Asia Pacific Science Press

Asia Pacific Economic and Management Review
ISSN(O):3005-9275
ISSN(P):3005-9267
Vol. 2 No.6 (2025)

Harnessing Globalization: Reducing Inequality and Fostering Growth

Yongan Zhu*

School of International Relations and Public Affairs, Shanghai International Studies University, Shanghai 201620, China

Corresponding author: Yongan Zhu, 0233100080@shisu.edu.cn

Abstract: This article employs modernization theory and global value chain (GVC) theory to examine globalization's positive role in reducing inequality and fostering economic development. The analysis reveals that through economic integration, technology transfer, and participation in global production networks, globalization significantly catalyzes growth and poverty reduction. Illustrative cases include South Korea and Singapore's economic transformation via export-oriented policies; China's Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Greater Bay Area attracting foreign investment and technology through special economic zones, with platforms like the China-Singapore Guangzhou Knowledge City accelerating industrial upgrading; and India's IT services sector creating over 17 million high-wage jobs by leveraging its skilled labor force. However, these benefits are not automatic, but rather highly contingent upon national strategic policies, institutional governance capacity, and socioeconomic infrastructure investment. The study underscores that only countries with effective governance and forward-looking development strategies can fully harness globalization's potential to achieve inclusive growth.

Keywords: Globalization; Inequality; Global Value Chains; Economic Growth

Published: Dec 30, 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62177/apemr.v2i6.1013

1.Introduction

Globalization, characterized by the increasing interconnectedness and interdependence of the world's economies, societies, and cultures, has profoundly reshaped the global political economy over the past few decades. This phenomenon has facilitated unprecedented levels of trade, investment, technology transfer, and movement of people across borders, creating new opportunities and challenges for countries around the world. While critics argue that globalization exacerbates disparities, this essay will focus on exploring the positive impacts of globalization on reducing inequality and fostering economic development.

However, it is crucial to critically evaluate whether these positive impacts are universally experienced or if they disproportionately benefit certain regions and populations. The narrative of globalization as a force for good often overlooks the complexities and varying outcomes it produces. Therefore, this essay will not only highlight the beneficial effects of globalization but also scrutinize the contexts and conditions under which these benefits are realized.

This essay aims to demonstrate how globalization, through mechanisms such as economic integration, technology transfer, and participation in global production networks, can contribute to reducing inequality and promoting inclusive growth. By examining these mechanisms, the essay will highlight the ways in which globalization has enabled many developing countries to achieve significant economic advancements, thereby improving living standards and reducing poverty. The analysis will be framed through the lenses of modernization theory and global value chains (GVC) theory, which provide insightful explanations for the beneficial effects of globalization.

The essay will first establish the theoretical frameworks and definitions essential for understanding the positive impacts of globalization on inequality. It will then delve into the specific positive impacts, examining how increased economic integration and participation in global production networks have spurred growth and development in various regions. Finally, the essay will discuss the contexts and conditions under which these benefits are realized, providing a balanced and comprehensive understanding of how globalization can act as a powerful force for reducing inequality and fostering economic prosperity.

2.Theoretical Framework and Definitions

To analyze the positive impacts of globalization on inequality in the global political economy, it is essential to first establish a theoretical framework and clarify key definitions. This section provides an overview of globalization, inequality, and the theories that will be discussed in detail in subsequent sections.

2.1 Globalization and Inequality

Globalization refers to the process of increasing interconnectedness and interdependence among countries through economic, social, cultural, and political exchanges. This phenomenon is driven by advances in technology, communication, and transportation, facilitating the flow of goods, services, capital, people, and information across borders. Globalization has been a significant force shaping the global political economy, influencing economic policies, trade practices, and social structures. Inequality encompasses disparities in income, wealth, and opportunities among individuals and groups within and between countries. It can be measured in various ways, including Income Inequality (Differences in income distribution within a population), Wealth Inequality (Disparities in the distribution of assets and wealth), Opportunity Inequality (Unequal access to education, healthcare, and employment opportunities). Understanding these dimensions of inequality is crucial for analyzing the impacts of globalization.

2.2 Overview of Theories

To provide a structured analysis of the positive impacts of globalization on inequality, we will utilize two key theories: modernization theory and global value chains (GVC) theory. Modernization theory posits that globalization promotes economic development and modernization in developing countries. According to this theory, as countries integrate into the global economy, they adopt modern practices, technologies and institutions, leading to economic growth and social progress. Key proponents of modernization theory argue that globalization facilitates a linear progression from traditional to modern stages of development, reducing poverty and improving living standards. Global value chains (GVC) theory[1]examines how production processes are fragmented and distributed across different countries. This theory highlights how different stages of production are located where they can be carried out most efficiently, leading to economic integration and development in participating countries. GVC theory emphasizes the role of global production networks in enhancing productivity, creating jobs, and fostering economic diversification.

These theories provide the foundation for our analysis of the positive impacts of globalization on inequality. By examining the mechanisms through which globalization influences economic development and reduces disparities, we can gain a comprehensive understanding of its beneficial effects.

3.Positive Impacts of Globalization on Inequality Using Theories

In this section, we will explore the positive impacts of globalization on inequality, focusing on how increased economic integration and participation in global production networks have spurred growth and development in certain regions. This analysis will utilize modernization theory and global value chains (GVC) theory to highlight the beneficial effects of globalization.

Modernization theory suggests that globalization promotes economic development and modernization in developing countries. Modernization theory is a socio-economic theory that explains the process of transition from a traditional or underdeveloped society to a modern society. According to this theory, as countries integrate into the global economy, they adopt modern practices, technologies, and institutions, leading to economic growth and social progress. The theory posits that the integration of developing countries into the global economy can facilitate economic growth and modernization through several mechanisms: economic integration, transfer of technology and knowledge, investment in infrastructure, access to capital and cultural exchange. Here, we examine the positive impacts of globalization through the lens of modernization theory.[2]

3.1 Economic Growth and Poverty Reduction

Globalization has contributed to significant economic growth in many developing countries, reducing poverty and improving living standards. Modernization theory posits that economic development follows a linear progression from traditional to modern stages. Globalization acts as a catalyst by providing access to advanced technologies, management practices, access to market and investment. For example, South Korea experienced rapid industrialization and economic growth due to its integration into the global economy. The Republic of Korea has achieved remarkable success in recent decades in combining rapid economic growth with significant poverty reduction, with real gross domestic product (GDP) growing on average by 5.7% annually between 1980 and 2023.[3] According to the World Bank, since Korea's accession to the OECD in 1996, its economy has gradually shifted to an export-oriented model, with exports of goods and services as well as merchandise rising. Korea's total exports of goods and services amounted to $154.9 billion in 1996 and reached $813.8 billion in 2022. During this period, merchandise exports increased from $129.7 billion in 1996 to $683.6 billion in 2022, while services exports grew from $25.2 billion to $130.2 billion, resulting in the total export volume more than quintupling in size. Meanwhile, its export trade as a share of GDP has been expanding after shifting to an export-oriented economy, reaching a staggering 54.1% in 2012, and despite the impact of the epidemic on global export trade, Korea's export trade has recovered very quickly, reaching 48.3% in 2022, with a trend of continued growth. By adopting export-oriented policies, South Korea transformed from a low-income agrarian society to a high-income industrial powerhouse. Korea's gross national income (GNI) per capita increased rapidly from US$67 in the early 1950s to US$33,745 in 2023.[4]

Similarly, Singapore leveraged globalization to become one of the world's most advanced economies. By creating a favorable environment for foreign direct investment (FDI) and emphasizing trade, Singapore achieved substantial economic growth and poverty reduction. In the 1960s, the city-state of Singapore was an undeveloped country with a GDP per capita of less than U.S. $320. Today, it is one of the world's fastest-growing economies. Its GDP per capita has risen to an incredible U.S. $60,000, making it one of the strongest economies in the world. As a small country with few natural resources, Singapore's economic leap forward has been remarkable. By embracing globalization, free-market capitalism and pragmatic policies, Singapore has overcome its geographical disadvantages to achieve significant economic success and improve its economic position. According to the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development's World Investment Report 2023, FDI inflows to Singapore reached a record $141.2 billion in 2022, up from $131.1 billion a year earlier (+7.7%), making the country the world's third-largest recipient of FDI after the U.S. and China, and accounting for nearly two-thirds of FDI inflows to ASEAN countries.[5] Since independence, Singapore has been working to improve the country's business environment to attract foreign investment, including measures to strengthen infrastructure, introduce investor protection legislation, set lower tax rates, foster a peaceful environment and reduce corruption. According to the latest data, Singapore is ranked 19th on the AT Kearney Foreign Direct Investment Confidence Index 2023 on the most attractive economies for foreign investment. It also ranks 1st out of 184 on the 2023 Index of Economic Freedom. The positive effects of embracing globalization on a country's economic development and improving international inequality can thus be seen.[6]

Modernization theory explains these successes by highlighting the adoption of modern technologies, practices, and policies that have spurred economic growth. The theory suggests that globalization facilitates the transfer of knowledge, technology, and capital, enabling developing countries to modernize and improve their economic conditions. These countries have effectively harnessed globalization to transition from traditional economies to modern industrialized states, reducing poverty and inequality in the process.

3.2 Technology and Skills Transfer

Globalization facilitates the transfer of technology and skills, promoting development and reducing inequality. Modernization theory emphasizes the importance of technology and skills transfer in the development process. Globalization facilitates this transfer through foreign direct investment, international trade, and global communication networks.

China's rapid growth is evidence of above. China's rapid economic growth is partly attributed to its openness to FDI and technology transfer. The establishment of special economic zones (SEZs) in the late 20th century attracted foreign firms, which brought advanced technologies and management practices. This influx of technology and knowledge significantly boosted China's industrial capabilities and productivity.[7] China introduced its SEZs as a part of economic reforms in the end of the 1970s. After that, they have been described as the engines of China's regional economy. A distinctive feature of the SEZ program is its decentralized implementation. An administrative committee, commonly selected by the local government, oversees the economic and social management of the zone, including approving the FDI projects up to a certain limit, building and improving the infrastructure, and regulating the land use on behalf of the local administration. The main directions and objectives of China's SEZs are: to build SEZs by attracting and utilizing foreign investment; to develop mainly Chinese-foreign joint ventures, partnerships and wholly foreign-owned enterprises; to develop export-oriented products; and to develop economic activities driven by market forces. Some studies have pointed out that the SEZ program has an overall positive effect on investment. SEZs offered an attractive policy package for foreign investors, including private property rights protection, tax incentives, and favorable land use policies. These measures made SEZs highly appealing to foreign investors. Supported by these incentives, the municipal government approved the establishment of special economic zones, which allowed them to attract significantly more foreign direct investment (FDI). Under the SEZ program, the per capita level of FDI increased by an average of 21.7%, which is an increase of 6.9 percentage points. On the other hand, SEZs were strategically designed to attract technologically advanced industrial facilities. The policies and infrastructure in these zones were geared towards promoting high-tech industries and export-oriented manufacturing. Foreign investment and the presence of firms bring with them advanced technologies, which are to some extent passed on to local firms. Such technology transfer is a key factor in increasing local productivity and innovation.[8]

In the case of the Greater Bay Area of China, for example, this new special economic zone of China exemplifies the positive role of special economic zones in attracting foreign direct investment and enabling technology transfer in the context of globalization. According to the 'Outline Development Plan for the Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Greater Bay Area', the Greater Bay Area has introduced a series of policies to attract foreign investment, promote innovation, protect intellectual property rights and financial integration.[9] The Greater Bay Area has witnessed a significant increase in foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows and technology imports, primarily driven by those policies. According to the Ministry of Commerce of the People's Republic of China (2021), FDI inflows into the GBA reached USD 139.2 billion in 2020, representing a 12.3% increase from the previous year. This growth can be attributed to the enhanced connectivity and cooperation fostered by the globalization, which has attracted foreign investors and technology providers to the region . Moreover, the GBA has seen a surge in technology imports as companies seek to acquire advanced technologies and know-how abroad. Data from the General Administration of Customs of the People's Republic of China (2021) reveals that the value of technology imports in the GBA reached USD 58.6 billion in 2020, a 15.7% increase from 2019. This trend highlights the region's commitment to leveraging international resources and expertise to drive technological innovation and industrial upgrading.[10]

The Greater Bay Area achieves technology transfer through cross-border technology transfer platforms and mechanisms, localization and adaptation of foreign technologies as well as reverse innovation and indigenous technology development. On cross-border technology transfer platforms and mechanisms, one notable example is the China-Singapore Guangzhou Knowledge City (CSGKC). This platform is a testament to the contribution of special economic zone projects to the promotion of international technology transfer and innovation, attracting high-tech companies and research institutes from both countries. It provides a platform for joint research and development, technology licensing, and commercialization of research outcomes.[11] As of 2019, the CSGKC had attracted over 1,200 enterprises, with a total investment of more than 100 billion yuan (approximately $15.5 billion).[12] On localization and adaptation of foreign technologies, A prime example of successful Localization and adaptation of foreign technology in the GBA is the Guangzhou Automobile Group Co., Ltd. case.

GAC Group has established joint ventures with foreign automakers such as Toyota, Honda, and Mitsubishi to introduce and localize advanced automotive technologies in the Chinese market. Through these collaborations, GAC Group has successfully adapted foreign technologies to develop new vehicle models tailored to the preferences of Chinese consumers, such as the GAC Toyota iA5, an electric vehicle designed specifically for the Chinese market.[13]

As mentioned above, Modernization theory argues that such transfers are essential for development because they enable developing countries to upgrade their industries and increase productivity. This process provides better employment opportunities and higher wages, helping to reduce income inequality. Integration of advanced technology leads to greater efficiency and productivity, which boosts economic growth and raises living standards. In addition to the modernization theory, there is another theory that can demonstrate the positive impact of globalization in addressing inequality.

3.3 Improvement in Labor Markets

The Global Value Chain (GVC) theory examines how production processes are carried out in different countries and tries to explain the emergence of GVCs and why different countries take on different production responsibilities. The theory emphasizes how to achieve the most efficient combination of production stages and places of production, thereby promoting economic integration and development in participating countries.[14]

Participation in global value chains can lead to improvements in labor markets and working conditions. GVC theory suggests that participation in global production networks can improve labor markets by creating more jobs and increasing wages. For example, The IT and business process outsourcing sectors in India have created numerous high-skilled jobs, improving wage levels and employment conditions for a large segment of the population. The services sector is considered one of the key drivers of domestic growth and exports, with India's services sector employing nearly 31% of the country's labor force and contributing more than 50% of India's GDP. India's services exports have grown from less than $4 billion at the beginning of the 21st century to $25.45 billion in 2022, with a large portion coming from information technology (IT) and business process outsourcing (BPO) services, which account for more than $157 billion by 2021 to $2022, and a significant portion of the total. 60 to $157 billion between 2021 and 2022. The huge demand for outsourcing of IT technology and services has created many jobs and employment opportunities in India, with direct employment in IT and BPO estimated at 5.1 million and indirect employment estimated at more than 12 million in 2021-2022.[15] On top of that, jobs in the IT and BPO industries typically have higher pay scales compared to other industries. This is especially true for highly skilled positions such as software development, data analytics, and customer service management, which tend to have higher salaries due to their more technical nature.

India has demonstrated unique strengths in integrating into global value chains that give it a high comparative advantage in areas such as IT technology and service process outsourcing.[16] First, India has accumulated a lot of highly skilled labor force in the past decades of development, and India has the largest number of graduates in information technology majors, business administration majors, and philosophy majors among the outsourcing service-oriented countries in the world. This abundant supply of talent enables India to meet the growing demand for software testing, application development and other advanced IT services. Second, the cost of services in India is much lower than in developed countries. This is largely due to India's abundant labor supply and the government's policy support for operations. The availability of labor is India's demographic dividend, and as a major contributor to GDP, these service outsourcing industries also receive a lot of policy support from the government.[17] In addition, the construction of competence centers like technology parks and other areas to attract and develop talent has ensured the workforce needed for India's service sector. Third, India's BPO industry has the second largest English-speaking population in the world, with more than 125 million people, or more than 10% of the country's total population. According to surveys, Indian adults rank among the top Asian countries in English proficiency in their industry. [18]

These factors contribute to India's comparative advantage in receiving outsourced services. In 2021, Indian companies are already responsible for 56% of the world's BPO workload, with nearly three-fifths of revenue coming from call center outsourcing and the rest from data entry and other IT-related services. During the same period, Indian BPO was selected as the preferred source of BPO services by US companies.

Indian firms have also taken several approaches to extend the benefits of this industry. NextWealth, an Indian service outsourcing company, outsources the company's IT and services through a distributed delivery model (a type of service outsourcing model),[19] whereby many small delivery centers are set up in Tier 2, Tier 3, and Tier 4 cities, as well as in rural India. For the company, the lower cost of living in non-Tier 1 cities and the equally large pool of qualified, educated and relevantly trained labor means that a large, diverse and qualified talent pool can be accessed at lower wages, resulting in a considerable cost advantage. For employees, such distribution centers offer employment opportunities closer to home, which in turn increases employment rates. By operating in conjunction with local businesses, these distribution operations can be scaled up quickly and flexibly, with no reduction in revenue and quick break-even. The success of the industry in India has had a multiplier effect on the Indian economy, as this labor force is also a large consumer of goods and services, contributing to the growth of the Indian economy and the progress of the labor market.

GVC theory suggests that as countries become more integrated into global production networks, they benefit from increased demand for labor, higher wages, and better working conditions. This can help reduce income inequality and improve living standards. As multinational companies establish operations in developing countries, they often bring higher standards and better practices, contributing to improvements in the local labor market.

3.4 Economic Diversification

Global value chains facilitate economic diversification, reducing reliance on a single industry or sector. GVC theory highlights the role of economic diversification in enhancing resilience and reducing inequality. By engaging in multiple sectors, countries can mitigate risks and ensure more stable growth.

Malaysia's integration into global electronics and automotive supply chains has significantly diversified its economy, making it more resilient and reducing economic inequality.[20] By developing multiple sectors, Malaysia has reduced its dependence on any single industry, enhancing economic stability. The electronics and automotive sectors have been pivotal in this diversification. Malaysia is a crucial player in the global semiconductor industry, supplying components essential for various electronic devices. This integration into the electronics supply chain has not only spurred economic growth but also created numerous job opportunities, contributing to a reduction in economic inequality. The automotive sector, particularly with the rise of electric vehicles (EVs), has further diversified Malaysia's industrial base. The transition towards EVs has reshaped the supply chain, creating new roles and opportunities for local suppliers and manufacturers, thus fostering economic resilience and stability. Moreover, Malaysia's location and well-developed infrastructure have attracted multinational corporations to set up manufacturing and supply chain operations in the country. This has led to increased foreign direct investment (FDI), technological transfers, and skill development among the local workforces. The Malaysian government has also implemented policies to support this diversification, such as improving digital infrastructure and promoting investment in high-tech industries. This is proved by the above theory as well as in the explanation of other examples. These efforts have collectively reduced Malaysia's economic vulnerability to global supply chain disruptions. By not being overly reliant on any single sector or market, Malaysia can better withstand economic shocks and maintain steady growth, contributing to a more equitable distribution of wealth across its population.[21]

GVC theory supports the opinion that economic diversification, driven by participation in global value chains, enhances economic stability and growth. This diversification helps to mitigate the risks associated with dependence on a single industry, contributing to a more equitable distribution of income and wealth. By developing various sectors, countries can create a more balanced and robust economy, reducing vulnerability to external shocks and fostering sustainable development.

4.Contexts and Conditions of the Benefits of Globalization

This section will critically examine the contexts and conditions that influence the extent to which the benefits of globalization are realized. This analysis will consider how regional and national policies, institutional capacity, and social and economic infrastructure play crucial roles in determining the outcomes of globalization. We will analyze the existing examples from Part 2 to highlight these factors.

4.1 Regional and National Policies

Strategic policies implemented by the State at the right time had a positive impact on reducing poverty and promoting its own economic development. The Korean Government had played an active role in guiding economic development and implementing policies that supported industrialization and technology adoption. The Government's focus on building a strong export sector had been crucial to its economic transformation. Similarly, Singapore's strategy was focused on creating an enabling environment for foreign direct investment, making it a global economic hub. The success of these countries underscores the importance of active government intervention. Strategic policies such as the promotion of industrial growth and the development of an export economy had been crucial in taking advantage of the opportunities of globalization. These examples show that without supportive national policies, the potential benefits of globalization may not be fully realized.

4.2 Institutional Capacity and Governance

Strong institutions and effective governance are essential to manage the complexities of globalization and to ensure benefits from it. China's ability to implement and enforce economic reforms, establish special economic zones and attract foreign direct investment was central to its rapid economic growth. In promoting development, the Government had played an effective role by maintaining stable macroeconomic policies, providing infrastructure and supporting industrial policies. China's experience showed that strong institutional capacity and effective governance were essential to maximize the benefits of globalization. Effective governance structures enable countries to benefit the process of global integration, attract investment and distribute economic benefits more equitably. Conversely, countries with weak institutions may struggle to manage globalization effectively, leading to uneven development and persistent inequality.

4.3 Social and Economic Infrastructure

Investment in social and economic infrastructure, such as education, health care and transportation, was crucial to improving a country's ability to benefit from globalization. India's investment in education and technology infrastructure had led to the rapid growth of its IT sector. Initiatives to establish technology parks and strengthen higher education in engineering and computer science have enabled the development of a large skilled workforce capable of supporting the IT sector. The example of India highlighted the importance of social and economic infrastructure in realizing the benefits of globalization. Adequate infrastructure helped to produce efficiently, attract investment and increase productivity. Without such infrastructure, countries may find it difficult to compete globally and miss out on the potential benefits of globalization.

5.Conclusion

In conclusion, globalization has significantly contributed to reducing inequality and fostering economic development in many regions by promoting economic growth, facilitating technology and skills transfer, and enabling access to global markets. However, the realization of these benefits is highly dependent on specific contexts and conditions, including regional and national policies, institutional capacity, and social and economic infrastructure. By understanding and addressing these factors, countries can better harness the positive impacts of globalization and promote inclusive growth and improved living standards.

Funding

No

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Reference

[1] Gereffi, G., & Korzeniewicz, M. (Eds.). (1994). Commodity chains and global capitalism. Praeger.

[2] Inglehart, R. (2020). Modernization and postmodernization: Cultural, economic, and political change in 43 societies. Princeton University Press.

[3] World Bank. (2020). Exports of goods and services (% of GDP) – Korea, Rep. Retrieved June 25, 2024, from https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NE.EXP.GNFS.ZS?locations=KR

[4] World Bank. (2020). Korea overview. Retrieved June 25, 2024, from https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/korea/overview

[5] United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. (2023). World investment report 2023: Investing in sustainable

energy for all. United Nations Publications.
[6] Lloyds Bank. (2023). Market potential in Singapore: Investment. Retrieved June 25, 2024, from https://www.lloydsbank.com/business/international/market-guides/singapore.aspx
[7] Moberg, L. (2015). The political economy of special economic zones. Journal of Institutional Economics, 11(1), 167–190.
[8] Wang, J. (2013). The economic impact of special economic zones: Evidence from Chinese municipalities. Journal of Development Economics, 101, 133–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2012.10.009
[9] Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Bureau. (2019). Outline development plan for the Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Greater Bay Area. Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region.
[10] Wang, Y., & Chen, X. (2020). The impact of the Belt and Road Initiative on China's regional economic growth. Journal of Geographical Sciences, 30(8), 1207–1226.
[11] Yao, X., & Chen, Z. (2020). China-Singapore Guangzhou Knowledge City: A new model of cross-border collaboration and innovation. Journal of Intellectual Capital, 21(5), 749–767.
[12] Guangzhou Municipal Government. (2020). Report on the high-quality development of the China-Singapore Guangzhou Knowledge City. Guangzhou Municipal People's Government Office.
[13] GAC Group. (2021). GAC Group annual report 2020. Retrieved June 25, 2024, from https://www.gacgroup.com.cn/en/ir/annual-report
[14] Alford, M., & Phillips, N. (2018). The political economy of state governance in global production networks: Change, crisis and contestation in the South African fruit sector. Review of International Political Economy, 25(1), 98–121.
[15] Ernst Young. (2023). India at 100: Realizing the potential of a US$26 trillion economy. Retrieved June 25, 2024, from https://www.ey.com/en_in/india-at-100
[16] TaskUs. (2023). BPO industry in India. Retrieved June 25, 2024, from https://www.taskus.com/insights/bpo-industry-in-india
[17] Nayyar, D. (2013). Catch up: Developing countries in the world economy. Oxford University Press.
[18] EF Education First. (2023). EF English proficiency index. Retrieved June 25, 2024, from https://www.ef.com/wwen/epi/
[19] Wharton. (2023). NextWealth: Creating a next wave in India's IT and BPO industry. Retrieved June 25, 2024, from https://knowledge.wharton.upenn.edu/article/nextwealth-india-it-bpo-industry/
[20] Naha, A., & Nandy, D. (2022). Sustainability of supply chain: Analysis of post-COVID economic recovery possibilities in selected sectors in the ASEAN region. Khazanah Sosial, 4(1), 47–64.
[21] Cassey, L. E. E. (2019). Globalisation and economic development: Malaysia's experience. Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA).