

International Aid in the Era of Artificial Intelligence: Potential Advantages, Theoretical Challenges, and Strategic Responses

Xin Wang, Quanzhi Lu*

Guangzhou College of Commerce, Guangzhou, 511363, China

*Corresponding author: Quanzhi Lu

Copyright: 2025 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY-NC 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited, and explicitly prohibiting its use for commercial purposes.

Abstract: This paper explores the transformative impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on international aid. It systematically analyzes AI's potential to enhance efficiency in needs assessment, resource allocation, project implementation, and monitoring. However, the integration of AI introduces profound theoretical challenges, including data governance dilemmas, algorithmic bias, the digital divide, sovereignty risks, and value conflicts. In response, the study proposes a strategic framework grounded in global governance, emphasizing data ethics, algorithmic accountability, local capacity building, and international cooperation. This study aims to provide a strategic framework for the responsible and equitable deployment of AI in international aid, ultimately serving humanitarian and sustainable development goals.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; International Aid; Data Governance; Algorithmic Bias; Digital Divide; Global Cooperation

Published: Nov 22, 2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.62177/amit.v1i6.887>

1.Introduction

International aid serves as a critical mechanism within global governance, playing an indispensable role in addressing regional conflicts, alleviating humanitarian crises, eradicating poverty, and fostering the socio-economic development of low- and middle-income countries^[1-3]. However, traditional models of international aid have long been constrained by structural challenges, including information asymmetry, rigid resource allocation, slow response times, and difficulties in accurately evaluating project effectiveness. The rapid advancement of new-generation information technologies, particularly artificial intelligence (AI), in the 21st century, offers novel potential pathways to overcome these persistent bottlenecks. AI technologies, with their formidable capacity for processing massive datasets, identifying complex patterns, and generating precise predictions, hold the potential to optimize and reshape the entire lifecycle of international aid, from needs assessment and resource distribution to project implementation and impact monitoring^[4]. For instance, by analyzing satellite imagery and mining mobile data, aid organizations can more rapidly identify impoverished areas or zones affected by disasters. Natural language processing techniques can enable the real-time detection of urgent population needs from social media streams during crises. Furthermore, predictive modeling and machine learning algorithms can optimize supply chains and dynamically adjust aid strategies. Collectively, these applications are driving a paradigm shift in international aid, moving away from a practice heavily reliant on historical experience and localized information towards a refined, forward-looking model underpinned by data-driven decision-making.

Nevertheless, any significant technological transformation entails not merely instrumental substitution but also profound adjustments to social structures, power dynamics, and ethical norms. The integration of AI into international aid inevitably introduces a series of complex challenges. For example, its heavy reliance on data may precipitate new governance dilemmas and privacy risks^[5]. Biases embedded within algorithmic decision-making can inadvertently perpetuate or even exacerbate social inequalities^[6]. The vast global disparities in digital infrastructure and human capital risk transforming the existing “digital divide” into an insurmountable “AI divide”^[7]. Moreover, the introduction of AI systems substantially challenges core principles of traditional aid operations, such as accountability, transparency, and the core ethical imperative to “do no harm”^[8]. Against this backdrop, this paper first elucidates the potential advantages of AI in empowering international aid. It then seeks to identify and analyze the theoretical challenges confronting international aid in the AI era. Finally, it proposes a strategic framework to effectively address these challenges.

2. Potential Advantages of AI in International Aid

2.1 Enhanced Needs Identification and Assessment

Traditional needs identification and assessment in aid often rely on periodic field surveys and aggregated statistics, an approach limited in both coverage and timeliness. AI technologies create the conditions for large-scale, near real-time insights into needs. For instance, the automated analysis of satellite imagery via computer vision can rapidly assess the severity of building damage after natural disasters, the extent of crop loss, and the scale and dynamics of temporary refugee settlements, providing critical evidence for emergency response decision-making^[9]. Simultaneously, multi-dimensional mining of mobile signaling data, social media text, and communication records helps delineate more accurate population movement trajectories, public sentiment fluctuations, and potential hotspots of public needs. The theoretical significance of this data-intelligent sensing mechanism lies in its potential to drive a paradigm shift in aid operations from passive response towards proactive anticipation, thereby substantially shortening relief response times and directing finite resources more precisely to the most urgent needs among populations and regions^[10].

2.2 Optimized Resource Allocation and Logistics Management

Resource allocation and logistics management have persistently been critical factors determining the efficiency of international aid. Complex geographical terrain, underdeveloped infrastructure, and uncertain security conditions frequently result in high transportation costs for aid supplies and challenges in guaranteeing timely delivery. AI-driven optimization algorithms offer novel approaches to this persistent challenge. Intelligent routing systems can synthesize multiple constraints, including real-time road conditions, weather information, security risks, and delivery timeframes, to compute optimal supply routes that minimize cost or maximize timeliness^[11]. Inventory management models can dynamically adjust the types and quantities of supplies stockpiled at various storage nodes based on historical consumption patterns and future demand forecasts, effectively preventing both overstocking and critical shortages. Furthermore, in the fleet management of new carriers such as drones, AI algorithms can enable autonomous coordination and task allocation, achieving precise airdrops of supplies to remote or hazardous areas inaccessible to traditional transport vehicles. The value of these technological applications lies in their potential to significantly reduce the operational costs of international aid activities, comprehensively enhance the resilience and responsiveness of the logistics system, and ensure that life-sustaining supplies reach intended beneficiaries efficiently and reliably.

2.3 Implemented Personalization and Adaptive Assistance

During the detailed design and implementation phases of aid projects, artificial intelligence demonstrates significant potential for personalization and adaptability. In public education and health assistance, for example, AI-powered educational platforms utilizing adaptive learning algorithms can dynamically generate and deliver highly personalized instructional content and tutoring plans. This approach is tailored to the existing knowledge base, learning progress, and cognitive styles of learners in recipient regions, thereby substantially mitigating the severe shortage of quality educational resources and qualified teaching staff^[12]. In the field of medical aid, mobile medical devices or portable testing equipment integrated with AI-assisted diagnostic systems enable local primary healthcare workers to conduct preliminary screening, identification, and diagnosis of common diseases without relying on specialist support. This significantly improves the accessibility and quality of primary

healthcare in remote and underserved areas^[13]. The common theoretical foundation across these application models is that AI can digitize, replicate, and widely disseminate specialized knowledge and skills from scarce domains at low cost. This facilitates the encapsulation and broad distribution of expertise, enabling a transformative enhancement and supplement to traditional, labor-intensive service delivery models.

2.4 Strengthened Monitoring and Evaluation

Artificial intelligence brings methodological innovations to the monitoring and impact evaluation of aid projects. Traditionally, impact assessments relied heavily on post-hoc field visits and questionnaire surveys, approaches that were not only resource-intensive but also highly susceptible to subjective bias, often resulting in fragmented and less objective data. Today, AI enables the automated and continuous analysis and modeling of multimodal data generated throughout project implementation, such as site photographs, progress videos, beneficiary interview recordings, and environmental sensor readings. This allows for near-real-time and objective measurement of key project performance indicators. Natural language processing techniques can perform large-scale sentiment analysis and thematic mining on collected open-ended interview transcripts, community focus group discussions, or social media feedback, extracting deeper insights into a project's social acceptance, comprehensive impact, and potential risks. Theoretically, this data-intelligent, continuous evaluation model can establish a closed-loop organizational learning and feedback system. This enables aid agencies to promptly identify issues, diagnose root causes, and dynamically adjust intervention strategies, thereby continuously optimizing project design and enhancing the overall efficiency and developmental effectiveness of aid funding^[14].

3. Theoretical Challenges of AI-Empowered International Aid

3.1 Data Dependency and Governance Dilemmas

The effectiveness of AI systems is highly dependent on the scale, quality, and representativeness of training data. In the specific context of international aid, the required data often pertains to large amounts of sensitive information about the most vulnerable populations in recipient countries. Governance challenges immediately arise concerning who collects the data, data ownership, control boundaries, and how usage rights are defined^[15]. In many developing countries where digital governance laws and regulations are underdeveloped, the data privacy and autonomy of aided individuals and groups are highly susceptible to being overlooked and violated. A more profound risk exists if the data used for model training fails to adequately cover specific marginalized groups or contains embedded, historically formed societal-structural biases. AI models trained on such data may not only replicate these biases but could further amplify and legitimize them through their seemingly objective decision outputs. A typical theoretical scenario is a post-disaster resource allocation algorithm trained on biased historical data systematically underestimating the vulnerability index of certain communities. This could lead to unfair distribution of aid resources, creating a form of “algorithmic discrimination” reinforced by technology. This heavy reliance on data theoretically constitutes a new source of risk that reproduces or even exacerbates existing social inequalities^[16, 17].

3.2 Algorithmic Black Box and Accountability Deficits

Many advanced artificial intelligence models, particularly complex deep learning neural networks, operate as opaque “black boxes,” whose internal decision-making logic often remains inaccessible and unintelligible not only to ordinary people but even to experts beyond their developers. As key processes—including the automated determination of aid eligibility, prioritization of resource allocation, and selection of specific intervention strategies—increasingly rely on such non-transparent algorithmic decisions, the long-standing principles of transparency and accountability in international aid face the risk of being fundamentally undermined. Should beneficiaries contest an automated decision that significantly impacts their well-being, they would find it exceedingly difficult to ascertain the specific rationale and reasoning behind it, thereby hindering any effective opportunity to question or appeal the outcome. This “algorithmic black box” phenomenon fundamentally challenges core principles advocated in international aid, such as participatory development, community empowerment, and informed consent. It potentially relegates aid recipients to a vulnerable position of passive acceptance with diminished agency and ability to challenge outcomes. Furthermore, when erroneous algorithmic decisions lead to tangible harm, assigning responsibility becomes profoundly ambiguous. Accountability could be attributed to the developers of the algorithm model, the providers of the training data, the aid organization that adopted the system, or the local

partners responsible for its implementation. This ambiguity and deficit in accountability mechanisms represent a pressing theoretical and practical dilemma that must be clarified for the responsible application of AI in the highly sensitive context of international aid ^[18].

3.3 Digital Divide and Capacity Asymmetry

The inherent global digital divide risks evolving into a more disruptive “AI divide” in the era of artificial intelligence. The research, development, deployment, iteration, and maintenance of AI technologies demand top-tier professional expertise, powerful computational infrastructure, and sustained financial investment. These high entry barriers have objectively led to an extreme imbalance in the global distribution of core technical resources and capabilities. Major donor countries and a few large multinational technology corporations virtually monopolize core AI algorithms, computing platforms, and high-end talent. Conversely, many recipient developing countries may still lack even the most basic, systematic data collection capabilities and stable computational resources. This significant initial capacity disparity harbors the risk of fostering new forms of international dependency. While gaining access to AI-powered aid services, recipient countries might be compelled to concede portions of their data sovereignty, policy space, and even economic development autonomy. Furthermore, their nascent domestic digital industries and technological innovation ecosystems could be stifled by the direct influx of mature external technological solutions. Effectively preventing AI-driven aid from transforming from a tool of technological empowerment into a channel for “digital colonialism” or a new form of technological dependency constitutes a critical issue that the international community must seriously examine from a theoretical perspective and actively seek to address ^[19].

3.4 Sovereignty Challenges and Security Risks

The introduction of AI technologies exerts profound and complex impacts on national sovereignty, cybersecurity, and international power structures. The large-scale, high-frequency cross-border data flows inherent in AI-driven aid operations directly implicate recipient countries’ national security and the protection of citizens’ fundamental rights. For instance, detailed data collection through high-resolution satellite remote sensing or drone patrols may capture sensitive geospatial information, critical infrastructure layouts, and social dynamics intelligence. The analysis or utilization of such data by aid providers or technology partners for purposes beyond the agreed humanitarian or developmental objectives would raise serious sovereignty concerns. Furthermore, the AI systems deeply embedded within aid operations themselves could become prime targets for cyber-attacks. Successful malicious intrusion and manipulation of these systems could lead to severe consequences, ranging from disruptions in supply chains to large-scale personal privacy breaches. From a broader international political economy perspective, AI, as a strategically significant cutting-edge technology, and the dominance over its application in the aid sector may subtly reshape the traditional balance of power between donor and recipient countries. Technologically advantaged actors could thereby acquire unprecedented informational control and agenda-setting influence, potentially profoundly affecting or even rewriting the established rules and governance models of global development aid.

3.5 Ethical Principles and Value Conflicts

The automated and standardized decision-making logic of artificial intelligence creates inherent value tensions and potential conflicts with the core ethical principles long upheld in the international aid sector ^[20]. Aid is universally guided by fundamental principles such as “do no harm,” “neutrality,” “impartiality,” and “equity.” However, AI systems, often originating from specific techno-cultural contexts, may struggle to adequately comprehend, respect, and integrate the unique local knowledge, cultural traditions, social norms, and value preferences of recipient regions. This highly techno-rational, utility-maximizing decision-making model is likely to clash with the value rationality of local communities, which often emphasizes relationships, tradition, and collective sentiment. For instance, an AI-driven land planning solution focused primarily on maximizing agricultural output could easily disregard the land’s sacred cultural significance or its traditional livelihood functions for a local community. On another front, excessive reliance on technological solutions and remote automated management may reduce opportunities for face-to-face communication, empathy, and trust-building between aid workers and recipient communities. These risks may erode the essential humanistic concern and relational warmth intrinsic to meaningful aid interventions.

4.Strategic Optimization for AI-Empowered International Aid

4.1 Establishing a Data Ethics and Governance Framework

Constructing a robust, fair, and inclusive data ethics and governance framework is foundational to ensuring the responsible application of AI. The establishment of this framework must transcend the scope of individual sovereign states, striving to build broad consensus and actionable norms at both global and recipient-country levels. At the international level, there should be active promotion for formulating specialized principles, such as a “Charter for Trustworthy AI Data Governance,” tailored to humanitarian and development aid contexts. This framework should explicitly establish core principles including data collection minimization, purpose limitation, prior informed consent, privacy-by-design, and respect for national data sovereignty. When aid agencies establish partnerships with technology providers, legally binding agreements must be used to strictly define data access rights, usage scopes, storage durations, and subsequent disposal methods. At the recipient-country level, the international community, particularly development partners, should prioritize targeted capacity building and technical assistance. This support should aid these countries in progressively establishing and strengthening data protection legal systems and digital governance institutions suited to their national conditions and development stages, thereby substantively enhancing their governance capacity to exercise data sovereignty. Regarding technical pathway selection, there should be strong advocacy and funding for the development and contextual adaptation of advanced privacy-enhancing technologies—such as federated learning, differential privacy, and homomorphic encryption—within aid scenarios. The objective is to enable collaborative modeling and value extraction from multi-party data without necessitating the cross-border transfer of raw data, thereby providing technical architecture support for data security and sovereignty protection.

4.2 Enhancing Algorithmic Transparency and Accountability

Vigorously improving the transparency, explainability, and accountability of algorithmic systems is crucial for addressing the “black box” dilemma and rebuilding trust. When planning, procuring, or developing AI systems, aid agencies must treat “explainability” and “auditability” as core performance indicators and prerequisite conditions equally important as predictive accuracy. This means systems must not only output decisions but also provide the rationale, key influencing factors, and their respective weights in a human-understandable format. For instance, when a system automatically screens aid beneficiaries, it should clearly articulate the primary reasons for each applicant’s selection or rejection. Academia and industry need to collaborate actively, advancing “Explainable AI” (XAI) technologies and exploring their effective application models within the resource-constrained and culturally diverse contexts of aid operations. Concurrently, a comprehensive accountability mechanism covering the entire AI system lifecycle must be established from start to finish. This includes conducting rigorous bias detection and algorithmic impact assessments prior to deployment; setting up clear nodes for human oversight and review, along with accessible appeal channels during operation, ensuring a “human-in-the-loop” with final decision-making and intervention authority at any critical juncture; and, post-hoc, pre-defining standards for liability attribution, traceability procedures, and compensation or remedy schemes when algorithmic decisions cause actual harm. Through this dual approach of institutional constraints and technical safeguards, the exercise of algorithmic power can be channeled into regulated, transparent, and accountable pathways.

4.3 Bridging the Digital Divide and Strengthening Capacity Building

Bridging the widening digital divide and systematically strengthening the indigenous digital capabilities and AI innovation ecosystems of recipient countries represent a long-term strategy and fundamental solution for ensuring inclusive and sustainable AI-powered aid. The international community must recalibrate assistance strategies, prioritizing the empowerment of recipient countries to independently master, adapt, and develop appropriate technologies, rather than viewing them merely as passive recipients of technological solutions. Specific strategies should include: providing targeted support for recipient countries to plan and develop more extensive and higher-quality digital infrastructure, such as broadband networks, cloud computing centers, and data platforms; establishing dedicated funds or cooperative programs to enable their national researchers, engineers, and policymakers to fully participate in the entire lifecycle of AI projects, from problem definition and solution design to system development, deployment, and evaluation, thereby fostering tangible technology transfer, knowledge sharing, and localized innovation; and assisting in the reform of their education and vocational training systems

by introducing courses and practical training related to AI and data science, cultivating the next generation of locally-rooted digital talent. The aid model requires a strategic shift from “technology product delivery” towards “collaborative building of innovation capacity.” Participatory design methodologies should be strongly advocated and implemented, ensuring that local community members, user organizations, domestic enterprises, and government agencies can substantively engage in the conceptualization, co-design, and effectiveness evaluation of AI solutions from the initial project stages. Only when recipient countries successfully transition from passive technology recipients to active co-creators, owners, and users of technology can AI genuinely respond to their self-determined development priorities and avoid entrenching new, deeper forms of technological dependency.

4.4 Promoting Global Cooperation and Norm Development

Effectively addressing the multinational challenges posed by artificial intelligence necessitates closer and more inclusive global cooperation to jointly establish relevant application norms and technical standards. No single nation, organization, or sector can independently resolve these global issues. Therefore, it is particularly urgent to promote the establishment of permanent global dialogue platforms, expert working groups, or forums focusing on the use of AI in international aid within the frameworks of broadly representative international organizations such as the United Nations, the World Bank, and the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. Such platforms should aim to convene diverse stakeholders, including sovereign governments, international aid agencies, multinational technology corporations, academia, civil society organizations, and representatives from recipient communities, to collectively deliberate, formulate, and promote transnational ethical guidelines, technical standards, data security protocols, and project management best practices for AI applications in international aid. Through this sustained and inclusive global dialogue, value consensus can be gradually consolidated, forming “soft law” norms and behavioral guidance possessing broad societal recognition and moral influence. This provides a common framework for action by all parties and effectively curbs the potential risk of a “race to the bottom” in technology application. Concurrently, international or neutral institutions should be encouraged to lead the creation of transnational, non-sensitive development data repositories and benchmark algorithm model libraries. These resources should be as open as possible while ensuring security and ethical compliance, facilitating the sharing of global research resources, and accelerating the development and dissemination of cost-effective, low-power, easy-to-maintain, and high-impact AI solutions tailored to the specific needs of developing countries.

4.5 Reconstructing Aid Ethics and Adapting Values

Confronting the value conflicts introduced by artificial intelligence necessitates a profound and systematic ethical discourse within the international aid community. This dialogue must re-examine, reinterpret, and expand the meaning, boundaries, and practical requirements of established core principles within the context of intelligent technologies. For instance, the principle of “impartiality” must incorporate considerations for algorithmic fairness and data representativeness. The principle of “beneficiary participation” needs extension from project implementation upstream to stages like algorithm design and the formulation of data collection strategies. Aid organizations of all types should consider establishing independent, multidisciplinary ethics review committees and implementing mandatory ethical impact assessment procedures. These would conduct prior, systematic reviews of the social, ethical, and cultural risks associated with all proposed AI projects before their adoption. Across all project design and implementation philosophies, there must be an unwavering commitment to a “human-centered” and “assistive-augmentative” fundamental orientation. It must be explicitly clear that technology is a tool serving humanity, aiming to enhance rather than replace human judgment, to strengthen rather than undermine the inherent resilience, autonomous decision-making power, and development momentum of recipient communities, and to augment rather than diminish the direct interpersonal interaction, emotional connection, and trust relationships between aid workers and recipients. This human-centric commitment constitutes the value cornerstone for ensuring that technological innovation consistently serves the noble original purpose of humanitarian and development endeavors.

5. Conclusion

The integration of artificial intelligence into international aid is a present-day reality, presenting both transformative opportunities and profound challenges. This paper has demonstrated AI’s significant potential to enhance the efficiency,

precision, and adaptability of aid, fundamentally optimizing the entire aid chain from needs assessment to impact monitoring. However, it is crucial to recognize that the deployment of this technology is not neutral. It deeply engages with and reshapes core issues concerning data governance, algorithmic accountability, global power dynamics, and development ethics.

To navigate this complexity, this paper advocates for a coordinated, prudent, and human-centric strategic approach. This necessitates building robust data governance frameworks, ensuring algorithmic transparency and explainability, and vigorously investing in local capacity building within recipient countries to bridge the widening digital divide. Concurrently, fostering ongoing international dialogue and cooperation to establish universally accepted technical and application norms is imperative. Ultimately, all efforts must be anchored in the fundamental ethical principles of international aid, ensuring that technology enhances, rather than undermines, humanitarian concern and community resilience.

Looking ahead, the exploration of this field remains a considerable undertaking. Future research should focus on developing operational ethical review tools for specific aid contexts, creating comprehensive metrics to assess the social impact of technology, and investigating the application of emerging technologies like blockchain in safeguarding data sovereignty and trust. In the final analysis, steering artificial intelligence to become a force for global equity and justice, rather than a new mechanism entrenching inequality, demands sustained international cooperation, profound reflection, and resolute commitment. Only by ensuring that technological progress advances hand in hand with humanistic values can we collectively move towards a more inclusive and resilient future.

Funding

This work was supported by the Doctor's Research Initiation Fund Project of Guangzhou College of Commerce, "Government-Business Collaboration Mechanism of China's Enabling Assistance in South-South Cooperation and Economic Resilience of Recipient Countries" (Grant No. 2025KYQD86).

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Reference

- [1] Rao, D. T., Sethi, N., Dash, D. P., & Bhujabal, P. (2023). Foreign aid, FDI and economic growth in South-East Asia and South Asia. *Global Business Review*, 24(1), 31-47.
- [2] Mahembe, E., & Odhiambo, N. M. (2021). Does foreign aid reduce poverty? A dynamic panel data analysis for sub-Saharan African countries. *The Journal of Economic Inequality*, 19(4), 875-893.
- [3] Khan, R., Zeeshan, Haque, M. I., Gupta, N., Tausif, M. R., & Kaushik, I. (2022). How foreign aid and remittances affect poverty in MENA countries?. *Plos one*, 17(1), e0261510.
- [4] Toetzke, M., Banholzer, N., & Feuerriegel, S. (2022). Monitoring global development aid with machine learning. *Nature Sustainability*, 5(6), 533-541.
- [5] Shahriar, S., Allana, S., Hazratifard, S. M., & Dara, R. (2023). A survey of privacy risks and mitigation strategies in the artificial intelligence life cycle. *IEEE Access*, 11, 61829-61854.
- [6] Bircan, T., & Özbilgin, M. F. (2025). Unmasking inequalities of the code: Disentangling the nexus of AI and inequality. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 211, 123925.
- [7] Gardner, C., & Henry, P. B. (2023). The global infrastructure gap: Potential, perils, and a framework for distinction. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 61(4), 1318-1358.
- [8] Beduschi, A. (2022). Harnessing the potential of artificial intelligence for humanitarian action: Opportunities and risks. *International Review of the Red Cross*, 104(919), 1149-1169.
- [9] Tan, L., Guo, J., Mohanarajah, S., & Zhou, K. (2021). Can we detect trends in natural disaster management with artificial intelligence? A review of modeling practices. *Natural Hazards*, 107(3), 2389-2417.
- [10] Rehan, H. (2022). Enhancing Disaster Response Systems: Predicting and Mitigating the Impact of Natural Disasters Using AI. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 2(1), 501.
- [11] Chen, W., Men, Y., Fuster, N., Osorio, C., & Juan, A. A. (2024). Artificial intelligence in logistics optimization with

- sustainable criteria: A review. *Sustainability*, 16(21), 9145.
- [12] Goralski, M. A., & Tan, T. K. (2022). Artificial intelligence and poverty alleviation: Emerging innovations and their implications for management education and sustainable development. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 20(3), 100662.
- [13] Bhatt, P., Liu, J., Gong, Y., Wang, J., & Guo, Y. (2022). Emerging artificial intelligence–empowered mhealth: scoping review. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 10(6), 35053.
- [14] Pillai, M., Griffin, A. C., Kronk, C. A., & McCall, T. (2023). Toward community-based natural language processing (CBNLP): cocreating with communities. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 25, 48498.
- [15] Chen, K., Zhou, X., Lin, Y., Feng, S., Shen, L., & Wu, P. (2025). A survey on privacy risks and protection in large language models. *Journal of King Saud University Computer and Information Sciences*, 37(7), 163.
- [16] Fountain, J. E. (2022). The moon, the ghetto and artificial intelligence: Reducing systemic racism in computational algorithms. *Government Information Quarterly*, 39(2), 101645.
- [17] Zajko, M. (2022). Artificial intelligence, algorithms, and social inequality: Sociological contributions to contemporary debates. *Sociology Compass*, 16(3), 12962.
- [18] Fink, K. (2018). Opening the government’s black boxes: freedom of information and algorithmic accountability. *Information, Communication & Society*, 21(10), 1453-1471.
- [19] Salami, A. O. (2024). Artificial intelligence, digital colonialism, and the implications for Africa’s future development. *Data & Policy*, 6, 67.
- [20] Kamila, M. K., & Jasrotia, S. S. (2025). Ethical issues in the development of artificial intelligence: recognizing the risks. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, 41(1), 45-63.