福建省中电海峡智能装备研究院
Fujian Zhongdian Straits Institute of Intelligent Equipment
Asia Pacific Science Press
Advances in Management and Intelligent Technologies
ISSN(O):3079-5869
ISSN(P):3079-5850
Vol. 2 No.5 (2026)

Music Appreciation in Piano Performance Teaching: A Critical Pedagogical Analysis

Yanhui Zhang*
Changchun Guanghua University, Changchun, Jilin, 130033, China
Corresponding author: Yanhui Zhang

Copyright: 2026 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY-NC 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited, and explicitly prohibiting its use for commercial purposes.

Abstract: Music appreciation can inform decisions about phrase direction, voicing, articulation, and pedalling in piano lessons. Its practical difficulty lies in translating listening into technically attainable and musically convincing performance. A critical synthesis of scholarship on expressive instruction, modelling, imagery, metaphor, and feedback connects these approaches with illustrative teaching situations. Listening tasks address specific problems and lead to attempts at the keyboard, where interpretations can be heard and reconsidered. Comparisons with recordings require attention to differences of instrument and acoustic, alongside the musical choices within each performance. Emotional labels and verbal explanations remain useful only when their consequences can be assessed in sound. Appreciative judgment develops through opportunities to notice a difference, attempt an adjustment, and decide whether it serves the phrase. Assessment should recognise this judgment while allowing coherent alternatives to the teacher's interpretation.

Keywords: Music Appreciation; Piano Pedagogy; Critical Listening; Expressive Performance; Auditory Imagery; Interpretative Judgment
Published: Nov 1, 2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62177/amit.v2i5.1824

1. Introduction

A piano lesson can become absorbed in everything that is visible: fingering, wrist position, notation, and the movement required to reach the next chord. These matters deserve close attention, yet the musical reason for a movement can disappear beneath its correction. A student may reproduce the written notes securely while giving a suspension no expressive weight, allowing an accompaniment to obscure the melody, or letting a phrase ending lose direction. An instruction to play with more feeling leaves these diffi culties largely unexplained. The student may need a clearer sense of how the line should sound before deciding what to change. Appreciation activities can supply that reference, particularly when listening draws attention to a relationship that has gone unnoticed during playing.

Music appreciation is used here to mean attentive engagement with musical sound that leads to discrimination, contextual understanding, and reasoned evaluation. Knowing that a performance is moving provides little direction when the melody is obscured at the keyboard. Hearing that its continuity depends on restrained accompanying attacks off ers a more usable point of departure. Scholarship on expressive learning identifi es modelling, verbal explanation, and imaginative language as established resources for developing musical communication [1–3]. In a piano lesson, these resources may be combined: a short demonstration makes a phrase ending audible, while an explanation directs attention to the bass beneath it. Their use still

leaves a question of judgment. The student needs to distinguish an audible feature from the teacher's explanation of it, and both from a preference that another convincing interpretation might challenge.

The argument draws on selected literature about expressive instruction and listening, using hypothetical lesson situations to examine its pedagogical implications. The selection supports a critical synthesis rather than a systematic review; the teaching situations illustrate possible applications and carry no claim of experimental validation. Particular attention falls on individual piano tuition at intermediate and advanced levels, where students can sustain passages but may still depend heavily on teacher correction. Applications for beginning learners are considered where the same musical problem can be reduced without losing its purpose. Throughout, a listening judgment is followed through to a change that the student can attempt and evaluate. That connection matters in lessons where time is limited, technical readiness varies, and the available piano may respond quite differently from the instrument heard in a recording.

2. Appreciation as a Form of Pianistic Understanding

2.1 From Recognition to Auditory Discrimination

A learner may anticipate every note yet remain unsure how the transition prepares the next phrase. A pianist may recognise the return of a theme without hearing how the preceding transition prepares its register, dynamic level, or harmonic arrival. Recognising the return therefore leaves an interpretative question unresolved: what makes it sound like an arrival? A teacher can ask the student to listen for the point at which the bass begins to determine phrase direction, then compare that account with the score. If the account does not fit what is heard, either the analysis or the playing needs another look. The score and the sound remain in conversation, with contextual information introduced where it can clarify a decision. Historical information matters when it helps explain a musical option; its presence alone does not ensure that a student listens more precisely.

Criticism of appreciation pedagogy has challenged the substitution of illustrative stories, emotional labels, and abstract segmentation for sustained musical experience [4]. An image can make a phrase memorable, but a prescribed narrative can also close attention prematurely. Once a passage has been labelled sorrowful, its rhythmic resistance, unstable harmony, or sharply articulated accompaniment may receive less consideration. More productive questions leave room for incompatible impressions while requiring audible reasons. A sustained line over detached accompaniment might suggest restraint to one student and distance to another. Both descriptions can support serious musical work if the pianist can identify the balance, articulation, and pacing involved. A lesson loses little by leaving an emotional label unsettled, provided that the musical choices receive close attention.

2.2 Expression, Imagery, and Musical Intention

Expressivity cannot be equated with the performer's private emotional intensity. Conservatoire teaching has often associated expression with emotional communication, while also relying on demonstration and verbal guidance [5]. A pianist may feel an approaching climax strongly yet communicate little because the accompaniment has already reached its loudest level. Conversely, a carefully graded texture can convey an expressive trajectory without any requirement that the student experience a prescribed emotion. Listening to the approach to the climax can expose the mismatch: the player intends increasing intensity, but the texture has no remaining space in which to expand.

Auditory imagery provides a possible bridge between the heard model and the next physical attempt. Scholarship on musical imagery treats imagined performance as involving interacting auditory, motor, and sensory dimensions rather than a purely visual representation of notation [6]. At the keyboard, this account gives a reason to pause before repetition and establish which sound the student is trying to recover or change. It does not establish that silent rehearsal can replace instrumental experience or that every learner possesses equally vivid imagery. A short melodic fragment can be sung, heard internally, and played with attention to its destination. Where internal hearing remains uncertain, teacher demonstration supplies a fresh reference instead of becoming evidence of failure. The student can then repeat the fragment with a particular arrival in mind. Increased fluency alone would leave it uncertain whether the phrase had acquired any clearer direction.

3. Bringing Listening into Technical Decisions

3.1 Phrase Direction, Harmonic Rhythm, and Timing

Phrase shaping reveals how a small accent or delay can alter the direction of a much longer span. Consider a lyrical passage whose highest melodic note occurs before the harmonic arrival. A student may place the largest accent on that note because its height appears to mark the climax. A listening comparison can instead examine whether the expressive destination lies in register, dissonance, harmonic resolution, or the relation between them. The student can sing the melody over a quietly supplied bass and identify where continuation begins to feel like arrival. That judgment then informs a keyboard task: retain sufficient dynamic and temporal space after the high note for the harmonic destination to register. In another passage, the melodic summit may deserve precisely the emphasis withheld here. The score, the surrounding phrase, and the sound of the alternatives determine how persuasive either reading is.

Cadential timing requires similar care because slowing is easily used as an all-purpose sign of expressivity. A recording may present a convincing relaxation that becomes mannered when copied into a different tempo or a shorter phrase. The useful listening question concerns what the delay accomplishes. Does it clarify the end of a larger span, prolong an unresolved harmony, or allow a significant change of texture to be heard? The student can play a cadence with an even pulse, then introduce a limited relaxation while maintaining the direction of the preceding line. Listening back reveals whether the arrival gains weight or merely loses momentum. Concrete verbal instruction and aural modelling offer complementary ways of addressing expressive properties [2]. A demonstration can establish how the cadence sounds with a gradual relaxation; the explanation can locate where that relaxation begins. The student then has a manageable decision to try within an otherwise independent reading of the phrase.

Attention to harmonic rhythm also helps distinguish an expressive hesitation from a technical interruption. A student who pauses before a difficult leap may later defend the pause as rubato. That interpretation deserves examination rather than immediate dismissal. If the hesitation can be reproduced deliberately, heard as coherent, and sustained in a technically comfortable reduction, it may have musical justification. If it disappears when the leap is removed, its expressive status requires reconsideration. A reduced version containing the melody and structural bass lets the student hear the phrase without the demands of the full texture. Restoring the omitted notes then becomes a task of retaining that continuity. When the leap returns, the teacher can listen for the same continuity and help find a movement that preserves it. A hesitation may still belong in the phrase, but it should remain available as a deliberate choice after the technical obstacle has been addressed.

Slow practice needs an auditory purpose as well. Reducing the tempo can expose coordination problems, yet it also changes the duration of resonance and the perceived momentum of a phrase. A student who practises slowly with the same physical emphasis on every note may learn a sequence that becomes awkwardly accented when speed returns. Appreciation can direct slow work towards relationships that remain meaningful across tempos: a continuing bass line, an unbroken melodic span, or a distinction between structural and passing notes. The teacher can play a short reduction at the intended tempo, then ask the student to retain its hierarchy in slower practice. Pedal may need adjustment, and a gesture that sounds continuous at speed may require more deliberate connection when expanded. The slower version should still lead somewhere recognisable, even though its resonance and local emphasis cannot sound exactly the same. Alternating a manageable fragment near its eventual tempo with a slower version can keep the destination audible. Where the intended tempo remains physically inaccessible, a sung or demonstrated reference can supply that orientation without requiring the learner to force an unstable movement pattern into premature fluency.

3.2 Voicing, Articulation, and Resonance

Voicing problems are often easier to hear from the listening position than from the keyboard. In a melody with chordal accompaniment, the instruction to bring out the tune may remain vague because the student hears the intended melody internally even when it is covered acoustically. A recording of the student's playing can interrupt that assumption. The listening task should ask where the melodic line becomes difficult to follow, rather than whether the performance sounds beautiful. At the keyboard, the teacher can retain the accompaniment while the student plays the melody, then exchange roles. When the full passage returns, the first adjustment may concern the accompaniment rather than additional melodic force. The resulting technical discussion can address key descent, release, and coordination in relation to an audible balance, avoiding a

general demand for stronger fingers.

Contrapuntal repertoire requires a more mobile conception of prominence. Treating the upper voice as the permanent melody can make an imitation disappear when it enters below. Listening should follow the continuity of a chosen voice through changes of register and density. A student can mark entries after hearing a passage, compare those markings with the score, and then play one voice while the teacher supplies another. The purpose is not to accent every thematic entrance identically. An entry may need recognition without disrupting the phrase already in motion. A useful trial gives temporary prominence to the entering voice and then restores a more even relationship. Hearing whether that adjustment clarifies or distorts the texture requires attention to the whole passage. Practising the voices separately establishes their contours; recombining them introduces the harder task of allowing one line to emerge while another continues undisturbed. The student has to hear both functions during the adjustment.

Articulation and pedalling expose another limitation of recorded models: the perceived sound includes the room and recording arrangement as well as the pianist's actions. A blurred bass in a resonant space cannot be addressed simply by copying the apparent pedal duration of a recording made elsewhere. The student needs to hear when successive harmonies cease to remain distinct and whether the melodic connection depends on finger legato, pedal resonance, or both. Practising a short passage without pedal establishes which connections are physically available. Adding pedal then becomes a process of choosing the resonance that supports the texture without concealing its movement. The apparent length of a recorded note need not prescribe a fixed physical release in another acoustic. The pianist must judge the decay in the room and adjust the release accordingly. A shorter physical action may produce the separation that required a longer one on the instrument previously used.

4. Demonstration, Comparison, and Interpretative Independence

4.1 Using Models without Surrendering Judgment

Demonstration can convey musical relationships that take too long to specify verbally, particularly the coordination of timing, dynamics, and articulation within a short gesture. Its economy partly explains its established place in expressive instruction [1,2]. An admired performance may be reproduced as a collection of conspicuous delays, accents, and gestures, detached from the larger pacing that supports them. Before demonstrating, the teacher needs to decide which difference the student is expected to hear. The teacher might play the same passage with different accompaniment levels while preserving the melody, allowing the student to describe the changed hierarchy. Alternatively, a cadence can be presented with different preparations while its final chord remains similar. The student can compare what each preparation does to the arrival. Because the contrast is deliberately restricted, there is less pressure to accept every detail of the teacher's playing along with the feature under discussion.

Comparing recordings is most productive when difference becomes the starting point for investigation. Several complete performances heard consecutively can overload attention or invite a vote based on reputation and taste. A shorter shared passage allows the student to retain relevant features and return to them. The recordings can be introduced without performer names so that discussion begins with what is audible. The teacher might ask which account makes the bass transition clearer, then ask what the alternative gains through its pacing. The next performance task should preserve this distinction: produce an interpretation that clarifies the bass without reproducing every feature of the preferred recording. The student may need several attempts to preserve the clarity without borrowing an unsuitable tempo or accent. Explaining that difficulty can be as valuable as an immediately convincing imitation, because it identifies which part of the model remains useful.

Interpretative independence also requires room for disagreement about the score. A marked accent may indicate local stress without justifying a break in the surrounding line; a long slur may permit internal articulation without dissolving its larger span. Listening makes these tensions concrete, but no recording can settle them automatically. The teacher can ask for contrasting versions that remain within the notation's plausible implications and discuss the cost of each. A sharper accent may clarify rhythmic identity while weakening melodic continuity. A more connected reading may sustain the phrase while concealing a dance impulse. A difference of reading is worth pursuing when the student can sustain its logic through the passage. A distinctive accent heard in a recording may suggest an experiment, but its effect has to survive within the

surrounding tempo, articulation, and phrase direction of the new performance.

4.2 Metaphor, Description, and the Return to Sound

Metaphorical instruction can connect an unfamiliar musical demand with an experience the student already understands. Scholarship treats imagery and metaphor as important resources in expressive teaching while recognising the need to relate them to performance processes [3,7]. A request for a phrase to breathe can direct attention to continuation, release, and renewed motion more economically than a detailed account of timing. Yet the same instruction may produce an unnecessary pause if the learner interprets breathing as stopping. A phrase played after the instruction can be compared with the earlier attempt, followed by a practical refinement: sustain the pulse through the release, reduce the accompaniment, or avoid accenting the restart. If the revised phrase moves more naturally, the image has served its immediate purpose. If it acquires a conspicuous break, the explanation needs adjustment even when the student finds the image appealing.

Precise description offers a different advantage. Words such as warm, expressive, and singing can invite attention without identifying what needs to change. A more workable comment states that the repeated accompanying note interrupts the sustained melody or that a new harmony loses its significance because the pedal retains the previous bass. Neither comment claims to explain the whole character of the passage. It identifies something the student can change on the next attempt, leaving figurative language available for aspects of character that a technical instruction may fail to convey. A student may retain an image of a distant response while practising a gentler attack and a more spacious release. Excessive explanation becomes counterproductive when it competes with listening during performance. A short instruction followed by an uninterrupted attempt often leaves more room for musical understanding than an elaborate speech delivered over every phrase.

5. Lesson Design, Practice, and Assessment

5.1 A Listening Question with a Practical Consequence

An appreciation task needs a reason for entering a particular lesson. When a passage lacks melodic continuity, a lengthy account of its cultural background may be interesting yet fail to address the immediate obstruction. A more economical sequence begins with a short student performance, a specific listening question, and a new attempt shaped by the answer. Suppose the line disappears at a hand change. A brief model can make continuity audible; the student then listens for the connection across the change rather than the beauty of the whole phrase. Singing that connection, redistributing the hands temporarily, or reducing the accompaniment can reveal the physical source of the break. Returning to the original fingering tests whether the adjustment survives. The sequence may stop at the reduction if the hand change remains insecure, or return to the recording if the desired connection is still unclear. What matters is that each return addresses the same audible break in the line.

An assignment to listen to more music rarely tells a student what to do with the experience. A manageable alternative identifies a short passage, one comparison, and one question to bring back to the keyboard. For a piece with a recurring theme, the question might concern whether its return sounds changed and which feature creates that impression. The student can note a perceived difference in register, balance, or timing and try a corresponding choice in the assigned repertoire. A brief written note is sufficient if it records an audible observation and a proposed action. The assignment is complete when the student can demonstrate the choice and discuss its consequences, even if the preferred solution changes during the next lesson. A changed decision is worth discussing when the student can demonstrate what prompted it; consistency with the previous lesson is a poor criterion if the new version serves the phrase more convincingly.

A simple tune can be played with an accompaniment that overwhelms it, then with a balance that allows its direction to remain clear. A child can indicate which version makes the tune easier to follow without knowing the term textural hierarchy. Difficulty should reside in the listening relationship being considered, not merely in the prestige of the repertoire. A technically demanding work can be a poor appreciation resource when its density prevents the student from retaining the feature under discussion. A modest piece may support sophisticated judgment if its musical alternatives can be heard and enacted with care.

Repertoire planning should include unfamiliar passages that test whether a student recognises a previously encountered

problem. After working on a melody supported by repeated chords, the teacher might introduce a texture whose melodic voice occupies the middle register. A student who automatically strengthens the upper notes must reconsider the earlier solution. Reading a reduction or sharing the passage with the teacher may expose the distinction without requiring another complete work. If the student hears the imbalance but repeats the previous physical solution, further attention is needed to how the same musical intention requires different actions in different textures.

5.2 Feedback that Develops Self-Correction

The distinction between intended expression and received expression gives feedback a clear function. Scholarship on cognitive feedback connects expressive communication with the relationship between performance cues and listeners' judgments [8]. Applied cautiously to piano tuition, this suggests asking what actually reached the listener before prescribing a correction. A student may intend the second phrase to answer the first more quietly, while the listener hears only slower motion. The feedback can acknowledge the intended answer while pointing out that reduced motion has become its most audible feature. The next attempt might preserve tempo while changing balance, allowing the student to hear whether the answering character becomes clearer. This application does not require reducing expression to fixed emotional categories or importing a measurement procedure into every lesson. The listener's account gives the pianist a specific discrepancy to consider. The student can listen back to the phrase, check whether the slower motion dominates, and decide whether a change of balance communicates the intended answer more clearly.

Recording can support self-correction when it is used selectively. Repeatedly capturing complete performances may consume practice time and encourage attention to isolated mistakes or a general impression of inadequacy. The listening instruction can ask whether the bass remains audible through a crescendo or whether the final release arrives before the harmony has settled. Playback should follow an initial judgment made immediately after playing, so that the difference between remembered intention and recorded sound can be considered. Before recording again, the student chooses a single adjustment, such as reducing the accompanying bass or releasing the final chord later. Research on self-regulated performance strategies cautions against assuming uniform benefits from imagery, feedback, or self-evaluation across settings [9]. Playback is most useful when it leads to a correction that the student could not make confidently while playing. If repeated listening yields no more precise judgment, the teacher may need to simplify the passage or supply a clearer perceptual contrast.

Assessment should make appreciative competence visible without forcing every student into the teacher's preferred interpretation. Suitable evidence includes the ability to identify a relevant feature, connect it with a performance choice, and reconsider that choice after hearing its consequences. A polished verbal account cannot compensate for an inaudible distinction, although a student with limited verbal fluency may demonstrate understanding effectively through contrasting performances. Assessment also needs to distinguish perception, execution, and explanation. A student may hear a balance problem accurately while lacking the coordination to correct it immediately. That gap calls for technical support; it should not erase the perceptual achievement. Equally, an attractive result produced by imitation does not by itself establish independent judgment about why the result works.

6. Contextual Responsibilities and Pedagogical Limits

6.1 Repertoire, Culture, and the Conditions of Listening

Appreciation can narrow musical understanding when the selected recordings repeatedly present one performance tradition as the natural sound of the instrument. Broadening the listening material requires more than adding unfamiliar titles. Students need opportunities to hear different approaches to pulse, ornament, tone, and continuity, with contextual information sufficient to prevent a difference from being mistaken for incompetence. Repertoire drawing on speech inflection, instrumental imitation, or regional melodic practices may demand different questions from those used for a sustained cantabile texture. A teacher can ask how a melodic turn acquires direction or how an attack suggests another instrumental voice, then examine what the piano can plausibly convey. Such work should resist attaching a single expressive character to an entire culture. Close attention to the particular melodic turn keeps cultural explanation from becoming a substitute for hearing. The student can compare alternative attacks or timings and consider which aspects of the source idiom remain perceptible on the piano.

The conditions of listening also shape what a student can reasonably learn. Small speakers can obscure bass relationships,

headphones can alter the apparent proximity of attacks, and unequal playback levels can make a louder recording seem more persuasive. Comparisons therefore require basic care: similar perceived volume, an intelligible excerpt, and repeated access to the same musical event. Otherwise, a difference caused by playback level or missing bass response may be attributed to phrasing or pianistic control. Repeating the excerpt under comparable conditions is often enough to test that impression. An action that requires excessive force to project a line on one instrument may be unnecessary on another. An appreciative judgment should concern the resulting relationship between voices, rather than loyalty to a remembered physical sensation. Listening becomes transferable when the student can preserve the musical purpose while changing the means required by the local instrument and room.

Live listening adds a concern with proportion. A locally effective accent may interrupt a longer progression; an impressive climax may leave too little dynamic scope for what follows. After a concert, a student can discuss one remembered decision and its effect, acknowledging that recollection is partial. Whether a phrase sounded spacious because of tempo, articulation, or surrounding silence can become a question for later comparison. For a pianist preoccupied with the next difficult chord, considering how the complete performance unfolded restores attention to the passage's place within a longer progression.

6.2 Individual Difference, Evidence, and Feasibility

Students do not arrive with identical listening histories or equal confidence in describing music. Familiarity with concert repertoire can make a learner articulate without guaranteeing sensitive hearing; another learner may notice a subtle imbalance while lacking the vocabulary to explain it. Teaching should allow pointing to a location in the score, singing a line, or performing an alternative to count as serious responses. Where hearing access or fatigue affects participation, the teacher should establish suitable listening conditions and combine available auditory information with notation, gesture, and tactile experience as appropriate. No single response mode should become a proxy for musical worth. A sung alternative or a pointed reference to the score may reveal the distinction more accurately than a hesitant verbal explanation. The teacher can use that response to choose the next listening or playing task. A premature technical term supplied by the teacher can conceal the very uncertainty that the listening task was intended to reveal.

These teaching proposals also need to be read within the limits of their sources. Scholarship on expressive instruction, imagery, and feedback addresses related but distinct activities, sometimes involving instruments or settings other than individual piano tuition [3,6,8,9]. A comparison devised for cadential timing may be unsuitable for a student whose immediate difficulty is retaining the bass line. The listening task has to be chosen again in relation to that difficulty. Feasibility also depends on preparation: a teacher needs a small collection of suitable excerpts, familiarity with their interpretative differences, and a clear reason for using them. An elaborate listening programme can displace the instrumental work it is intended to improve. Often the more demanding professional decision is to select one revealing contrast and leave time for its consequences at the keyboard. A brief comparison followed by sustained work on the passage may justify its place in the lesson more clearly than a large listening assignment left disconnected from practice. The question is whether the student now has something more precise to hear and work towards.

The teacher's own listening requires scrutiny. A familiar interpretation can make an alternative sound wrong before its logic has been considered. Repeating the disputed passage with its preceding context allows a more precise objection: the arrival is obscured, the pulse becomes difficult to follow, or an articulation change has no audible preparation. The student may resolve the difficulty while retaining the idea, or recognise that it depends on conditions absent from the performance. Recording both versions can preserve an unresolved question for another lesson. A precise objection also gives the student a basis for continuing the work independently.

7. Conclusion

The place of music appreciation in piano teaching becomes clear at the point where listening alters a practice decision. A covered melody may need less accompaniment; an uncertain cadence may need a better prepared arrival; indistinct harmony may require a different pedal release. In each case, technical instruction becomes more precise once the student can hear what is at stake. Demonstrations and recordings can help establish that hearing, but their authority remains provisional: the borrowed detail must work within the student's tempo, texture, instrument, and larger interpretation. Some judgments

will remain disputed, and some clear intentions will take time to execute. Neither difficulty is resolved by asking for more feeling or prescribing more listening. What the lesson can provide is a well-chosen comparison, a practicable adjustment, and enough time to hear the result. Gradually, the student should become able to carry that questioning into independent practice, recognising both when a change improves the phrase and when an attractive idea fails in the surrounding music.

Funding

No

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Reference

[1] Woody, R. H. (2000). Learning expressivity in music performance: An exploratory study. Research Studies in Music Education, 14(1), 14–23. https://doi.org/10.1177/1321103X0001400102

[2] Woody, R. H. (2006). The effect of various instructional conditions on expressive music performance. Journal of Research in Music Education, 54(1), 21–36. https://doi.org/10.1177/002242940605400103

[3] Woody, R. H. (2002). Emotion, imagery and metaphor in the acquisition of musical performance skill. Music Education Research, 4(2), 213–224. https://doi.org/10.1080/1461380022000011920

[4] Sun, Q. (2010). Analysis and reflections on the current state of music appreciation teaching [Title translated from Chinese]. Journal of Xinghai Conservatory of Music, (1), 94–101. [In Chinese]. https://xhyy.cbpt.cnki.net/portal/journal/portal/client/paper/cb5a8708512823edb4edaf556cc76276

[5] Laukka, P. (2004). Instrumental music teachers' views on expressivity: A report from music conservatoires. Music Education Research, 6(1), 45–56. https://doi.org/10.1080/1461380032000182821

[6] Lotze, M. (2013). Kinesthetic imagery of musical performance. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 7, Article 280. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2013.00280

[7] Wolfe, J. (2019). An investigation into the nature and function of metaphor in advanced music instruction. Research Studies in Music Education, 41(3), 280–292. https://doi.org/10.1177/1321103X18773113

[8] Juslin, P. N., & Laukka, P. (2000). Improving emotional communication in music performance through cognitive feedback. Musicae Scientiae, 4(2), 151–183. https://doi.org/10.1177/102986490000400202

[9] Lubert, V. J., & Gröpel, P. (2025). Psychological interventions for the self-regulated enhancement of music performance: A systematic review. Musicae Scientiae, 29(2), 279–313. https://doi.org/10.1177/10298649241290448