3005-9267
Vol. 2 No.5 (2025)

Research on Tax Planning in Corporate Mergers and Acquisitions

Dongyang Huang*

School of Management, Xi'an Polytechnic University, Shaanxi, Xi'an, 710600, China

***Corresponding author: Dongyang Huang, 1047763613@qq.com**

Abstract: In an era marked by accelerating global economic integration, mergers and acquisitions have emerged as strategic in investigation examines the role of tax planning in M&A transactions through multidimensional analysis of theoretical foundations, policy frameworks, strategic approaches, and practical applications. The findings demonstrate that scientifically-designed tax planning mechanisms can substantially reduce transaction costs, realize synergistic tax benefits, and enhance post-merger organizational value. Incorporating contemporary developments in internationstruments for resource optimization and organizational transformation within modern business ecosystems. This taxation policies, this study presents a structured approach to tax planning across various M&A phases and validates its efficacy through empirical case analysis, offering both theoretical guidance and practical reference points for enterprises engaging in merger activities.

Keywords: Corporate Mergers and Acquisitions; Tax Optimization; Special Tax Treatment; Tax Synergy; Restructuring Strategies

Published: Oct 25, 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62177/apemr.v2i5.799

1.Introduction

The contemporary business landscape witnesses increasing global competition, making M&A activities crucial vehicles for corporate expansion and upgrading. Current data reveals a 71% year-on-year increase in A-share M&A transaction values during the first half of 2025, with industrial integration accounting for 77% of these transactions. Control rights transactions, asset injections, and bankruptcy reorganizations have emerged as predominant models in this evolving marketplace.

Taxation represents a significant cost consideration in M&A transactions, directly influencing both deal structure and payment mechanisms. Research indicates that M&A transactions typically involve multiple tax dimensions including corporate income tax, value-added tax, land appreciation tax, deed tax, and stamp duty, with cumulative tax obligations potentially reaching 30% or more of total transaction value. Consequently, strategic tax planning not only reduces transaction costs but also enables post-merger tax synergy through optimized transaction architectures, thereby enhancing overall corporate value.

Despite the recognized importance of tax planning in M&A processes, many organizations continue to underestimate its strategic value during restructuring initiatives, resulting in substantial tax liabilities. Numerous enterprises encounter significant tax-related challenges due to policy misinterpretations, inadequate tax planning, and insufficient compliance risk management. Thus, comprehensive research on tax planning in corporate M&A carries substantial theoretical and practical significance[1].

This paper adopts graduate-level academic rigor while incorporating the latest tax policy developments through 2025 to systematically examine theoretical foundations, policy frameworks, and practical strategies for tax planning in corporate M&A. The research aims to provide enterprises with scientifically-grounded tax decision-making frameworks for merger activities, facilitating optimal resource allocation and achieving integrated financial and tax synergy in restructuring operations.

2.Theoretical Foundations of M&A Tax Planning

The theoretical underpinnings of M&A tax planning primarily encompass tax synergy theory, special tax treatment theory, and tax neutrality principles. These conceptual frameworks establish the fundamental structure guiding organizational approaches to tax planning during merger activities.

2.1 Tax Synergy Conceptual Framework

Tax synergy theory proposes that corporate M&A transactions can maximize tax efficiency through deliberate planning strategies. Tax synergy, functioning as a structural component within post-merger integration frameworks, occupies critical importance in strategic planning and transactional arrangements. Empirical investigations reveal that not all affiliated M&A activities generate stable tax synergy effects. Compared to financial statement integration mergers, resource integration mergers demonstrate more pronounced tax synergy benefits. The effective presence of an internal capital market substantially enhances tax synergy effects in related-party mergers.

Tax synergy manifestations primarily occur through three mechanisms: first, income tax reduction through loss offset mechanisms, where profitable entities utilize acquired companies' accumulated losses to reduce taxable income; second, enhanced depreciation deductions through asset revaluation; third, tax savings through optimized capital structures utilizing interest deduction strategies.

2.2 Special Tax Treatment Theory

Special tax treatment theory constitutes a cornerstone of M&A tax planning frameworks. China's Corporate Income Tax Law stipulates that enterprise restructuring may qualify for special tax treatment upon satisfying these conditions: demonstrates legitimate business purposes without primarily aiming to reduce, exempt, or defer tax obligations; meets prescribed thresholds for acquired assets or equity (generally exceeding 50%); maintains original substantive business operations for 12 consecutive months post-restructuring; contains equity payment components representing at least 85% of total transaction value; requires principal shareholders receiving equity compensation to retain shares for 12 consecutive months following restructuring.

The essence of special tax treatment involves providing tax-neutral treatment for M&A transactions, effectively deferring tax obligations until actual asset or equity transfers occur subsequently. This approach alleviates cash flow pressures during merger processes while promoting optimal resource allocation.

2.3 Tax Neutrality Doctrine

The tax neutrality principle advocates that taxation should not distort corporate economic decisions, including M&A choices. According to this doctrine, tax considerations should not primarily determine merger decisions but merely influence selection of implementation methods. An ideal tax system maintains neutrality regarding corporate growth through internal development versus external acquisition.

However, complete tax neutrality remains theoretically challenging in practice. Governments frequently utilize tax policies to guide M&A directions and promote industrial structure optimization. For instance, China's 2014 policy adjustment reduced qualifying thresholds for special corporate income tax treatment from 75% to 50%, expanding special treatment applicability and demonstrating policy support for corporate restructuring and industrial upgrading through tax incentives.

3.Policy Framework for M&A Tax Planning

The policy framework for M&A tax planning primarily includes special tax treatment provisions, cross-border M&A tax regulations, and multi-tax coordination mechanisms. Comprehensive understanding of this policy landscape constitutes essential preparation for effective organizational tax planning[2].

3.1 Special Tax Treatment Provisions

Special tax treatment policies vary according to restructuring types, specifically addressing debt restructuring, equity acquisition, asset acquisition, mergers, and divisions.

Regarding debt restructuring, when recognized taxable income from enterprise debt restructuring exceeds 50% of annual taxable income, enterprises may apportion this income across five tax years. This provision reduces income tax burdens for debt-restructured enterprises, avoiding single-year taxation pressures on substantial restructuring gains.

Equity acquisition and asset acquisition share similar special treatment qualifications, requiring acquired equity or assets exceeding 50% ownership, with equity payments comprising at least 85% of total consideration. Qualifying transactions may temporarily defer gain or loss recognition, maintaining tax basis continuity.

Merger qualifications for special treatment remain relatively flexible, requiring either that shareholders receive equity consideration exceeding 85% of total payment, or that same-control mergers occur without payment consideration. Special treatment permits surviving entities to inherit tax attributes from merged enterprises, including loss carryforward qualifications.

Division qualifications for special treatment include: shareholders receiving division enterprise shares proportional to original holdings; both divided and surviving entities maintaining original substantive business operations; and equity payments comprising at least 85% of total consideration to shareholders of divided enterprises[3].

3.2 Cross-Border M&A Tax Regulations

Cross-border M&A tax regulations form essential components of international tax planning. China's policies regarding transactions between Chinese residents and non-residents (including Hong Kong, Macao, and Taiwan regions) involve specific provisions.

These specialized policies primarily address four scenarios: non-resident enterprises transferring resident enterprise equity to wholly-owned non-resident enterprises without altering future withholding tax liabilities, with transferor committing to non-transfer for three years; non-resident enterprises transferring resident enterprise equity to wholly-owned resident enterprises; resident enterprises investing assets or equity into wholly-owned non-resident enterprises; and other circumstances approved by financial authorities.

These policies aim to facilitate cross-border investment while preventing abuse of tax benefits and protecting national revenue interests. Cross-border M&A planning requires comprehensive consideration of domestic and international tax policies, strategic utilization of bilateral tax agreements, and optimized transaction structures.

3.3 Multi-Tax Coordination Mechanisms

M&A transactions involve multiple tax dimensions beyond corporate income tax, including value-added tax, land appreciation tax, deed tax, and stamp duty. Effective tax planning requires coordinated consideration across all relevant taxes to achieve comprehensive tax minimization.

Regarding value-added tax, asset restructuring transactions involving complete transfer of physical assets with associated liabilities and labor to other entities through mergers, divisions, or similar mechanisms generally fall outside VAT scope. This provision offers VAT benefits for enterprise asset restructuring.

Concerning land appreciation tax, real estate transfers during qualifying restructurings may qualify for temporary land appreciation tax exemption. For example, technology companies transferring appliance business assets and liabilities to wholly-owned subsidiaries typically obtain land appreciation tax exemptions.

Related to deed tax, corporate mergers and divisions involving continuing ownership interests generally qualify for deed tax exemptions regarding transferred land and building rights.

Multi-tax coordination requires comprehensive tax knowledge and strategic application of various tax incentives to achieve overall tax optimization.

4.Strategic Approaches to M&A Tax Planning

Strategic tax planning in M&A transactions encompasses pre-transaction planning, execution-phase planning, and post-transaction planning stages. Each phase involves distinct planning priorities and strategic considerations.

4.1 Pre-Transaction Planning Strategies

Pre-transaction planning establishes foundation for overall tax optimization, including target selection, transaction design, and financing arrangements.

In target selection, enterprises should comprehensively evaluate tax attributes including loss carryforwards, tax incentive qualifications, and asset tax bases. Empirical research indicates that actual tax burdens significantly influence M&A decisions, with lower effective tax rates favoring restructuring initiatives. Enterprises with accumulated losses might consider "loss-making entities absorbing profitable enterprises" models to utilize loss carryforwards.

Regarding transaction design, organizations must compare tax implications of asset acquisitions, equity acquisitions, and statutory mergers. Asset acquisitions avoid inherited tax liabilities but may generate higher transaction taxes; equity acquisitions qualify for special treatment but assume target's tax history. Enterprises should select optimal structures based on specific circumstances.

Concerning financing arrangements, companies should evaluate tax effects of equity versus debt financing. Debt financing provides interest deduction benefits, while equity financing offers lower financial risks despite reduced tax advantages. Organizations should optimize capital structures to maximize after-tax returns.

4.2 Execution-Phase Planning Strategies

Execution-phase planning represents critical tax optimization period, including consideration structuring, payment selection, and treatment determination.

In consideration structuring, enterprises must allocate acquisition costs to minimize tax burdens. Asset acquisitions require rational allocation among different assets considering varying tax bases and attributes. Equity acquisitions necessitate appropriate pricing to avoid tax authority adjustments.

Regarding payment selection, organizations balance cash versus equity components. China's tax policies permit special treatment for transactions exceeding 85% equity payment, enabling tax deferral. Cash payments offer immediate liquidity benefits. Enterprises should select payment methods according to cash flow conditions and tax objectives.

Concerning treatment determination, companies evaluate general versus special treatment alternatives. Special treatment defers tax timing and alleviate cash flow pressures but requires strict qualification and compliance. Organizations should select optimal approaches based on comprehensive circumstances.

4.3 Post-Transaction Planning Strategies

Post-transaction planning extends tax optimization into integration phases, including tax integration, incentive succession, and subsequent restructuring.

Tax integration constitutes essential post-merger activity. Enterprises must consolidate tax management processes across combined entities, unify planning strategies, and achieve tax synergy benefits. Research indicates that effective internal capital markets significantly enhance tax synergy in related-party mergers. Organizations should establish efficient internal capital mechanisms to strengthen tax coordination.

Tax incentive succession requires particular attention during integration. Surviving entities in mergers maintaining qualifying conditions may continue predecessor tax incentives. Enterprises should ensure ongoing compliance to maximize tax benefits.

Subsequent restructuring planning enables continuous tax optimization. Transactions occurring within 12 months before or after restructuring may require integrated treatment under substance-over-form principles. Organizations should adopt long-term perspectives when planning subsequent restructuring activities.

5.Case Studies in M&A Tax Planning

This chapter presents empirical analysis through two representative cases demonstrating practical application and effectiveness of M&A tax planning. The first case involves manufacturing group merger highlighting special treatment application; the second examines technology company division emphasizing multi-tax coordination.

5.1 Case 1: Manufacturing Group Acquisition

A manufacturing group proposed acquiring a peer enterprise possessing accumulated losses and substantial valuable assets. The transaction involved 100% equity acquisition valued at 1 billion RMB, comprising 850 million RMB equity consideration and 150 million RMB cash payment.

Tax Planning Strategy: First, the transaction qualified for special treatment through exceeding 50% equity acquisition (100% actual) and achieving 85% equity payment (850/1000=85%). Special treatment enabled target shareholders to defer gain recognition while establishing acquirer's tax basis using original target basis.

Second, the group arranged pre-acquisition asset restructuring to separate non-core assets and liabilities into specific subsidiaries, enhancing target asset quality and tax efficiency. This restructuring completed within 12 months preceding acquisition but qualified for integrated treatment under continuity principles.

Planning Outcomes: Special treatment deferred approximately 250 million RMB corporate income tax for target shareholders (calculated using 1 billion RMB net asset fair value-tax basis difference at 25% rate), alleviating cash flow pressures; acquirer obtained target's loss carryforwards and tax incentives, projecting 120 million RMB tax savings over five years; asset restructuring optimized target structure, improving post-acquisition management efficiency.

This case demonstrates how appropriate special treatment application reduces M&A tax costs and achieves tax synergy benefits.

5.2 Case 2: Technology Company Division

A technology company holding multiple patents and real estate assets sought to enhance business focus and unlock asset value through division into two entities: technology development and asset management specialists.

Tax Planning Strategy: First, the company designed a survival division structure with original entity continuing technology operations while new entity assumed real estate assets. The division qualified for special treatment through proportional shareholder allocation, 100% equity payment, and continued substantive operations by both entities.

Second, the company implemented coordinated multi-tax planning. Corporate income tax qualified for special treatment deferring gain recognition; value-added tax exemption applied to integrated asset-liability-labor transfers; land appreciation tax qualified for temporary exemption under restructuring provisions; deed tax exemption applied through continuing ownership interests.

Planning Outcomes: Comprehensive tax planning avoided immediate tax payments approximating 350 million RMB corporate income tax, 120 million RMB land appreciation tax, 30 million RMB deed tax, and 80 million RMB value-added tax, totaling 580 million RMB tax savings; post-division entities enhanced operational focus and efficiency; asset management company leveraged real estate assets more flexibly, unlocking hidden value.

This case illustrates how coordinated multi-tax planning achieves comprehensive tax optimization in restructuring transactions, creating substantial economic value.

6.Conclusions and Future Perspectives

Through comprehensive examination of theoretical foundations, policy frameworks, strategic methodologies, and practical case studies, this research yields following conclusions and recommendations:

First, M&A tax planning possesses significant theoretical and practical importance. Tax synergy theory, special treatment theory, and tax neutrality principles constitute fundamental theoretical frameworks. Research indicates that actual tax burdens significantly influence M&A decisions, with lower effective rates facilitating restructuring activities. Private enterprises demonstrate greater sensitivity to corporate income tax policies, showing more pronounced policy effects than state-owned enterprises.

Second, effective M&A tax planning requires comprehensive policy understanding and contemporary awareness. China has established relatively complete M&A tax policy systems covering multiple tax categories. The 2014 policy adjustment reducing special treatment thresholds from 75% to 50% significantly enhanced policy effectiveness for M&A restructuring. The 2025 draft Enterprise M&A Law amendments further relaxed tax regulations, permitting deferred securities transaction taxation upon actual share transfers.

Third, systematic strategic approaches are essential for M&A tax planning. Distinct planning strategies apply across pre-transaction, execution, and post-transaction phases. Pre-transaction planning focuses on target selection and structure design; execution planning emphasizes consideration allocation and payment selection; post-transaction planning addresses tax integration and incentive maintenance. Systematic planning maximizes tax synergy and enhances transaction value.

Finally, M&A tax planning requires emphasis on risk management and compliance. Increasingly stringent regulatory environments necessitate heightened attention to planning compliance and rationality, avoiding aggressive approaches creating legal risks. Enterprises should strengthen communication with tax authorities and professional advisors while establishing comprehensive risk management systems covering target selection, valuation, and integration processes.

Looking forward, evolving economic globalization and digital transformation will increase M&A complexity, demanding enhanced tax planning capabilities. Future research should examine tax issues in digital economy M&A, international tax coordination in cross-border transactions, and policy impact mechanisms on corporate M&A behavior, providing deeper theoretical guidance and practical references for M&A tax planning.

Funding

No

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Reference

[1] Koivisto A. Tax planning and investment responses to dividend taxation. International Tax and Public Finance. 2024 Apr 16:1-40.

[2] Kolay M, Schallheim J, Wells KS. The leverage puzzle-a new perspective. Journal for International Business and Entrepreneurship Development. 2024;16(3):313-32.

[3] Zhang X, Zha X, Zhang H, Dan B. Information sharing in a cross-border e-commerce supply chain under tax uncertainty. International Journal of Electronic Commerce. 2022 Jan 2;26(1):123-46.