Asia Pacific Science Press

Asia Pacific Economic and Management Review
ISSN(O):3005-9275
ISSN(P):3005-9267
Vol. 2 No.2 (2025)

Construction of Enterprise Financial Performance Evaluation System

Zhuoting Wang*

School of Management, Xi'an Polytechnic University, Xi'an, 710600, China

Corresponding author: Zhuoting Wang, 1525658016@qq.com

Abstract: This article is dedicated to discussing the construction methods and implementation processes of the enterprise financial performance evaluation system, as well as the challenges and corresponding countermeasures. Firstly, it elaborates on the concept of enterprise financial performance evaluation and its construction factors. Secondly, it describes the theoretical basis of the components of the enterprise financial performance evaluation system, including relevant theoretical models and models. Subsequently, it expounds on the methods and techniques for constructing the enterprise financial performance evaluation system, including the selection of KPI indicators and the determination of weights. Then, it presents the implementation process of constructing the enterprise financial performance evaluation system, including preparatory work, indicator design, and the establishment of evaluation models. Finally, it discusses the difficulties faced in constructing the enterprise financial performance evaluation system and proposes corresponding countermeasures. Through the exploration in this article, it can provide specific theoretical and practical guidance for the continuous improvement of the enterprise's fi nancial performance evaluation system.

Keywords: Enterprise Financial Performance Evaluation; Evaluation System Construction; Performance Indicators; Implementation Steps

Published: Mar 24, 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62177/apemr.v2i2.205

In today's highly competitive business environment, enterprises are confronted with signifi cant challenges and pressures, and must constantly enhance their performance levels to maintain core competitiveness. Financial performance evaluation, as an important means of assessing the operational status and management eff ectiveness of enterprises, is particularly crucial for their sustainable development. Therefore, establishing a reasonable fi nancial performance evaluation system has become one of the practical demands of enterprise management. This article aims to discuss the construction methods and implementation processes of the enterprise fi nancial performance evaluation system, as well as the diffi culties it faces and the corresponding solutions. Through the exploration in this article, I hope to provide theoretical and practical guidance for the continuous improvement of the fi nancial performance evaluation system in enterprise development, promoting the stable development and performance improvement of enterprises.

1.Overview of the Enterprise Financial Performance Evaluation System

1.1 Defi nition and Concept of Enterprise Financial Performance Evaluation

Enterprise fi nancial performance evaluation refers to the systematic analysis and assessment of enterprise fi nancial data and indicators to measure the performance of financial operations, profitability, asset utilization efficiency, and other aspects.

It includes a comprehensive evaluation of the enterprise's profitability, business performance, and financial management capabilities, and is one of the key bases for enterprise operation management and decision-making[1].

1.2 Constituent Elements of the Enterprise Financial Performance Evaluation System

The basic elements of the enterprise financial performance evaluation system include, but are not limited to, the following aspects: Selecting KPI indicators related to the enterprise's development strategy and core business, such as revenue growth rate, gross profit margin, return on capital, etc., to measure the main performance of the enterprise. Clarifying the information sources and collection methods required for evaluation, including internal financial statements, external market data, questionnaires, etc., to ensure the authenticity and timeliness of the evaluation data. Formulating evaluation criteria and weights, establishing the relative importance weights of each indicator to facilitate performance evaluation and comparative analysis. Defining the evaluation cycle and frequency, such as monthly, quarterly, or annually, to closely monitor the financial performance trends of the enterprise. Formulating performance reports and information feedback, communicating evaluation results to relevant departments and management in a timely manner, and promoting the timely handling of problems and the implementation of optimization measures. The construction factors of the enterprise financial performance evaluation system should fully consider the characteristics of the enterprise, the characteristics of the industry, and changes in the market environment to ensure the scientificity and applicability of the evaluation index system.

2.Theoretical Basis for the Construction of the Enterprise Financial Performance Evaluation System

2.1Theoretical Framework of Financial Performance Evaluation

The theoretical framework of financial performance evaluation is generally based on financial management theory and performance management theory. At the level of financial management theory, it mainly includes financial ratio analysis, financial statement analysis, and other methods, as well as related information in modern financial management theory such as value management and risk management. At the level of performance management theory, it mainly includes methods such as goal management, performance assessment, and incentive systems, as well as performance evaluation frameworks based on models such as the balanced scorecard and profit chain.

2.2 Theoretical Models for the Construction of the Performance Evaluation System

Balanced Scorecard: Proposed by Harvard University experts Kaplan and Norton, it expands the enterprise performance evaluation from the traditional financial perspective to include multiple aspects such as customers, internal business processes, learning and growth, forming a comprehensive evaluation system that helps enterprises understand and evaluate performance more comprehensively. Profit Chain Model: Proposed by Michael Porter, it decomposes the enterprise's value creation process into a series of interrelated activities, and based on the interpretation and evaluation of each activity, identifies the key factors affecting the enterprise's operational performance, thereby guiding the enterprise's management and decision-making. Cost Management Theory: Including methods such as activity-based costing and total cost management, it evaluates the performance of the enterprise based on the interpretation of the enterprise's cost structure and cost management, providing a reference basis for enterprise operation management.

3.Methods and Techniques for Constructing an Enterprise Financial Performance Evaluation System

3.1 Selection of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

Selecting appropriate KPIs is one of the key steps in building an enterprise financial performance evaluation system. Common KPIs include revenue growth rate, net profit margin, return on assets, cash flow ratio, inventory turnover rate, etc. KPIs should be directly related to the enterprise's development strategy. For example, if the enterprise's development strategy is to increase market share, then revenue growth rate is likely to be an important indicator. KPIs should reflect the core business activities of the enterprise. For instance, for manufacturing enterprises, productivity and product quality may be the main indicators; for service enterprises, customer satisfaction and service response time may be crucial. Different industries have different characteristics and challenges, so the selection of KPIs needs to consider the competitive environment and

development trends of the market. For example, the retail industry may be more concerned about inventory turnover rate and total sales, while the financial industry may be more concerned about return on assets and risk control indicators.

3.2 Weight Determination Methods

Defining the weights of each indicator is another important stage in the construction of the evaluation system. Common weight determination methods include subjective weighting method, objective weighting method, and Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP). The subjective weighting method involves senior executives determining the weights of each indicator based on their experience and professional skills. Executives allocate weight values through communication and discussion, taking into account the enterprise's development strategy and business necessity. The objective weighting method determines weights based on objective methods such as data analysis or expert guidance. For example, data intelligent analysis, such as regression analysis or factor analysis, can be used to determine the correlation and influence analysis between indicator values, thereby determining the weights. Additionally, weights can be obtained through academic discussions or questionnaire surveys for objective evaluation. AHP is a structured decision-making method that analyzes the interrelationships among each indicator at different levels to determine the weights. AHP transforms decision-making into a hierarchical structure and determines the best decision through the comparison of the necessity among different levels. This method helps senior executives systematically consider the interrelationships among each indicator and reduce the impact of subjective factors.

3.3 Evaluation Methods and Technical Tools

Evaluation methods and technical tools include financial ratio analysis, financial statement analysis, regression analysis, factor analysis, data mining, etc. Financial ratio analysis involves comparing the ratios of different financial indicators, such as current ratio, debt-to-asset ratio, net profit margin, etc., to evaluate the financial performance of the enterprise. These ratios can help assess the enterprise's performance in terms of debt-paying ability, operational efficiency, and asset utilization. Financial statement analysis involves analyzing the enterprise's balance sheet, income statement, and cash flow statement to comprehensively evaluate the enterprise's financial status and business performance. By comparing financial statements from different time periods, it is easy to identify problems in the enterprise's financial development. Regression analysis can be used to analyze the correlation between various performance indicators and develop analytical models to predict the enterprise's performance. Through regression analysis, it can be determined which aspects have a significant impact on the enterprise's performance and take control or improvement measures. Factor analysis is a statistical analysis method used to identify common factors among several observed variables. In financial performance evaluation, factor analysis can be used to discover hidden performance factors and incorporate them into the evaluation system. Data mining can help extract large amounts of financial data and discover underlying patterns and information within the data. Through data mining, new performance evaluation indicators can be discovered, analysis can be optimized, or abnormal situations and risks can be identified. [2]

4.Implementation Steps for Constructing an Enterprise Financial Performance Evaluation System

4.1 Preparatory Work

Before implementing the enterprise financial performance evaluation system, sufficient preparatory work should be done. Firstly, it is necessary to clarify the purpose of the evaluation, whether it is to improve financial management, optimize work processes or other goals. At the same time, the scope of the evaluation should be determined, including the evaluation objectives, indicators and cycles, etc. The time cycle of the evaluation should be clearly defined, such as monthly, quarterly or annually, to facilitate the timely discovery of problems and trend changes. Establish an evaluation work organization, and determine the organizational structure and responsibility mechanism of the evaluation work, including the evaluation's main department, evaluation team members and job responsibilities. The information relied upon for the evaluation should be accurate, detailed and reliable. A standardized procedure for data collection, storage and management can be established to ensure data quality. Train the personnel involved in the evaluation work to master the purpose, methods and technical tools of the evaluation, and improve their evaluation and professional capabilities.

4.2 Indicator Design and Data Collection

After confirming the evaluation objectives and scope, the most suitable evaluation indicators should be designed to ensure that relevant data can be obtained. Design the most suitable evaluation indicators based on the company's development strategy and main business. These data should comprehensively reflect the company's performance and be consistent with the company's strategic goals. Common indicators include financial ratios (such as profit margin, return on assets), financial indicators (such as cash flow, cash flow statement), management accounting reports (cost reports, budget reports), internal control systems (risk management reports, internal audit reports), etc. Ensuring the authenticity and timeliness of the data is the key to the smooth implementation of the evaluation indicators.

4.3 Construction of Performance Evaluation Model

After obtaining the statistical data, a performance evaluation model must be built. The weight of each evaluation indicator should be clearly defined to reflect its importance to the company's performance. This can be achieved through expert assessment, analytic hierarchy process, principal component analysis, etc. The determination of weights should take into account various factors such as the strategic necessity of the indicators, their relevance to business processes and the feasibility of implementation. Next, establish the connection between evaluation indicators, that is, clarify their causal relationship or influence association. This helps to understand the interaction between indicators and assist in analyzing the diversity and integrity of the performance evaluation model. Based on the characteristics of the indicators and the features of the information, select the appropriate evaluation methods and technical tools. Common ones include statistical analysis, regression analysis, time series analysis, etc. At the same time, data mining algorithms can be used to discover potential patterns and regularities in the data, thereby improving the accuracy and predictive power of the evaluation model. Based on the established indicator weights, correlations and evaluation methods, build a performance evaluation model. This can be a mathematical model, statistical model or a fuzzy logic model based on expert knowledge and experience. Ensure that the evaluation model can comprehensively and clearly reflect the company's financial performance status.

4.4 Implementation and Monitoring

During the implementation stage of the evaluation indicators, the evaluation plan must be carried out, and the evaluation results should be monitored and optimized. The implementation stage includes collecting data related to the evaluation indicators, ensuring that the data sources are reliable, detailed and meet the requirements of the evaluation system. Based on the evaluation model and indicator weights, calculate and produce the data obtained to obtain the values of the evaluation indicator system. Ensure the accuracy and consistency of the calculation methods to prevent measurement errors from affecting the evaluation results. Analyze and present the evaluation results obtained from the calculation, discover the basic patterns and development trends. Identify the company's strengths and weaknesses in terms of business performance, etc., and provide a basis and reference for the next decision-making. Based on the analyzed data, write an evaluation report and communicate the evaluation results and suggestions to the stakeholders. The report should clearly and concisely present the evaluation results and analysis results, facilitating the understanding of relevant personnel and taking effective actions and other related work. The regulatory authorities should regularly check and evaluate the results, compare them with the actual business operation, and promptly correct the evaluation system when problems are found to ensure its effectiveness and sustainability.

5.Challenges and Countermeasures in the Construction of Enterprise Financial Performance Evaluation System

5.1 Challenge Analysis

The enterprise financial performance evaluation system relies on a large amount of data support. However, poor or incomplete data quality will affect the accuracy and stability of the evaluation results. Selecting suitable KPI indicators is a key step in the construction of the evaluation system, but in reality, it often encounters the challenge of difficult indicator selection. Determining the weights of various indicators should consider several factors, including the enterprise's development strategy and industry characteristics. This may be difficult and controversial in practice. The construction of the performance evaluation model involves the correlation between several indicators, weight determination, and other elements. It is

necessary to comprehensively consider multiple factors to ensure the effectiveness and accuracy of the physical model.

5.2 Countermeasures and Measures

Strengthen the supervision of data collection, storage, and processing methods. Vigorously implement data preprocessing, authentication, and other methods to improve the accuracy and completeness of the database. Continuously improve the data management system and procedures to ensure reliable data sources, scientific data collection methods, and immediate adjustment of inconsistent and missing data. Work closely with various departments and management to jointly participate in the process of indicator selection. Through organizing seminars, special discussions, and other methods, collect opinions from all parties to ensure that the selected indicators can comprehensively reflect the financial performance of the enterprise. When confirming the weights of the indicators, adopt transparent methods, such as organizing expert review meetings for weight investigation. By making the process and basis of weight determination public, the transparency and credibility of the decision-making process can be enhanced, ensuring the fairness and rationality of weight establishment and improving the recognition of the evaluation results by all parties. When establishing the performance evaluation model, simplify the model structure as much as possible and reduce unnecessary complexity. Common physical model frameworks and optimization algorithms can be adopted, avoiding excessive personalization and technical complexity to improve the readability and executability of the model. At the same time, pay attention to the stability and adjustability of the model to adapt to the characteristics and needs of different enterprises and reduce the cost of model establishment and maintenance.[3]

6.Conclusion

In conclusion, enterprise financial performance evaluation plays a crucial role in the current business environment. Establishing a reasonable evaluation indicator system can help enterprises fully understand their financial operations, promptly solve problems, and formulate optimization strategies, thereby enhancing their competitiveness and long-term development level. Currently, there are some challenges in the construction of enterprise financial performance evaluation systems, such as poor data quality and difficult indicator selection. For these challenges, we have proposed corresponding countermeasures and strategies, including improving database management, involving multiple parties in indicator selection, and transparent weight determination. In the future, we hope to continue in-depth analysis of some issues in the enterprise financial performance evaluation industry, improve the methods and technologies for constructing the evaluation system, and provide users with more scientific and reasonable financial performance evaluation applications to promote the continuous development of enterprises.

Funding

no

Conflict of Interests

The author(s)declare(s) that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

[1] Shi Xueyan. On the construction and application of enterprise financial performance evaluation index System. [J]. Today's business Circle,2023[1]

[2] Dong Shichen. Analysis of the construction strategy of State-owned enterprises' financial performance evaluation index system [J].Mall Modernization,2020[2].

[3] Liu Jinfang, Duan Ruyue. A Micro probe into the National Circulation Economy of Enterprise Financial Performance [J]. Evaluation Index System in the New Era,2020[3]